

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 9-12-41-59

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE, BONANNO, AKA
AR
OO: PXREBULET dated 12-3-59, entitled "TOP HOODLUM PROGRAM,
PHOENIX DIVISION."Investigation is continuing under this program to
determine activities of captioned subject and a report will
be submitted to the Bureau by 1-6-60.3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (cc: 92-15)
KFJ:wma
(5)MCT-2
REC-65

13 DEC 23 1959

60 JAN 7 1960

CRIM INTEL

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 2-12-60

FROM : *WMD*

SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT:

WILLIAM S. BONANNO, Aka
 William Salvatore Bonanno,
 Salvatore V. Bonanno
 AR

MW 2-1

OO: PX

The Bureau is requested to obtain from the Internal Revenue Service the Federal Income Tax returns for the above subject for the years 1955, 56, 57 and 58.

During the years set out above, BONANNO resided at Flagstaff and Tucson, Arizona. His Tucson address is:

875 West Cresta Loma Drive, Tucson, Arizona.

His wife's name is

2 - Bureau *cc 470*
 1 - Phoenix
 KFJ:wma
 (3)

MCT - 41

REC-14

EX-132

92-4494-2
FEB 16 1960
17

WMD
 CRIM INTEL

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

2/16/60

92-4494-2
Director, FBI

WILLIAM S. BONANNO, AKA
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reurlet dated 2/12/60.

Copies of Federal Income Tax Returns filed by
subject and his wife for the years 1955 through 1958 have
been requested and will be forwarded to you when received.

MCB:lfj
(4)

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 2
FEB 16 1960
COMM-FBI

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

4 FEB 25 1960

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI 92-4494
 FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428) *QWE*
 SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INSTALLATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

DATE: 2/18/60

RE: Title SALVATORE V. BONANNO, aka

Character of Case AR

Field Office Phoenix

Symbol Number

Type of Surveillance (Technical ~~SR~~)~~MICROPHONE~~

b2

1. Name and address of subject: ANTHONY SCRIVANO, home address 109 North Columbus Blvd., Tucson, Arizona, office address Suite 204, 270 North Church Street, Tucson, Arizona

2. Location of technical operation: Tucson RA. SCRIVANO has a one line telephone into his home and a two trunk rotary system at his office. *comp script* *A Rem.*
 [] will cover his home and [] will cover his office.

3. Other technical surveillances on same subject: None

③ - Bureau (RM)
 1 - Phoenix

JBD/cmh
 (4)

EX-100
 b2

4. Cost and manpower involved: Installation costs and operational costs nothing inasmuch as the Mountain States Telephone Company will make no charge on this and other installations being simultaneously requested. Fourteen twelve-hour shifts weekly will be necessary to maintain coverage on this surveillance. The Tucson RA has ten men assigned to it and it is contemplated that ten of the fourteen weekly shifts will be covered by the resident agents. It will be necessary for two agents from Phoenix to spend two days weekly each in Tucson to cover the remaining shifts. The cost in addition to salaries will be the per diem of two days weekly for two agents from Phoenix.

MAR 9 1960

REC-68

20 FEB 23 1960

92-4494-3

5. Adequacy of security: The Tucson RA has a series of four adjoining rooms in the northwest corner of the second floor of the Tucson Post Office Building. It is contemplated that this surveillance and others being simultaneously requested will be placed in the room to the extreme west which room is itself on the northwest corner of the building. The only entrance to this room is from another room in the Tucson RA and locks may be installed on this door with keys which will be kept in the possession only of Bureau agents. It is pointed out that agents (Con't. on page 3)

6. Type of case involved: Top Hoodlum Program.

7. Connection or status of subject in the case: SCRIVANO is a constant companion of SALVATORE BONANNO who is the son of national top hoodlum JOSEPH BONANNO and, according to informant, acts as a messenger boy for SALVATORE BONANNO and, according to informant [redacted], makes trips to California and New Mexico for the BONANNO organization.

b6
b7C
b7D

8. Specific information being sought: JOSEPH BONANNO, his son SALVATORE BONANNO, national top hoodlum CHARLES BATTAGLIA, and minor members of the same organization, according to informants and from observation, frequently telephone each other in Tucson and frequently make long distance calls to other individuals throughout the U. S. The activities of BONANNO and his organization in Tucson are not clear at the present time and it is felt that this surveillance may reveal some of their activities.

9. Reasons for believing the specific information will be obtained by the technical surveillance: Informants have advised that the members of the BONANNO organization use telephones to communicate with each other locally and on the long distance level.

10. Importance of case and subject: The BONANNO organization, of which the subject is a member, is considered to be growing in importance not only locally but on a national level and it appears that JOSEPH BONANNO, the head of this organization, is coming to be regarded more and more by hoodlums throughout the U. S. as a leader in the criminal underground.

11. Possibilities of obtaining desired information by other means (Explain in detail): At the present time it does not appear that this information can be gained by other means because of the lack of live informants closely entrenched in the organization, the fact that these individuals use the telephone frequently in communicating with each other, and the fact, according to informants, that the Italian language is frequently used both in telephone conversations and in person to person conversations. The Phoenix Office has no Italian speaking agents and should this surveillance be instituted, recordings of conversations in Italian will be made for later translation.
12. Risks of detection involved: None apparent at this time. The technical position of the installation within the frame rooms of the telephone company at Tucson will be made between the hours of midnight and 4:00 AM by agents of the Phoenix Office and telephone company contacts from Phoenix. During this period no Tucson employees will be on duty and with the possible exception of a top executive in Tucson, no Tucson telephone company employees will be aware of the installation.
13. Probable length of technical surveillance: Indefinite pending information developed after surveillance is instituted.
14. Request made for technical surveillance by any outside agency (name specific official, title and agency): None to the knowledge of the Phoenix Division
5. (Con't.) will be on duty in this room around the clock and that the Tucson RA main door from the second floor hall is kept locked except when agents are in the room to which the main door leads.

15. Remarks: [] representative of the Mountain States Telephone Company at Phoenix, has advised that the cost of the installation of this and simultaneously requested surveillances will be borne by the telephone company. There are indications, according to Mr. [], that CHARLES BATTAGLIA of the BONANNO organization has been able to secure inside information on listings from the Tucson telephone company and SALVATORE BONANNO, according to informant [], has claimed that he has a contact within the telephone company. For this reason, no one except a trusted top executive at Tucson will know of these installations and [] and his superior at Phoenix will be the only ones aware of the installations in the Phoenix office of the telephone company. Mr. [] is anxious to eliminate the possible leaks in his organization and for this reason he stated the telephone company is willing to assume the cost of these installations.

b6
b7C
b7D

16. Recommendation of Assistant Director:

17. Recommendation of Assistants to the Director:

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI 92-4494
 FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428) JUNE
 SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INSTALLATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

DATE: 2/18/60

RE: Title SALVATORE V. BONANNO, aka

Character of Case AR

Field Office Phoenix

Symbol Number [REDACTED]

Type of Surveillance (Technical or
Microphone)

1. Name and address of subject: SALVATORE V. BONANNO, home address 875 West Cresta Loma Drive, Tucson, Arizona, office address Suite 202, 270 North Church Street, Tucson, Arizona

2. Location of technical operation: Tucson RA. BONANNO has one listed and one unlisted telephone at his home and a three trunk rotary at his office. [REDACTED] will cover his home. [REDACTED] will cover his office.

3. Other technical surveillances on same subject: None

③ - Bureau (RM)
 1 - Phoenix

JBD/cmh
 (4)

RECEIVED
 MAR 1 1960

4. Cost and manpower involved: Installation costs and operational costs nothing inasmuch as the Mountain States Telephone Company will make no charge on this and other installations being simultaneously requested. Fourteen twelve-hour shifts weekly will be necessary to maintain coverage on this surveillance. The Tucson RA has ten men assigned to it and it is contemplated that ten of the fourteen weekly shifts will be covered by the resident agents. It will be necessary for two agents from Phoenix to spend two days weekly each in Tucson to cover the remaining shifts. The cost in addition to salaries will be the per diem of two days weekly for two agents from Phoenix.

MAR 9 1960

REC-68

20 FEB 23 1960

5. Adequacy of security: The Tucson RA has a series of four adjoining rooms in the northwest corner of the second floor of the Tucson Post Office Building. It is contemplated that this surveillance and others being simultaneously requested will be placed in the room to the extreme west which room is itself on the northwest corner of the building. The only entrance to this room is from another room in the Tucson RA and locks may be installed on this door with keys which will be kept in the possession only of Bureau agents. It is pointed out that agents (Con't. on page 3)

6. Type of case involved: Top Hoodlum Program. Subject is the son of national top hoodlum JOSEPH BONANNO and acts as his father's "front" in dealing with other hoodlums in the Tucson area, apparently is handling his father's investments, and is regarded as the intermediary between all members of the underworld and JOSEPH BONANNO.

7. Connection or status of subject in the case: See 6.

8. Specific information being sought: JOSEPH BONANNO, his son SALVATORE BONANNO, national top hoodlum CHARLES BATTAGLIA, and minor members of the same organization, according to informants and from observation, frequently telephone each other in Tucson and frequently make long distance calls to other individuals throughout the U. S. The activities of BONANNO and his organization in Tucson are not clear at the present time and it is felt that this surveillance may reveal some of their activities.

9. Reasons for believing the specific information will be obtained by the technical surveillance: Informants have advised that the members of the BONANNO organization use telephones to communicate with each other locally and on the long distance level.

10. Importance of case and subject: The BONANNO organization, of which the subject is a member, is considered to be growing in importance not only locally but on a national level and it appears that JOSEPH BONANNO, the head of this organization, is coming to be regarded more and more by hoodlums throughout the U. S. as a leader in the criminal underground.

11. Possibilities of obtaining desired information by other means (Explain in detail): At the present time it does not appear that this information can be gained by other means because of the lack of live informants closely entrenched in the organization, the fact that these individuals use the telephone frequently in communicating with each other, and the fact, according to informants, that the Italian language is frequently used both in telephone conversations and in person to person conversations. The Phoenix Office has no Italian speaking agents and should this surveillance be instituted, recordings of conversations in Italian will be made for later translation.
12. Risks of detection involved: None apparent at this time. The technical position of the installation within the frame rooms of the telephone company at Tucson will be made between the hours of midnight and 4:00 AM by agents of the Phoenix Office and telephone company contacts from Phoenix. During this period no Tucson employees will be on duty and with the possible exception of a top executive in Tucson, no Tucson telephone company employees will be aware of the installation.
13. Probable length of technical surveillance: Indefinite pending information developed after surveillance is instituted.
14. Request made for technical surveillance by any outside agency (name specific official, title and agency): None to the knowledge of the Phoenix Division
5. (Con't.) will be on duty in this room around the clock and that the Tucson RA main door from the second floor hall is kept locked except when agents are in the room to which the main door leads.

15. Remarks: [] representative of the Mountain States Telephone Company at Phoenix, has advised that the cost of the installation of this and simultaneously requested surveillances will be borne by the telephone company. There are indications, according to Mr. [] that CHARLES BATTAGLIA of the BONANNO organization has been able to secure inside information on listings from the Tucson telephone company and SALVATORE BONANNO, according to informant [], has claimed that he has a contact within the telephone company. For this reason, no one except a trusted top executive at Tucson will know of these installations and [] and his superior at Phoenix will be the only ones aware of the installations in the Phoenix office of the telephone company. Mr. [] is anxious to eliminate the possible leaks in his organization and for this reason he stated the telephone company is willing to assume the cost of these installations.

b6
b7C
b7D

16. Recommendation of Assistant Director:

17. Recommendation of Assistants to the Director:

SAC, Phoenix

3/2/60

Director, FBI

JUNE

**CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE MATTERS
PHOENIX DIVISION**


The Bureau is in receipt of seven communications from the Phoenix Office dated 2/18/60 suggesting technical surveillances of ten telephones for the purpose of securing coverage of significant hoodlum or underworld figures in the Tucson, Arizona, area. Each of these requests has been carefully weighed and considered in the light of the urgent necessity to improve coverage of criminal intelligence matters in the Tucson area. It does not appear advisable at this time, however, to undertake the installation of the requested technical surveillances. It has been the experience of those offices in which intensive coverage of hoodlum activities has been effected that all the persons engaged in activities at the highest level expect that their telephones are being covered and for that reason maintain maximum security in all telephone conversations. In addition to that, it is pointed out that it would be necessary to secure the concurrence of the Attorney General in considering the installation of this type of technical surveillance and the circumstances presented by the Phoenix Office in recommending these installations do not appear to justify such action.

Your office is being encouraged to consider the possibility of covering all of the locations mentioned in your requests with appropriate microphone surveillances inasmuch as the telephone company has expressed its desire to cooperate in arranging for leased lines which would facilitate monitoring in the space occupied by the Tucson Resident Agency. A complex of microphone coverage equal to that you have proposed for telephone coverage would be manifestly most useful in providing a complete and coordinated picture of the planning and activities of the persons generally felt to be most influential in the underworld whose activities appear to have significance on a national basis.

- 1 - PX file 66-428 (Charles Battaglia)
- 1 - PX file 66-428 [redacted]
- 1 - PX file 66-428 (Salvatore V. Bonanno)
- 1 - PX file 66-428 [redacted]
- 1 - PX file 66-428 (Anthony Scrivano)
- 1 - PX file 66-428 (Charles Rootner)
- 1 - PX file 66-428 (Joseph Bonanno)
- 1 - Bureau File 92-3885
- 1 - Bureau File 92-4492
- 1 - Bureau File 92-4494
- 1 - Mr. J. D. Donohue

- 1 - Bureau File 92-4490
- 1 - Bureau File 92-2880
- 1 - Bureau File 92-2710

See note on page 3



Ram
6 MAR 9 1960

RGE:van (18)

b6
b7C

ORIGINAL COPY FILED IN 66-428-141

Letter to Phoenix
Re: Criminal Intelligence Matters

The Phoenix Office is accordingly authorized to discreetly survey the possibility of obtaining suitable microphone coverage concerning known meeting places or other significant areas represented by the ten locations set out in the seven communications mentioned above, namely:

1. 1938 West Lester Street
Tucson, Arizona
(Charles Battaglia, Bufile 92-3885)
2. Crescent Smoke Shop
216 East Congress
Tucson, Arizona
[REDACTED], Bufile 92-4492)
3. 875 West Cresta Loma Drive
Tucson, Arizona
(Salvatore V. Bonanno, Bufile 92-4494)
4. Suite 202
270 North Church Street
Tucson, Arizona
(Salvatore V. Bonanno, Bufile 99-4494)
5. [REDACTED]
Tucson, Arizona
([REDACTED], Bufile 92-4490)
6. [REDACTED]
Tucson, Arizona
([REDACTED], Bufile 92-4490)
7. 109 North Columbus Boulevard
Tucson, Arizona
(Anthony Scrivano
Bufile 92-4494 re Salvatore V. Bonanno)
8. Suite 204
270 North Church Street
Tucson, Arizona
(Anthony Scrivano
Bufile 92-4494 re Salvatore V. Bonanno)

b6
b7c

Letter to Phoenix
Re: Criminal Intelligence Matters

9. Apt. 11, 4100 East 29th Street
Tucson, Arizona
(Charles Hootner
Bufile 92-2830 re Peter Licavelli)
10. 1847 East Elm Street
Tucson, Arizona
(Joseph Bonanno, Bufile 92-2710)

NOTE:

The Bureau has not authorized any technical surveillances under the criminal intelligence programs. Microphone coverage has been effect with very successful results in many areas. The importance of the Tucson, Arizona, area has been established on the basis of sources in other part of the country and the persons represented in attached Phoenix communications represent what appears to be the most significant underworld element in the Southwest area. The Phoenix Office was instructed in Bureau letter 1/27/60 to consider every possibility for confidential coverage of significant area hoodlums and the office appears to have made considerable preliminary effort with a view to establishing the sources. For this reason it is considered advisable to authorize preliminary surveys to determine whether microphone surveillances can be controlled at these locations under conditions of maximum security.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 3/5/60

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATOR BONANNO, Aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix dated 2/24/60,
entitled: "CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS, PHOENIX
OFFICE." Bureau file 62-9-38.

This case was opened in September, 1959, more
or less for administrative reasons, because subject's
father JOSEPH BONANNO, Aka. appeared to be fading
into the background and possibly pushing subject to
the fore.

All previous information concerning subject had
been reported in the case entitled: "JOSEPH BONANNO,
Aka., AR - THP" and in other corollary files.

A report on subject has been typed in the Phoenix
Division and will be assembled the early part of next
week and sent to the Bureau.

This report has been delayed because of the
extensive file reviews which became necessary in
order to assimilate all information concerning subject
into one report.

It was not possible to get this report out by
1/6/60 because of the above reason and SA [redacted]
[redacted], to whom this case is assigned, is the
Senior Resident Agent at Tucson, and during the 1959
Christmas holiday season was unable to devote his
full time to these criminal intelligence-type cases
because of other duties necessitated by some of the
other Agents being on annual leave during this period.

② - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

KFJ:JMK
(3)

EX-130

REC-90

92-4494-5

15
18 MAR 10 1960

50 MAR 17 1960

CRIME RECORDS

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

It was not possible to send Agents to the Tucson Resident Agency from Phoenix during this time, inasmuch as in December, 1959, the Phoenix Division was engaged in several special-type investigations requiring extensive assignment of personnel in headquarters city.

During the Month of February, 1960, SA [] was ill and also the other agent SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ, who is also working these cases in the Tucson Resident Agency, was called to St. Louis by the sudden death of his father and while there contracted virus pneumonia and is still on sick leave.

b6
b7C

Also in February, 1960, SA [] had to conduct fisurs by himself in Tucson on BONANNO and other individuals being investigated in this program. During this period, it was not possible to assign other Agents to this work from Phoenix or Tucson because of additional special-type investigations which had occurred in Phoenix and Tucson.

It is to be noted that during this period SA [] overtime was over four hours a day.

I have personally kept myself apprized of the activities of the subjects in all of these cases in the Phoenix Division by handling these matters on the SAC's desk. I have instructed SA JOHN B. DUFFY in the Phoenix Division to co-ordinate the investigations of this and similar-type cases to avoid duplication of effort.

b6
b7C

I have instructed relief supervisors to make certain that all serials in this and similar-type cases be routed to me when they are supervising these cases in my absence. I have admonished SA [] to permit no further delays in reporting in this case.

PX 92-172

The Bureau is assured that I am placing every importance on this program. We are also making every attempt to handle the program without requesting additional personnel. This has not been possible without interruptions requiring the need for the personnel assigned to this program on more urgent matters from time to time. It appears that we may have to request more personnel if the program continues to expand in size and importance. I shall follow this closely and make every effort to achieve results in accordance with the Bureau's objectives both as to the program and the use of personnel.

SAC, Phoenix (92-15)

3/15/60

Director, FBI (62-9-38)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

**CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS
PHOENIX OFFICE**

Reurlets dated 3/5/60 captioned as follows:
"William Salvator Bonanno, AKA., AR"; "Joseph Harvey Hootner, AR"; "Joseph Genovese, AR"; and [REDACTED], AR."

In Bulet to Phoenix dated 2/24/60 captioned "Criminal Intelligence Programs, Phoenix Office, Administrative Review," you were instructed to submit explanations in detail as to delays in reporting results of investigation in the cases represented by the four referenced communications.

While it appears that the delays in the cases of Joseph Harvey Hootner and William Salvator Bonanno were occasioned by the very heavy work load in the Tucson Resident Agency during the period in question, the Bureau has subsequently received substantial reports concerning the investigation of each of these individuals. These two reports and the investigative planning reflected in the administrative pages of each indicate that the respective cases are receiving investigative attention commensurate with the stature of the subjects in the Criminal Intelligence Programs of your office. The Bureau is in agreement with your observation concerning the status of the cases involving Joseph Genovese and [REDACTED].

The delay in answering communications from the Bureau concerning the status of these investigations has not materially affected the quality of the coverage or the handling of substantive aspects of the cases. You are instructed, however, to score appropriate errors of form for personnel responsible for overlooking the fact that the investigation on [REDACTED] had been closed prior to Phoenix letter of 12/21/59 advising that a report in that case would be submitted by 1/6/60.

6 - Phoenix

- (1 - Pfile 92-165 [REDACTED])
- (1 - Pfile 92-168 Joseph Genovese)
- (1 - Pfile 92-170 Joseph Harvey Hootner)
- (1 - Pfile 92-172 William Salvator Bonanno)

YELLOW
DUPLICATE
MAR 14 1960
MAILED

- 1 - Bufile 92-4494 1 - Bufile 92-4493
- 1 - Bufile 92-4210 1 - Bufile 92-4496
- 1 - [REDACTED]

92-4494
MAR 16 1960

(See Note Page 2)

b6
b7C

62-9-38-146

b6
b7C

ORIGINAL FILED IN

52 MAR 18 1960

Letter to Phoenix
Re: Criminal Intelligence Programs

The administration of the Top Hoodlum Program in each office is the personal responsibility of the SAC. If properly followed in the field, it should not be necessary for the Bureau to inquire as to the status of cases under consideration by your office.

In seeing to it that your office discharges its responsibilities with respect to the Criminal Intelligence Programs of the Bureau, you must be alert for weaknesses which affect the handling of these programs whether these weaknesses be reflective of the investigative efforts of the Agents handling the cases or if the weaknesses occur in connection with the coordination or administration of the program within the headquarters city. In the event weaknesses are detected it is your personal responsibility to make specific recommendations to the Bureau for the purpose of effecting appropriate corrective action.

NOTE: The inspection report of the Phoenix Office dated 10/24/59 indicated 8 cases currently under investigation by the Phoenix Office. By Bulet of 12/3/59 Phoenix was instructed to advise Bureau the status of these cases and whether reports would be expected. By letters of 12/21/59 Phoenix Office advised reports would be received by 1/6/60. This matter was followed again with Phoenix on 1/27/60 when the SAC was instructed to advise Bureau reasons for delay in these cases. Since the reply to these instructions was not sufficiently detailed to allow proper determination of the situation, Phoenix Office instructed by Bulet of 2/24/60 to submit detailed explanations in each case. On the basis of the referenced communications it has been determined that the matters have been receiving proper investigative attention in the Tucson Resident Agency where all of the cases were assigned and the major shortcoming involved in this situation was the failure of Phoenix Office to keep the Bureau properly advised of the status of the cases and the reasons for delay in submission of reports. The delays do not appear to have effected the investigation or the coverage of criminal intelligence matters, as errors of substance. The SAC is being instructed to score appropriate form errors and to make specific recommendations regarding any detected weaknesses in the administration or coordination of these cases in headquarters city.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE MAR 7 1960	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 9/11/59 - 2/26/60
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka Salvatore V. Bonanno, William V. Bonanno, "Bill"		REPORT MADE BY SA 	TYPED BY hpw
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI-RACKETEERING	

REFERENCES

Phoenix letter to New York dated 10/12/59:
Phoenix letter to New York dated 10/26/59.

P

ADMINISTRATIVE

Although the period of this report covers an extended period of time, this case has received constant attention. It will also be noted there is reported in this report investigation conducted previously in connection with the Phoenix Division file entitled "JOSEPH BONANNO, aka, A-R," which investigation is pertinent to this subject.

LEADS

THE BALTIMORE DIVISION:

APPROVED: [Signature]	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE: SEE COPIES COVER PAGE B PX 92-172 2 - Bureau (92-4494)		92-4494-6	REC-49
		21 18 MAR 1960	EX-135

PROPERTY OF FBI - This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned

51 MAR 24 1960

PX 92-172

C O P I E S M A D E:

2 - Bureau (92-4494)
2 - Baltimore
2 - Butte (92-27)
2 - Chicago (92-765)
2 - Cincinnati
2 - Detroit (92-521)
2 - Indianapolis
2 - Kansas City (92-536)
2 - Los Angeles (92-685)
2 - Minneapolis
2 - Newark (92-778)
2 - New York (92-1586)
2 - St. Louis (92-460)
2 - Salt Lake City
4 - Phoenix (92-172)

LEADS
(cont'd)

THE BALTIMORE DIVISION:

AT WILMINGTON, DELAWARE:

Will furnish background information on the following names whose telephone numbers called by subject from Claridge Hotel, Atlantic City, New Jersey, August 11, 1959:

,
telephone Olympia 8-4800.

b6
b7c

B
COVER PAGE

[redacted]
Wilmington, Delaware, telephone OLympia 8-4810.

b6
b7C

It should be born in mind that since subject at the time the calls were in attendance at a Unico convention in Atlantic City, New Jersey, it may be that these persons are also members of the Unico or have some connection with that organization. If not, it is suggested that background information on them be furnished and any available information indicating they may have any connection with subject or any illegal activities of any kind.

THE BUTTE DIVISION:

AT NEZPERGE, IDAHO:

Will discreetly interview [redacted] to ascertain if he is acquainted with subject and the nature of this acquaintance. Will bear in mind that it may have been someone else in subject's offices making the telephone call to this number, [redacted], or may have been some of subject's associates who made the call. Butte will refer to their letter to Phoenix dated 12/5/59.

THE CHICAGO DIVISION:

AT ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS:

Will identify telephone number WO 8-1060 which was called from Glendale, Arizona on 12/26/59 and charged to telephone in the insurance company in Tucson, MAine 3-5868, person called in Rockford was [redacted].

b6
b7C

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS:

Will identify subscriber to telephone WE 9-4800 called 1/25/60, personally by [redacted] to LOU SANTI.

THE CINCINNATI DIVISION:

Will identify subscribers and ascertain any connection they may have with hoodlum activities or subject of this case at the following numbers:

PX 92-172

CINCINNATI: (Cont'd)

JU 1-8488

CH 1-3080

b6
b7C

THE DETROIT DIVISION:

Will identify subscribers to the following numbers as called from subject's telephone:

BR 3-1047

TR 3-1350

VE 8-8150

VE 5-7338

WO 1-9093

THE INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION:

Will identify subscriber to number LR 7-5466 which was called from subject's telephone 1/26/60 to one or

THE KANSAS CITY DIVISION:

AT EL DORADO, KANSAS:

Will identify numbers DA 1-1888, DA 1-2230, DA 1-4170, DA 1-2135. It is believed some of these may be to a Mrs. . If so, ascertain from her the present location and employment of her daughter, Mrs. who was formerly employed in subject's office, so leads may be set out to have her located and interviewed.

b6
b7C

It is noted that after two of the above numbers were names . Will also discreetly ascertain background on these individuals.

AT KANSAS CITY, KANSAS:

It is noted that 10/5/59, telephone number HA 1-9295 was called from subject's telephone and the name "Jungle Club" appears on the ticket. Ascertain if possible if anyone connected with that club may have connections with subject or other hoodlums in the Tucson area.

THE LOS ANGELES DIVISION:

PX 92-172

AT BURBANK, CALIFORNIA:

Will if possible discreetly ascertain if subject's trucks may have delivered salvaged airplane parts to the Aircraft Supplies Limited, 415 N. Victory Blvd., in the summer of 1959.

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA:

Will identify telephone number HO 9-0186 charged to subject's telephones by the caller on 12/5/59.

As it will be noted in this report, there are numerous calls to [redacted] number HO 3-0583. It is believed these may have been made by CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA from subject's telephones.

b6
b7C

It is also noted calls were made to OL 7-0290 or OL 7-0270, Dr. [redacted], 12/14/59 and then to CR 6-8552, [redacted] on 1/18/60. Furnish background information on these subscribers.

THE MINNEAPOLIS DIVISION:

Will identify subscriber to telephone number FE 6-3611 called from subject's telephone on 11/24/59. Furnish background on any indication this individual may be connected with subject or any illegal activities.

THE NEWARK DIVISION:

AT ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY:

Will check appropriate records and report whether subject still is an officer and holds an interest in the Airport Motel with ANTHONY RIELA. Will also ascertain Claridge Hotel whether subject has ever paid his bill at that place.

AT NEWARK, NEW JERSEY:

Will furnish any subscriber to telephone PI 4-9747 which number called subject's Tucson number 1/28/60. The caller listed as "Tony".

PX 92-172

THE NEW YORK DIVISION:

It is noted that most of the numbers listed in this report called from the New York area were to persons who recently reported to the Phoenix Division:

GL 2-7454 [REDACTED]

Will report results of investigation pending in that division.

THE ST. LOUIS DIVISION:

Will identify subscriber of number NA 1-6600 which was called from subject's phone 10/1/59 to an individual [REDACTED]. If this is a hotel or other public place, suggest obtaining [REDACTED] full name and address.

It will be noted as set out in this report and in previous correspondence to the St. Louis Office subject has over the past several months had telephone calls charged to his number made to ANTHONY SALAMONE or SOLOMON, aka "Tony" in the Adult Education Department of the St. Louis University, telephone number JE 5-3300. It is considered possible that this individual may be an officer of Unico and if so, it would not appear necessary that any further investigation be conducted in this regard. However, if such is not the case, suggest background information on SOLOMON be furnished.

b6
b7c

THE SALT LAKE CITY DIVISION:

AT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA:

It is noted that several telephone calls have been charged to subject's telephone numbers in Tucson which were made to [REDACTED] at the Desert Inn. If possible, will ascertain what connection this individual may have with BONANNO and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA. Will also ascertain whether BONANNO or his associates may have an interest in the Desert Inn.

Will furnish the identity of the subscriber to telephone DUDLay 2-9073. It is noted as set out in this report that numerous calls have been charged to subject's telephones were made to this number and similar calls appear

quite obvious to have been those made by CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA but charged to subject's telephone. Will also if possible ascertain whether subject BONANNO or any of his associates including BATTAGLIA frequent Las Vegas gambling places or night spots, also whether they are known to send gifts to any of these establishments and have the bills sent to them at Tucson.

It is noted on some calls to DUDley 2-9073 that they were personal calls to [redacted]. It is noted that at least on one instance when calls made to this number, that number was crossed out and inserted on the ticket was the number DU 4-3650.

Will also note that either BATTAGLIA or subject or someone else who hangs around subject's place of business at 270 N. Church in Tucson, Arizona, make numerous calls to Hollywood, California, HO 3-0583 to [redacted], aka, FBI # [redacted] who operates as a west coast liaison for [redacted], Cincinnati odds-maker. Will also ascertain from any available sources of information or informants as to whether subject and his associates including BATTAGLIA may be operating in the Tucson area for [redacted] or [redacted].

b6
b7C

It should be further noted that in checking the telephone calls charged to the telephone in Tucson, MA 4-2376 which is the office of [redacted], an accountant, who handles business for subject, subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, PETE LICAVOLI, and other hoodlums and police characters in the Tucson area. It is noted that on 11/26/59, a collect call was taken at [redacted] office and a toll ticket indicates it was made to [redacted] partner, [redacted] from the Desert Inn Hotel, DU 2-0000 in Las Vegas and there was a notation which looks like room 921. Will ascertain who was occupying that room on 11/26/59. It is considered possible it may have been either subject or BATTAGLIA.

b6
b7C

THE PHOENIX DIVISION:

Will report results of investigation to determine nature and extent of the relationship of the several persons and firms listed in this report as having been called from subject's telephones in Tucson.

It should be noted also that there are several other other numbers in Phoenix called from subject's telephones which are being checked and will be reported in a subsequent report.

Will remain in contact with PCI [] and PCI [] for any information that they may be able to furnish concerning subject's activities.

b6
b7C
b7D

Will remain in contact with the HiWay House Motel and other places subject is known to frequent in Phoenix so the Phoenix Division will be advised when subject is staying in that city.

Will if possible arrange to conduct spot surveillances of subject's pals around the Phoenix area when he is known to be in that city.

Will ascertain at the appropriate offices of the State Insurance Department and the State Real Estate Board Office whether the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., is licensed under provisions of the state laws. It should be noted that on one occasion, information was received that [] had a real estate for this insurance agency and on one other occasion information received that ANTHONY SCRIVANO had the real estate license for this insurance agency. This should be qualified and in the event it is in the name of [] ascertain if an out of state resident is permitted to have such a license.

b6
b7C

Will remain in contact with the sources of information at the airlines offices so the Phoenix Division will be advised in the event subject makes any reservations for travel through these offices.

Will at the Headquarters Offices of the Southern Arizona Bank, Valley National Bank and The Bank Of Douglas, also at the First National Bank of Arizona, make the appropriate arrangements so the auditing departments of those banks can make a survey of all the many branches in the state of Arizona in an effort to determine whether subject has any bank accounts, loans, safety deposit boxes, escrows or any other type of business with these branches.

This should not, however, include the City of Tucson inasmuch as this is being done in that city at the various branches of the above named banks.

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA:

Will report results of the check being made by Mr. [] at the Valley National Bank, Downtown Branch, with the other eight branches in the City of Tucson; Mr. [], Southern Arizona Bank, Downtown Branch, and the other Tucson branches; Mr. [], Bank of Douglas, Downtown Branch with the branches in the outlying areas of this city; Mr. [] and Manager, First National Bank of Arizona; Mr. [] [] [] The Bank of Tucson.

b6
b7C

Will remain in contact with Mr. [] and follow and report progress he has made in settling with subject and any information he has developed pertinent to this investigation which he received during his conferences in an effort to effect a settlement.

Will remain in contact with PCIs [] for any information they may be able to develop pertinent to this investigation.

b6
b7C
b7D

Will discreetly try to make contact with Miss [] in an effort to determine if she can be developed as an informant. It is noted that she is an officer in subject's food company and has been employed in this company for quite some time having been an original employee of [].

Will also discreetly contact Mr. [], also a present employee of subject who was formerly employed by [] and is a good friend of [].

Will continue monitoring subject's telephone accounts for contacts made with persons in other places.

Will at the County Assessor's Motor Vehicle Registration Department, obtain the registration data on the 1960 Thunderbird purchased by JOSEPH BONANNO which is reported to be registered in the name of subject. This license is AZV 801.

PX 92-172

Will continue to conduct fisures in an effort to keep up on subject's activities in the Tucson area.

Will continue to remain in contact with the airlines offices at the Tucson Municipal Airport for notification of subject's travel by air.

Will remain in contact with Sgt. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] NA, Head of the Intelligence Unit, Tucson PD, for any information that he has developed pertinent to this investigation.

b6
b7C

INFORMANTS

T-1,
T-2,
T-3,
T-4,
T-5,
T-6,
T-7,
T-8,
T-9,
T-10,
T-11,

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

PX 92-172

T-12,

T-13,

T-14,

T-15,

T-16,

b6
b7C
b7D

CONTEMPLATED ADDITIONAL INFORMANT COVERAGE

It is contemplated that [redacted]

[redacted] can be developed to furnish information and to obtain information concerning subject and his associates. It should be noted [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

It is also contemplated that an attempt will be made to develop [redacted] as an informant. This individual [redacted] CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA and [redacted] is reported to be disgusted with [redacted] BATTAGLIA and subject of this case. SA [redacted] has arranged for word to get to [redacted] that SA [redacted] would like to talk to him. It also contemplated that [redacted] presently a [redacted] with [redacted] may soon be in a position that he can be approached for information inasmuch as SA [redacted] has determined from a friend of [redacted] that [redacted] is disgusted with his [redacted] handling business for subject and other hoodlums and is seriously contemplating leaving the [redacted] in the very near future.

K*
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA [REDACTED]
Date: MAR 6 1964

Office: PHOENIX

b6
b7C

File Number: 92-172

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTIRACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject born 11/5/32 at New York, New York, is son of JOSEPH BONANNO, aka., married [REDACTED] of Brooklyn, New York, 8/18/56 in Clayton, New York. [REDACTED] is niece of JOSEPH PROFACI of New York. Subject is president of Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., signs as partner with [REDACTED] in the R and B Enterprises, reportedly owns an interest in a motel in New Jersey with ANTHONY RIELA and [REDACTED], and reported to own the substantial interest in the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., of Tucson, and also owns an interest in the Western United Real Estate and Investment Company, an Arizona corporation. Subject has been observed on one occasion associating with ANTHONY JOSEPH BESASE, FBI # 203887, and on numerous occasions with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, FBI #4733808 and JOSEPH HARVEY HOOTNER. Latter reported looking after Tucson interests of PETER LICAVOLI, FBI # 237021. Informants state subject and BATTAGLIA have exhibited an interest in getting into the pinball machine business. Local authorities in Tucson feel subject's close association with BATTAGLIA is either with consent or direction of his father, JOSEPH BONANNO. Subject's food company reported to have been operating at a loss during past year. Numerous telephone contacts from Tucson made with [REDACTED] aka, FBI # [REDACTED] in Hollywood, California and charged to subject's office telephone. Other contacts of subject and travels set out. No arrest record known. THIS REPORT IS NOT TO BE DISSEMINATED.

b6
b7C

P

DETAILS:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of any kind. It is the property of the FBI, and is a loan to your agency; it and/or its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

16-74025-1 GPO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
A. PERSONAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND.	2
1. Birth	2
2. Residence.	2
3. Marital Status	2
4. Relatives.	2
5. Education.	3
6. Selective Service Information.	4
7. Employment	5
B. CRIMINAL RECORD	5
C. ASSOCIATES	5
D. CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES.	9
1. Bribery.	9
2. Pinball Machines	14
3. Organized Crime.	18
E. LEGITIMATE ACTIVITIES.	21
F. PLACES OF AMUSEMENT OR HANGOUTS FREQUENTED	50
G. TRAVEL	51
H. CONTACT.	54
I. MISCELLANEOUS.	76
J. PERSONAL HABITS AND PECULIARITIES.	84

A. PERSONAL HISTORY AND
BACKGROUND

1. Birth

Records of the University of Arizona at Tucson, Arizona, and the records of the Selective Service System, Local Board No. 13 in Tucson, reflect subject was born November 5, 1932 in Brooklyn, New York. Subject's father is JOSEPH BONANNO and his mother is Mrs. JOSEPH BONANNO, nee FAYE LA BRUZZO.

2. Residence

Subject resides with his wife [redacted] at 875 West Cresta Loma Drive, north of the City of Tucson. The house is located on a hilltop in a desolate, isolated desert area. The public roadway leading to subject's house ends at the house and the nearest public roadway from which subject's house can be seen other than Cresta Loma Drive is the drive known as Roller Coaster Road which passes within about 20 rods of subject's house to the north.

b6
b7C

3. Marital Status

On March 21, 1958, subject advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that he married [redacted] in 1956 at Clayton, New York. It should be noted that the files in the office of Local Board No. 13 in Tucson reflect that when subject filled out his questionnaire, he stated that he was married in Brooklyn, New York, August 18, 1956.

4. Relatives

Subject's parents are JOSEPH and FAYE BONANNO, mentioned supra. Subject has a sister, [redacted] and a younger brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, Jr.

b6
b7C

On March 21, 1958, subject advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that his wife's father SALVATORE PROFACI, deceased, was a brother of JOSEPH PROFACI of New York.

It should be noted that subject's wife has a brother [redacted] who still resides in New York.

5. Education

The files in the Registrar's Office at the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, as checked by SA [redacted] on September 21, 1959, reflect WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Matriculation No. 54266, was admitted to that University June 11, 1951 from Tucson Senior High School as a freshman. BONANNO attended the summer session of that University in 1951, the academic year of 1951-1952 and during the second semester of the latter year flunked several subjects and was placed on scholastic probation. Subject re-entered the University of Arizona during the academic year 1952-1953 and his scholastic probation status was removed January 24, 1953. During the second semester of this academic year he again received some failing grades and was placed on scholastic probation again March 24, 1953 which according to the records disqualified him for attendance at the University during subsequent sessions.

b6
b7C

On September 12, 1953, subject completed an unauthorized registration which was cancelled due to his ineligibility to enroll and during the academic year of 1953-1954, subject attended the second semester and on June 9, 1954, was again disqualified because of poor scholarship and there is an entry in the records of the University that subject would not be eligible to re-register in the University of Arizona at any future time except with special permission of the Dean of the College. The records reflect such permission was given subject to re-register on February 19, 1955 but during that second semester of that year, subject was again placed on scholastic probation March 15, 1955 and withdrew from the University April 19, 1955. The records reflect subject attended the University again in the summer session of 1955 and during the following academic year and again placed on scholastic probation January 28, 1956 which probation was removed March 20, 1956. The records reflect this is the last time subject attended the University of Arizona during the academic year of 1955-1956 and the records reflect there was a transcript sent April 28, 1956 to Arizona State College at Flagstaff, Arizona.

The records in the Registrar's Office also reflect

PX 92-172

that subject signed his name as WILLIAM S. BONANNO.

6. Selective Service Information

Mrs. [REDACTED], Clerk, Local Board No. 13, Tucson, Arizona, made available the files of that office on WILLIAM S. BONANNO which were reviewed by SA [REDACTED] on September 22, 1959. These files reflect subject was born November 5, 1932 at New York, New York and registered under the provisions of the Selective Service Act November 9, 1950 and was assigned Selective Service No. 2-13-32-325. When registering with the Selective Service Board, BONANNO gave his name as WILLIAM S. BONANNO and gave the name of the person who would always know his address as MARION DI PASQUELE of 1726 DeKalb Avenue, Brooklyn, New York. On the questionnaire executed by subject received in the office of Local Board No. 13 July 24, 1951, registrant said he was engaged in farming since May 1, 1950 on a 352 acre cotton farm but that he did not live on the farm.

b6
b7C

The file reflects that BONANNO was classified as 4-F by Local Board No. 13, February 16, 1954.

The file also reflects that the following information obtained at the time BONANNO was given a pre-induction medical examination which stated that the registrant suffered from "otitis media left, perforated left drum". There is contained also medical statements that BONANNO had been suffering for years from this condition and it is listed as "chronic purulent otitis media left which does not respond to treatment". "Been to several otologists from time to time. At male clinic was told no treatment would clear up the chronic ear infection which followed acute mastoiditis for which surgery was done. Also has troublesome allergic rhinitis and in December of 1950 had pneumonia in his left lung". This medical statement further reflects that [REDACTED] Medical Doctor, [REDACTED] Brooklyn, New York, furnished the local board with a statement July 18, 1951 which he stated that BONANNO had been under his observation 15 years and in May of 1939, was operated on for acute mastoiditis by the late Dr. S. H. DECOSTE at St. Catherine Hospital in Brooklyn, New York and that BONANNO's hearing in his left side is impaired considerably. The file

b6
b7C

reflects that BONANNO was in the standby reserve and has standby reserve service No. ER19 429 950 and this file in Local Board 13 contains a notice dated October 27, 1958 from [REDACTED], Chief, Enlisted Unit, Reserve Personnel Branch, Headquarters U. S. Army Corps (Reserve), Presidio of San Francisco, that WILLIAM S. BONANNO was removed from the reserve and honorably discharged from the U. S. Army Reserve on October 24, 1958.

b6
b7C

7. Employment

BONANNO's only employment for the past several months so far as is known at present has been in connection with the operation of the Tucson Food Distributing Company, Inc., (former Boulay Food Distributing Company), his association with the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., and assisting his family in looking after real estate holdings. Information concerning the above mentioned enterprises which are ostensibly supposed to be legitimate, will be treated in the later section of the report under "Legitimate Activities".

B. CRIMINAL RECORD

There was received from the Identification Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation on October 30, 1959, information that on the basis of the information furnished by the Phoenix Division, namely BONANNO's name, known aliases and descriptive data, the Identification Division was unable to identify any fingerprints on file in that division with BONANNO.

Sgt. [REDACTED], (NA) Head of the Intelligence Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, has advised that subject has no arrest record in the files of that department.

b6
b7C

C. ASSOCIATES

ANTHONY JOSEPH BESASE, FBI # 203887

BESASE was observed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY on April 25, 1959, at the Sky Harbor Airport, Phoenix, Arizona, between flights in the company of subject BONANNO, CHARLES

PX 92-172

JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, FBI # 4733808, and a Mr. [REDACTED] SA DUFFY ascertained that these men had just arrived in Phoenix from Las Vegas, Nevada.

b6
b7C

BESASE had been identified through a photograph taken in 1943, Toledo, Ohio Police Department No. 16938. On October 24, 1957, the Cleveland Division advised the Phoenix Division that BESASE had been a member for years of the gambling syndicate of Lucas County, Ohio, and a known hoodlum. Toledo Police Department records reflected numerous arrests for him including an indictment for murder in 1934, which charge was dropped. There was also an indictment for extortion in 1945 for which he was sentenced from 1 - 15 years. Toledo Officers referred to BESASE as a member of "The LICAVOLI Mob", which gang was active in all fields of gambling.

Nevada
Ohio
Calif
ARIZONA

During the investigation in the Phoenix Division of the case entitled "JOSEPH BONANNO, aka, AR", it was ascertained that among the many calls charged to the Tucson telephone number MAINE 3-3463, which is a telephone listed to the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. (formerly Boulay Food Distributing Company), which call was made May 4, 1959, and the caller, whose identity is unknown, was using credit card 64J-623-3463, made a call to Toledo, Ohio, telephone number GR 5-7830 to a person listed as [REDACTED].

b6
b7C

The Cleveland Division advised December 3, 1959 that Toledo telephone number GR 5-7830 is listed to one [REDACTED] 2033 Alexis Road, which is the address of ANTHONY JOSEPH BESASE. Toledo, Ohio

Charles Battaglia
CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, FBI # 4733808

The first information developed in connection with the investigation of JOSEPH BONANNO, aka, AR investigation, indicating that JOSEPH's son, the subject of this case, was associating with BATTAGLIA was when SA JOHN B. DUFFY observed BONANNO with BATTAGLIA as indicated in the paragraph above at Sky Harbor Airport.

Subsequently, on June 4, 1959, [REDACTED] Intelligence Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department, advised SA [REDACTED] that Officer [REDACTED] a rookie member

b6
b7C

of that department, who had been staying at that time at the Triple H Ranch in Tucson, BATTAGLIA was also staying there and [] stated that he observed on weekends subject, WILLIAM S. BONANNO, was usually present also at the Triple H Ranch. It should be noted that informant Phoenix T-1 advised SA [] that subject (BILL BONANNO ordered the installation of the telephone at the apartment at [] [], Tucson, Arizona, [] which phone was installed in September, 1959, telephone number EAST 7-7962, and BONNANO told the telephone company that the name of the subscriber that it was to be listed under, the name being [] [] was BONANNO's cousin and BONANNO also told T-1 that [] first wife first name was [] Phoenix T-1 said that information given for the background of subscriber [] was that he was a captain pilot at Davis-Monthan Air Force Base in the 43rd AR Squadron and [] gave as a reference to the telephone company the name of WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO. This apartment at that time was occupied by BATTAGLIA.

b6
b7c

BONANNO has been in frequent association with BATTAGLIA throughout the period since he was first observed with BATTAGLIA at the Sky Harbor Airport, supra.

Officer [] of the Tucson Police Department, has through the past several months observed BATTAGLIA and BONANNO together at the Blue Angel Restaurant and other places in the downtown area of Tucson on numerous occasions. BATTAGLIA has also been observed by SA [] in company with subject BONANNO in the downtown area of Tucson. Other associations of subject with BATTAGLIA is reflected in other sections of this report.

JOSEPH HARVEY HOOTNER

HOOTNER who resides in Apartment L1, Imperial Garden Apartments, 4100 E. 29th Street, Tucson, Arizona, is reported to be an employee and looking after the local interests in the Tucson area for PETER LICAVOLI, FBI # 237021, has been a close associate of BONANNO and observed in company of BONANNO on several occasions. HOOTNER has been observed by SA [] in the company of subject BONANNO on the downtown streets in Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7c

Officer [] of the Tucson Police Department

who has been in the upstairs offices of subject at 270 North Church in Tucson, has observed HOOTNER operating from an office in this space and HOOTNER was occupying a desk in one of these offices, according to [redacted].

b6
b7C

Sgt. [redacted], NA, Head of the Intelligence Unit, Tucson Police Department, has advised that BONANNO has been observed by Officers of that department on numerous occasions in the company of BONANNO.

Agents of the Phoenix Division ascertained that on June 9 and 10, 1959, HOOTNER was with BONANNO, ANTHONY SCRIVANO, aka Tony, and an unidentified fourth person, who was considered probably CHARLES BATTAGLIA staying at the HiWay House Motel in Phoenix, Arizona. Phoenix Agents ascertained that local telephone calls from the rooms occupied by these individuals were to Italian operated real estate agencies and to local call girls.

On June 19, 1959, the Los Angeles Division ascertained BONANNO and JOSEPH HOOTNER were among persons registered at the Crestview Hotel, Palm Springs, California.

Further information concerning BONANNO's association with HOOTNER appears in other sections of this report.

ANTHONY SCRIVANO

During the investigation of JOSEPH BONANNO, aka, AR case in the Phoenix Division, it was ascertained that subject BONANNO was a very close associate with SCRIVANO. SCRIVANO is also known as "TONY", is active in the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., 270 North Church Street, Tucson, which is reported to be a BONANNO enterprise. SCRIVANO has been observed by SA [redacted] and SA JOHN B. DUFFY on several occasions in company with subject BONANNO.

Other information concerning WILLIAM S. BONANNO's association with SCRIVANO appears in other sections of this report.

b6
b7C

[redacted], aka [redacted]

[redacted] who moved to Tucson in September, 1957,

ARIZONA

BROOKLYN, N.Y.

from New York City, was found to be a close friend of the BONANNO family according to information developed during the investigation of the case entitled "JOSEPH BONANNO, aka, AR".

[redacted] subsequently moved back to New York. On October 22, 1959, SA [redacted] of the New York Division, ascertained that [redacted] resides at [redacted] Brooklyn, New York and is self employed at the Rosalia Properties, Inc., 1617 86th Street, Brooklyn, New York, and that New York telephone HY 7-9754 is the [redacted] [redacted] Brooklyn, New York.

b6
b7C

It will be noted elsewhere in this report that [redacted] name appears as one of the incorporators of the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., which has offices at 270 North Church in Tucson.

Other persons that subject BONANNO is known to have either associated with but not known to be close associates or persons with whom he has been in contact will appear in other sections of this report.

D. CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES

1. Bribery

On September 8, 1959, Informant Phoenix T-2 advised SA [redacted] that on September 1, 1959, T-2 was contacted by [redacted] a local Tucson builder, who requested Phoenix T-2 to come by [redacted] office at his first opportunity which office was located at the construction site of a new subdivision just off of Silver Bell Road northwest of Tucson. [redacted] advised Phoenix T-2 that he wanted to see informant on an important matter. When Phoenix T-2 arrived at [redacted] office, he was met by [redacted] and shortly thereafter subject WILLIAM S. BONANNO and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA appeared at the office. The latter two individuals had [redacted] and everyone else around the office leave and BATTAGLIA carried the conversation and told Phoenix T-2 that he understood that informant was a fine person and well respected. BATTAGLIA told informant that he was willing to pay informant \$100 a week for

b6
b7C

information as to what the Vice Squad of the Tucson Police Department was doing and also what the FBI and other government agencies were checking on in the Tucson area. BATTAGLIA told informant that informant could contact him through [redacted] at the Crescent Smoke Shop, 216 East Congress Street in Tucson. BATTAGLIA said [redacted] would be the man who would pay off the money and that he, informant, should furnish any information to him, BATTAGLIA, either in person or by telephone. BATTAGLIA told informant that if he, the informant, doublecrossed him he, BATTAGLIA, would do the same to informant and BATTAGLIA implied that he would get even with informant's family. Informant said BONANNO did not have very much to say but it was quite obvious that he was in on the proposition.

b6
b7C

Informant advised that the reason apparently that BATTAGLIA had [redacted] contact him is that informant has been acquainted with [redacted] for several years.

During informant's conversation with BATTAGLIA and subject BONANNO, BATTAGLIA stated that "He knows all the FBI Agents" and specifically mentioned that on one occasion when he got off an airplane, one of the first people he saw was SA DUFFY.

Also during the conversation informant had with BATTAGLIA and subject BONANNO, BATTAGLIA indicated to informant that any time he, informant, wanted to go to the Desert Inn in Las Vegas, Nevada, he could do so with all expenses paid, except his gambling debts. Informant got the impression from the conversation that both BATTAGLIA and BONANNO had some sort of arrangements with the Desert Inn so they could send people there of their choice free of charge.

Phoenix T-2 has subsequently advised SA [redacted] that neither BATTAGLIA or BONANNO have mentioned this Las Vegas proposition during the subsequent meetings informant has had with them.

b6
b7C

It should be noted that [redacted] mentioned supra is identical with [redacted], aka

[redacted] who is a pensioned former business agent of an A F of L union in Brooklyn, New York but because of health reasons moved to the Tucson, Arizona area some years ago. b6 b7C

It should be noted that T-2 has advised that on subsequent meetings he had with either subject BONANNO or BATTAGLIA, or both, they were very elaborate in planning the meet to avoid possible surveillances. Phoenix T-2 said both BONANNO and BATTAGLIA are extremely surveillance conscious. Phoenix T-2 advised that on a meeting he had September 16, 1959 where only subject BONANNO showed up driving a very old model car which in the darkness Phoenix T-2 could not see well enough to describe accurately either as to the car or the license number. During this meeting subject BONANNO indicated to Phoenix T-2 that "they" were extremely interested in obtaining all the background information they could on Tucson Chief of Police BERNARD L. GARMIRE, NA, and BONANNO indicated that "they" had things all lined up to open up in Tucson until approximately two years ago and the Chief of Police GARMIRE was the only individual standing in their way and that "they" must get rid of GARMIRE one way or the other.

Phoenix T-2 has advised SA [redacted] that his impression is that subject BONANNO in making the contacts with Phoenix T-2 by himself and also with BATTAGLIA, was doing so with the consent and direction of his father, JOSEPH BONANNO.

It should be noted that Phoenix T-2 has had no recent meetings with either subject BONANNO or BATTAGLIA, the most recent one being just prior to Christmas, 1959. b6 b7C

During the late night hours on December 23, 1959, SA [redacted] was advised by Phoenix T-2 after SA [redacted] and informant had observed while passing by the Flamingo Restaurant that subject BONANNO and BATTAGLIA were sitting in a booth near the window by the sidewalk, that he, informant, was not particularly happy about making contacts with either subject BONANNO or BATTAGLIA for the reason that he feared for the safety of this family because he said BATTAGLIA is in his opinion a dangerous "hoodlum" and also because the job of contacting these individuals is extremely distasteful to informant.

Phoenix T-2 advised SA [] that he personally had observed subject BONANNO with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA during very late nighttime hours quite frequently in the Flamingo Bar or Coffee Shop on North Stone in Tucson. Phoenix T-2 advised that although he never heard BONANNO express himself, he gathered the impression that BONANNO shared the views of BATTAGLIA who has stated to informant in substance that he, BATTAGLIA, has no respect whatsoever and nothing but contempt for law enforcement officers. BATTAGLIA has implied to informant during his conversations with Phoenix T-2 that he feels all officers have a price and can be bought.

b6
b7C

On October 13, 1959, Tucson, Arizona Chief of Police BERNARD L. GARMIRE, NA, furnished to SA [] on a confidential basis the following information which according to GARMIRE would be insufficient from an evidentiary standpoint for that department to connect subject BONANNO with an alleged bribery or shakedown on the part of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA but because of WILLIAM S. BONANNO's close association with BATTAGLIA, BONNANO at least is suspect. GARMIRE said a recent incident involving BATTAGLIA's activities which involved oral threats against one [], operator of the Danzy Manufacturing Company, Tucson. GARMIRE said that in connection with [] who had been connected with this same business previously, [] was severely beaten in his home in Tucson on or about August 28, 1959, as was also a guest of [] by two unknown assailants according to GARMIRE were reported to have been ordered by CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA to beat up []. GARMIRE stated that the trouble between [] and BATTAGLIA arose over the affections of one [], nee [], aka [], a former paramour of [], but who has been more recently living with BATTAGLIA as man and wife in an apartment at that time located at 910 North Alvernon, Tucson.

b6
b7C

It seems that [] company had been furnishing to [] clothing without charge as a result of either direct or implied threats from BATTAGLIA. Not too long before October 12, 1959, [] advised [] young brother, [] age [], that he, [], was cutting off [] from getting any more clothing. Almost immediately after [] served this notice on [] one [] (LNU) drove up to the Danzy Company in an automobile belonging to JOSEPH BONANNO, father of subject WILLIAM S. BONANNO, and advised [] that "The Bat" was not happy about the matter and wanted [] to meet him at the Tucson Food Company, which is the name then used by the "Boulay Food Distributing Company, Inc.," a WILLIAM S. BONANNO enterprise. [] refused to agree to this meeting place and he was then told by [] (LNU), in substance, that he might suffer reprisals from "The Bat", meaning BATTAGLIA. Later [] received a telephone call from a man believed by him to have been WILLIAM S. BONANNO saying in substance that [] had better not incur the ire of "The Bat" or he might end up with "a hole in his head."

It should be noted that on the night of October 12, 1959, Chief of Police GARMIRE and Sgt. [] NA, talked to JOSEPH BONANNO, father of subject WILLIAM S. BONANNO, in the presence of BONANNO's attorney, []. During this conversation, the elder BONANNO denied knowledge as to the identity of the person who might have been using his personal automobile just prior to this meeting and denied even knowing anything about his son, WILLIAM S. BONNANO, having been a known close associate of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA and even denied any knowledge of the identity of BATTAGLIA.

It should be further noted that both Chief of Police GARMIRE and Sgt. [] subsequently advised SA [] that [], when interviewed subsequently, wanted to change his story somewhat and it was quite obvious to GARMIRE and [] that [] could not be relied on as a witness to testify to the facts given by him previously. Sgt. [] advised that [] is not considered very reliable and without corroborative evidence, [] advised that it was doubtful if much credence could be placed in [] statements.

[] had advised SA [] on September 26, 1959, that JOSEPH BONANNO's personal car was observed by [] and some other officers from the Intelligence Unit of the Tucson Police Department being driven by an unknown

man described as approximately 6' tall, white, very rough appearance, with what appeared to be either scars or pock-marks on his face and he appeared to be in his thirties.

[] stated that on that date the officers of the Intelligence Unit were surveilling a night spot in Tucson when they observed this individual driving up to this night spot in JOSEPH BONANNO's personal car and the driver of the car was observed by the officers meeting in this night spot with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, BATTAGLIA's brother-in-law [], WILLIAM S. BONANNO, and another man.

Thereafter Sgt. [] and SA [] checked daily and it was noted that the personal automobile of JOSEPH BONANNO which had been observed at the night spot as set out above was not in its usual parking place at his residence or anyplace else in the Tucson area until the night of October 8, 1959, when it was located by Sgt. [] and SA [] at a Mobilgas Service Station at the corner of West Congress and the freeway in Tucson. SA [] ascertained by an inquiry of the service station attendant that the car had been left there for servicing by some employee of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., which is located near this service station.

b6
b7C

2. Pinball Machines

On October 30, 1959, PX T-3 advised SA [] and SA JOHN B. DUFFY as follows:

On September 28, 1959, PX T-3 met CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, BILL BONANNO, and BILL BONANNO's friend, referred to by PX T-3 as BONANNO's "bag boy", ANTHONY SCRIVANO, aka TONY, at the Tucson Inn at Tucson, Arizona. PX T-3 had made arrangements to meet these individuals through one [], a small-time hoodlum at Phoenix, Arizona, and his purpose in contacting them was to try to arrange the financing of a pinball operation PX T-3 wanted to open up in the Phoenix area. After meeting BILL BONANNO, BATTAGLIA, and ANTHONY SCRIVANO at the Tucson Inn, they moved to room 28 at the Flamingo Motel in Tucson on September 28, 1959, where negotiations to have subject BONANNO and BATTAGLIA finance PX T-3 began. During these negotiations, BATTAGLIA furnished PX T-3 with the Tucson telephone number of MAIn 3-9551 and told PX T-3 in the future, when he wanted to contact either subject BONANNO or BATTAGLIA, he should call this number, ask for [], and

b6
b7C

tell [] that "Arizona is calling". BATTAGLIA stated that they would thereafter call PX T-3 at his Phoenix residence. PX T-3 stated this procedure had been effected on several occasions since this first meeting and that he has talked with BATTAGLIA concerning the proposed financing of PX T-3's enterprises. A check at the telephone company of PX T-3's long distance calls reflected that he had called [] at the Tucson number on October 5, October 10, and October, 14, 1959, and had called the number on a direct dialing on October 1 and October 5, 1959. b6 b7C

PX T-3 advised that during their meeting on September 28, 1959, there was also a man unknown to him present at the meeting who the others referred to as [] (phonetic). He stated he had seen [] previously around the Rainbow, a bookie joint at Ninth and Pike Streets, Seattle, Washington, but PX T-3 claimed that he did not know [] connection with BONANNO, BATTAGLIA, and the others.

PX T-3 advised that subsequent meetings have occurred with BONANNO, SCRIVANO, and [], a Phoenix restaurant operator, being present. PX T-3 pointed out that [] was very obsequious to BONANNO. These meetings, according to PX T-3, were held at the Lulu Belle Restaurant at Scottsdale, Arizona, and at [] Pizza House, Phoenix, Arizona.

PX T-3 advised that the negotiations have reached the point where he now feels that BONANNO and BATTAGLIA are ready to finance his operation in Phoenix and he expected a meeting with them soon. He pointed out that a meeting had been scheduled for October 26, 1959, but that it was cancelled as BILL BONANNO and BATTAGLIA had to make an emergency trip to New York due to the fact that JOSEPH BONANNO had suffered a heart attack in New York a day or two previously. (It should be noted that SA [] observed that WILLIAM S. BONANNO did take a plane from Tucson to New York; however, he was not accompanied by BATTAGLIA and during the period BONANNO was gone, BATTAGLIA was observed by SA [] in the Tucson area.) b6 b7C

PX T-3 also stated that BONANNO and BATTAGLIA said "their contact" in Las Vegas, Nevada, was an individual they identified only as [] who is a pit boss at the Desert Inn in that city.

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

PX T-3 advised that CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA insisted that whenever PX T-3 meets him, and PX T-3 assumed that was also applicable to any meeting with subject BONANNO, PX T-3 will carry no item of identification on his person except a driver's license. PX T-3 stated BATTAGLIA has told him, "We have only one code of ethics which you know about and we will effect our code if you go to the police and tell them about our relationship." PX T-3 stated he had no facts to substantiate this statement but he stated it appeared to him that possibly the BONANNO family had some interest in the [] restaurants in Phoenix, Arizona.

It should be noted also that PX T-3 advised SA [] and SA JOHN B. DUFFY that he had falsely led BATTAGLIA to believe that he could operate pinball machines in Phoenix with a payoff if he paid a percentage to a local officer; however, PX T-3 pointed out that this was merely a lie he told BATTAGLIA in order to impress BATTAGLIA that he, PX T-3, could open up illegal or semi-legal pinball operations in the Phoenix area. b6 b7C

It should be noted that previous investigation by the Salt Lake City Office has disclosed that [] is [] who is a pit boss at WILBUR CLARK's Desert Inn Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada. The Salt Lake City Office has advised that [] is a contact of numerous "hoodlums" and handles reservations, messages, and so forth for individuals arriving in that city.

The Seattle Office advised that PX T-4, who is familiar with the operation of the Rainbow in that city, advised SA [] on February 4, 1960, that [] was a local gambler having no steady employment but who had in the past worked in the pinball machine business in the Seattle area. PX T-4 was unable to furnish detailed information concerning [] background but he stated definitely [] was not a big-time operator. b6 b7C

PX T-5 has advised SA [] on several occasions in the past and as late as February 25, 1960, that he has been unable to obtain any information which would specifically connect subject WILLIAM S. BONANNO or any of his close associates in the Tucson area with the pinball machine business in the area. On February 25, 1960, PX T-5 advised

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

SA [] that the Tucson companies known as Canyon States Distributing Company and the Golden West Amusement Company headed by ANTHONY AVITABLE and partners [] and [] have recently bought out some other companies in other cities including Casa Grande and Phoenix, Arizona. The Casa Grande company is now operated under the name of Pinal Music Company and the Phoenix operation is under the name of Arizona Stereophonic Company. PX T-5 stated these companies in Tucson are fast gaining a monopoly of the juke box business in the State of Arizona and apparently have unlimited resources and also operate pinball-type machines; however, where they get their money is a mystery and PX T-5 has been unable to tie them in with subject BONANNO. PX T-5 advised SA [] that the man AVITABLE bought out in Casa Grande, Arizona, one [] advised PX T-5 recently that he was leaving for Las Vegas, Nevada, where he had a position waiting for him with the Nevada Coin Company. When PX T-5 asked [] who got him the job, [] merely smiled but declined to identify his contact.

b6
b7C

PX T-5 stated he has been suspicious that BONANNO or some of his associates in the Tucson area are financially interested in AVITABLE's companies but the only reason he has to think this is that the accountant for AVITABLE's companies is the same accountant who handles accounting matters for both subject BONANNO and his father, PETER LICAVOLI, and other associates of these individuals.

During one of the meetings which PX T-3 had with subject and BATTAGLIA, the conversation of subject and BATTAGLIA indicated definitely to PX T-3 that they were planning to enter the pinball machine business in Arizona. No information has been developed to establish whether their plans have gone beyond the formative stages and no additional information in this regard has been received.

Chief of Police BERNARD L. GARMIRE, NA, advised SA [] on February 23, 1960, that it appeared to him that his recent efforts in cooperation with Pima County, Arizona Attorney [] to have pinball machines declared illegal in the Tucson and Pima County area was a dead issue. GARMIRE advised that several weeks ago the matter had been presented to the Tucson City Council and they reacted favorably to a proposed ordinance outlawing pinball

b6
b7C

machines after GARMIRE had explained to the City Council that he had received information that the "hoodlum element" was planning to go into that business in the City of Tucson. GARMIRE stated everything went fine until the most recent meeting when the subject was again brought up at which time there was a local Tucson citizen who described himself as a retired businessman who, according to GARMIRE, was no doubt planted in the open City Council meeting, who raised considerable objections to singling out pinball machines and suggested that if the City Council desired to cut out gambling because of the possible bad influence on juveniles, they should cut out all gambling and the man at that time presented some little folders which had therein numbers similar to those common several years ago in what was called punchboards for which the purchaser paid 50¢ for ten numbers and if he had a winning number, he would get back \$10.00. GARMIRE stated he has no information as to who may have planted this individual in the City Council meeting but he stated this man made allegations that these things were being sold; however, GARMIRE stated he subsequently determined this was false. GARMIRE stated he had no information whatever which would connect the subject with this particular incident but he is pursuing this matter and will furnish any information which comes to his attention.

3. Organized Crime

In connection with the incident wherein [] was severely beaten in Tucson, Arizona, as mentioned previously in this report, SA [] interviewed [] on October 29, 1959, and displayed to him photographs of [] aka, and [] aka, to determine if he could identify these men as his assailants in connection with the beating he had suffered several weeks previously in Tucson. [] was somewhat noncommittal but indicated these were not his assailants. It is to be noted that [] was married formerly to a niece of [], a Tucson attorney who handles a lot of criminal cases.

b6
b7C

[] advised SA [] on October 29, 1959, that [] was afraid of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA and was afraid BATTAGLIA might have some of his henchmen kill him if he ever identified his men who assaulted him and for this reason, [] stated he had advised [] against making any

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

identification. [] also advised SA [] that he had recently talked with BILL BONANNO and asked BILL why BONANNO and his gang did not leave [] alone, that [] did not intend to bother either BONANNO or BATTAGLIA, and that he does not plan to try to see his old girl friend [], nee []. BONANNO replied by telling [] in substance, "I'll call the boys together tonight and see what I can do." [] stated he learned from a very reliable source that BONANNO, BATTAGLIA, and some other unknown individuals did meet that night. [] stated he has no specific facts on which to base his opinion but he felt that all indications are that since there has been so much unfavorable publicity concerning JOSEPH BONANNO in the Tucson area within the past year, JOSEPH BONANNO has apparently transferred some of his authority to subject WILLIAM S. BONANNO, his son, to the point where the latter speaks with some authority among the "hoodlum element" in the Tucson area. b6 b7C

As stated previously in this report, PX T-2 when talking with subject BONANNO on September 16, 1959, was told by the latter that "they" had things all lined up to open up in Tucson until approximately two years ago when BERNARD L. GARMIRE, NA, was appointed Chief of Police and that he is the only individual standing in their way and they must get rid of him one way or the other.

PX T-6 advised on September 8, 1959, that SAM GIANCANA and ANTHONY J. ACCARDO were in attendance at a conference on that date during which they discussed the crime syndicate picture on a nationwide basis. GIANCANA said that he had recently been to the east coast for the purpose of apparently solidifying his position with the east coast syndicate leaders in an attempt to take command of the syndicate activities properly in the Las Vegas, Nevada, and Southwest area. GIANCANA mentioned that he had made four appointments to see VITO GENOVESE but that VITO was busy. GIANCANA then met with TOMMY BROWN, true name THOMAS LUCHESE, in New York and was somewhat disgusted with these meetings in that LUCHESE insisted upon bringing [] with him during these meetings. GIANCANA indicated that most of these conferences were held in Atlantic City, New Jersey. According to GIANCANA, GENOVESE had been making trips outside of New York City to Buffalo, New York, Tucson, Arizona, and other places. GENOVESE made these trips without the sanction or knowledge at the time of his constituents which contributed toward displeasing both GIANCANA and b6 b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

LUCHESE. ACCARDO told GIANCANA that a situation like that described by him always exists particularly in the east coast. GIANCANA indicated that JOE BONANNO had gone to Tucson and made his son the boss in the Tucson area so that anyone who goes to the southwestern part of the U. S. must go to BONANNO regardless of the reason for being in that area.

It was decided that BONANNO had no business claiming to be the absolute authority in that section and it was agreed by both ACCARDO and GIANCANA that that particular area is "open territory".

ACCARDO surmised that BONANNO's reason for settling in Tucson lay in the fact that this would put him in a better position to move in on Las Vegas, Nevada.

ACCARDO told GIANCANA that he, GIANCANA, was in a good position because of the fact that the "commission" was increased to twelve individuals so that GIANCANA needs seven votes for a majority and six votes for standoff. ACCARDO and GIANCANA said that the following individuals among others are on this "commission":

THOMAS LUCHESE
STEVE MAGADDINO
JOE BONANNO
JOE ZERRILLI (Detroit)
VITO GENOVESE
JOE PROFACI
[redacted] (LNU)(Boston)
JOHN LA ROCCA (Pittsburgh).

b6
b7c

It should be noted that the Detroit Office on October 6, 1959, advised that JOSEPH ZERRILLI is referred to as one of the judges, peacemakers, or elder statesmen among the Italian gambling syndicate in Detroit, Michigan. ZERRILLI is regarded as a final arbiter in disputes among the syndicate members and has been credited with establishing the policy under which the syndicate operates in Detroit. It should be further noted that ZERRILLI's son, ANTHONY ZERRILLI, is married to [redacted] the daughter of JOSEPH PROFACI of Brooklyn, New York, mentioned as one of the members of the "commission", and JOSEPH PROFACI is a brother of subject BONANNO's wife's late father, SALVATORE PROFACI of Brooklyn, New York.

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

E. LEGITIMATE ACTIVITIES
TUCSON FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
INTERVIEW REPORTDate November 5, 1959

Mr. [] Tucson, Arizona, advised that he first became acquainted with the BONANNO family about four years ago when his mother came to Tucson to visit him from Fond du Lac, Wisconsin.

b6
b7C

He stated his brother in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, had rented a building to the Grande Cheese Company which Mr. [] understood is partly owned by JOSEPH BONANNO and at the time [] mother came to Tucson, she expressed a desire to meet Mr. BONANNO, having heard his name in Wisconsin. [] stated he took his mother to the BONANNO residence where they met JOSEPH BONANNO and his family.

[] stated he came to Tucson about five years ago from Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, and bought out the old Barbee Brothers Coffee Company which formerly operated in Tucson at 501 South Park Avenue. Mr. [] stated this company was in the business of distributing food products to institutions such as restaurants and hotels. He stated after purchasing the company, he changed the name to the [] Food Company and more recently, as of about January 1, 1959, he incorporated under the name of [] Food Distributors, Inc. [] stated the reason for the incorporation was that he took in WILLIAM BONANNO, son of JOSEPH BONANNO, to the business and [] and BONANNO owned the corporation on a fifty-fifty basis, the paid-up capital being \$40,000.00, \$20,000.00 of which was furnished by [] including equipment and stock at the time of the incorporation while BONANNO put in \$20,000.00 in cash.

b6
b7C

[] stated that prior to the incorporation, he had been paying himself a good salary and had been making a good living out of the business operating as an individual proprietorship but was not able to expand because of being under-capitalized and he had been for sometime thinking of getting more capital. He does not recall the exact time but he stated one of his former salesmen, one [] who was more recently working for the Harbor Company in Tucson, suggested to [] sometime during the year of 1958, that BILL BONANNO might be a good man to take into the business inasmuch as BILL apparently had a nice personality and had a lot of contacts. [] stated the arrangements were

b6
b7C

Interview with [] File # PX 92-172
on 10/27/59 at Tucson, Arizona Date dictated 11/2/59
by Special Agent [] /jkg/cmh

made with BONANNO by [redacted] local accountant who has been handling the bookkeeping for [redacted] company. [redacted], an attorney in Tucson, handled the legal phases of the incorporation and they keep the corporate records in their office; however, [redacted] keeps the accounting records for the corporation in his accounting office at 239 North Church Street in Tucson.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that BONANNO had told him information concerning BONANNO's extensive holdings in Tucson and also told [redacted] that he was part owner of a motel in New Jersey and had operated businesses in Flagstaff, Arizona. [redacted] stated he thought in view of this fact that BONANNO was apparently a rich man and had a lot of contacts and he would be a good man to take into the business. He stated, however, soon after BONANNO came into the business, he started expanding the expenses of the business, such as putting in additional telephones, purchasing new trucks, and renting automobiles, and doing a lot of travelling ostensibly on company business which was charged to the company but which, according to [redacted], never was of any help to the company's business. He stated since the first part of 1959 the expenses of the business have been out of line considering the amount of business done by the corporation and that most of this could be attributed to the activities of BONANNO. He stated as of August 31, 1959, the company had operated at a deficit for the first eight months of 1959 in the amount of \$17,992.45. He also stated as of that date the accounts payable for the corporation amounted to over \$70,000.00 while accounts receivable amounted to something over \$38,000.00 and their inventory was listed by [redacted] on the books as something over \$42,000.00. [redacted] stated since BONANNO had come into the business up until August 31, 1959, BONANNO had put, in addition to the original \$20,000.00 invested in the business, an additional \$17,500.00 into the business.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated he had no idea as to the source of these funds but he stated the amount of \$17,500.00 is carried on the books of the corporation as a loan payable. He stated that he went out of the business on or about September 1, 1959, after it was apparent that things were going from bad to worse and mostly because of the excessive expenses on the part of BONANNO and poor business practices also on the part of BONANNO. He stated he told BONANNO and the accountant, [redacted], that he desired to get out of the

b6
b7C

business and get his money and that they had a meeting some-time around the first week of September, 1959, at which time it was decided that [] would get out and get his money out of the business whatever he had coming according to the books and records of the corporation. [] stated there were three directors in the corporation, himself, BILL BONANNO, and []. He stated at the meetings of the board of directors, [] the attorney, always kept the minutes of the directors' meetings and has these in his office.

b6
b7C

[] stated that a few months ago BILL BONANNO brought into the business a man who had been connected with the frozen food business in another state, he thought somewhere on the west coast, whose name [] claimed he could not recall but stated his nickname sounded like []. He stated this man's wife is the sister of the wife of another [] employee, one [] (phonetic), whose name [] claimed he was not sufficiently familiar to know the correct spelling. He stated [] was also brought into the business several months ago by BILL BONANNO.

[] stated that among the businesses BONANNO claimed to own was Western-United Company, the exact name of which [] does not know, but he stated he understood they were in the real estate business as well as food brokers and that in the latter connection they were the brokers for all products sold in the Tucson area for a company on the west coast known as Uddo and Taormina, tomato canners at Buena Park, California.

[] stated also from hearing BONANNO talk, he gathered the impression that the Western-United Company was also a holding company in which [], [], and others whose names [] claimed he cannot now remember, were all partners. He stated that also from hearing BONANNO talk, he gathered the impression that there were some other partners in the company from back east. He stated [] was supposed to be a real estate broker and he stated this Western-United Company, according to BONANNO, had some land down near Douglas, Arizona.

b6
b7C

[] stated the brother of the attorney, [] was formerly representative for this Western-United Company in handling of Progresso Tomato

PX 92-172

Products for the west coast firm of Uddo and Taormina and after BONANNO came into the [] company, the [] company more or less absorbed the food business of the Western-United Company as well as the business of the Progresso Tomato Products and that D'ANTONIO still handled this business but it was through [] after BONANNO came into the latter business.

b6
b7C

With reference to close friends of BONANNO who may have become connected with [] stated he did not know very many of BONANNO's close friends except TONY SCRIVANO and the man known to him only as [] and [] (phonetic). He stated [], who is still in the business, is a young man almost as tall as BILL BONANNO, a very nice looking man with dark hair, and BONANNO had said when he first brought him into the business that he would make a good credit manager and handle the accounts receivable, [] stated, however, that [] activities were not of any value to the company and he stated that on one occasion BONANNO had [] surveilling one of [] drivers for a couple of days for what reason [] was never able to determine. He stated on one other occasion he recalled that this individual, who was supposed to be working full time for [] took a plane trip to California according to the information [] was able to develop and was gone a couple of weeks and [] made the remark on one occasion that he had gone there on a mission for BILL BONANNO, but [] stated he was rather mysterious about the purpose of this trip and he could never find out anything about it from either BONANNO or []. [] stated although BONANNO was supposed to own half the business, he never spent any time in the business and [] could hardly ever get in touch with him when it was necessary in connection with the business affairs of the corporation. He stated he would try to call BILL BONANNO at his home and no one would answer the telephone and it was very difficult to get in touch with him. [] stated generally the relationship did not work out and was extremely unsatisfactory.

b6
b7C

[] stated another thing which BONANNO did soon after he came into the business in the early part of 1959 was to arrange for the purchase of a tractor and semi-trailer which BONANNO thought would help the corporation save money in hauling in merchandise rather than having it

b6
b7C

brought in by freight trucks as had been done by [redacted] through the years and which had been very satisfactory. He stated BONANNO bought one semi-trailer tractor from [redacted], a General Motors representative in Tucson, and he said something went wrong with it so BONANNO just turned it back. He stated for a long time [redacted] was unable to collect what was due and as far as he knows, the corporation still owes [redacted]

[redacted] stated that JOSEPH BONANNO was not at the [redacted] offices on very many occasions and that he knows nothing about JOSEPH BONANNO's business.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that BILL BONANNO frequently had guests from out of town visiting him and would not keep them at his home out at the foothills but usually would have them stay at motels in the Tucson area. He stated BILL usually spoke of having these guests stay at the Triple H Ranch. He stated that the Triple H Ranch was one of the customers of [redacted] and that [redacted] always handled the paying of the bills to [redacted] which were owed by the Triple H Ranch for merchandise furnished this company.

With reference to close friends or other associates of BILL BONANNO, [redacted] stated he did not know any of his close friends but he recalled that on one occasion it was necessary to have some repair work done at the [redacted] company building and it was done by a company known as Foothill Builders, the owner of which is a friend of BILL BONANNO but whose name [redacted] could not recall.

[redacted] stated another thing which BONANNO insisted on when he came into the business was that the partner should take out insurance. He stated soon after BONANNO came into the business, there were several insurance agents appearing at the [redacted] office trying to sell insurance and that finally it was agreed that [redacted] and BONANNO both were to apply for life insurance which would be payable to the corporation and ostensibly for the reason that if anything happened to either partner, then their respective families would be able to sell their stock to the surviving partner or to the corporation and the corporation would have the life insurance money with which to pay for the stocks. The family would end up with the money for the deceased owner's stock in the company.

b6
b7C

[] stated that he does not recall the name of the company but he does recall that the life insurance policy applied for by him payable to the corporation was written by a life insurance agent in Tucson by the name of [] who has an office in the Santa Rita Hotel. [] stated the insurance policy was in the amount of \$25,000.00. He stated, however, that BONANNO's application for a life insurance policy was never accepted because he understood that in filling out the application, BONANNO refused to give the insurance company permission to examine certain records at St. Mary's Hospital where BONANNO had been hospitalized, not because of the fact that there was anything contained in these records which would be embarrassing to BONANNO, but he was "bull headed" about the matter and made the statement that it was none of the insurance company's business and therefore he would not give them permission to examine these records relative to either one or more occasions on which BONANNO had been a patient at that hospital. [] stated since leaving the company on or about the first week in September, 1959, he had been somewhat apprehensive about this life insurance policy on his life still being in effect and still payable to the corporation. He stated for this reason he talked with Mr. [] and asked that the policy be cancelled; however, [] advised that it was not possible to cancel the policy inasmuch as he, [] had paid the first premium out of his own pocket and took a note from the corporation for the premium. The note had not been paid and therefore the policy could not be cancelled until this money was paid. b6 b7C

With respect to the payment of bills, [] stated that BILL BONANNO has, as [] put it, "an aversion to paying any bills." He stated BONANNO just puts off paying bills until he is pushed to the point that it becomes absolutely necessary. With further reference to the life insurance policy on which the premium had not actually yet been paid by the corporation, [] stated there was an oral agreement between him and BONANNO as to how the matter would be handled in the event of the death of either of the partners with reference to the purchase of the decedent's stock so that his surviving family would have the money from the proceeds of the policy. He stated this oral agreement was never put in writing and as far as he knows there is nothing binding about it on the corporation. He stated that in the event of his death, the corporation would be ahead about \$25,000.00. b6 b7C

[] stated that for the first eight months of

1959 he was paid a total salary of \$5,350.00 but he stated in addition to BONANNO's salary, he drew out a lot of money for expenses, most of which [] stated were of no value to the corporation. He stated BONANNO did a lot of travelling after he came into the business and charged it all to the company. [] stated he was never able to find out exactly what kind of company business these trips were made on and stated that BONANNO did not explain anything to him in this regard.

He stated the charge for the car that BONANNO rented on behalf of the corporation was sent to the corporation and was from O'Reilly Chevrolet and as far as he knows, BONANNO still has this automobile.

b6
b7C

[] also stated that BONANNO arranged through Nile's Radio Company for a radio telephone installation in this car which he thought would be necessary for them to use in their business. [] stated that as far as he knows, it has never been used for any business on behalf of the [] company and he does not know what the status of this operation is at this time.

He stated with respect to the additional telephone which BONANNO thought would be necessary in the [] company after he came into the business, both BONANNO and he carried credit cards which could be used in making long distance telephone calls which could be charged to the [] company telephone, number MAIn 3-3463. He stated with respect to telephone bills, he does not have the exact figures but he remembered that when he was operating the business himself, his telephone bill would never run over \$30.00 to \$35.00 a month but after BONANNO came into the business, he would guess that the bill more than tripled what it was previously.

b6
b7C

[] stated that if BONANNO has any business ability whatsoever, such ability was not discernible from his activities in the [] company.

[] also stated that although he had no details in this regard, BONANNO made some statements indicating that he has a lot of connections throughout the U. S. and also has connections with labor union leaders whereby he can get things done. [] cited two examples, one of which was

an occasion during the year 1959 when they hired a truck driver who started to cause some trouble in trying to organize employees of [] at which time [] mentioned this to BILL BONANNO and the latter said not to worry about it as he would get the matter handled. [] stated nothing was ever heard further concerning any attempt on the part of this driver to organize employees.

b6
b7C

[] stated on another occasion the Uddo and Taormina Company at Buena Park, California, was having some labor trouble on or about July, 1959, and about this time BILL BONANNO made several telephone calls to Buena Park and also made some trips to California. He indicated to [] that these trips and telephone calls were for the purpose of helping the Uddo and Taormina Company settle their labor disputes and BONANNO, in a somewhat braggadocio fashion, indicated that he had effected the settlement of this dispute through his contacts on the west coast.

[] stated that another thing which happened during the early part of 1959 soon after BONANNO came into the business, was that BONANNO told [] that he wanted to impress the public and his friends that he could really work and wanted to impress other employees at the [] company that he was not afraid to work and that he could make a success of the business. After they acquired the tractor and semi-trailer, BONANNO started driving this himself on trips to the west coast for the purpose of picking up merchandise for the [] company which merchandise consisted mostly of canned foods. [] stated on these trips which BONANNO made driving the tractor and semi-trailer, he was usually accompanied by BONANNO's friend, TONY SCRIVANO. He stated from what BONANNO said, the trips were usually to Buena Park where they would pick up merchandise from the firm of Uddo and Taormina, or to pick up merchandise from other suppliers in Los Angeles.

b6
b7C

[] stated on other occasions when BONANNO would not be able to make the trip by driving the tractor and semi-trailer himself, they would hire extra tractor drivers in Tucson since none of the regular employees of the [] company were qualified to drive a tractor and trailer. [] stated that on several of these trips, the tractor and semi-trailer did not go to the west coast empty but rather hauled a load over, the details of which [] was never able to determine. He stated from hearing BILL talk, on several of these trips they hauled some salvaged airplane parts, the nature of which

[] did not know but he stated he thinks he recalls hearing them say they picked up this material at a salvage company somewhere on the freeway in the vicinity of Tucson. [] stated he was unable to furnish anything specific in this regard because he never actually saw the loads and all he knew about it was what he overheard BILL saying. He stated he did not even know the company for which they were hauling these loads of salvaged airplane parts but he stated he recalls at the time he understood from hearing BILL BONANNO talking about it, that the company was either owned by or the business was handled by JOSEPH HOOTNER, who was a friend of BILL BONANNO. [] stated he understood that they delivered this material somewhere in Los Angeles. He stated as far as the [] company being paid for hauling this salvaged material over to Los Angeles from Tucson, he tried to find out about what company to bill for the loads and was told by BONANNO that [], the accountant, was handling the matter and the bills should be submitted to him. [] stated as far as he knows as of the time he left the [] company, these bills had never been paid. He stated it was his definite impression in overhearing conversations on the part of BONANNO that HOOTNER either knew all about the operation of hauling this salvaged material or he had owned the company or had an interest in the business which was handling this material. In addition to several loads of this material or at least one or two loads, the exact number of which he does not recall which were handled by BILL BONANNO, [] stated that at least one or two loads were handled by extra tractor drivers which the [] company hired to make these west coast runs after BILL BONANNO quit driving the tractor and trailer. [] stated he could not remember the names of any of these extra drivers but he stated that he thinks one of their extra drivers was called [] but he does not know whether [] ever hauled the salvaged airplane parts. He stated another extra driver they hired who he thinks did haul at least one load of these salvaged airplane parts was a man who is no longer driving trucks but who has purchased or taken over the Intrepid Fox place of business out on East Speedway Blvd. in Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

It will be noted that during the interview of [redacted] by SA [redacted] on October 27, 1959, Mr. [redacted] was extremely forgetful with reference to specific details and names of persons and places. Mr. [redacted] was even unable to furnish the exact name of all the employees of the [redacted] company even though by his own admission they do not have a large force. He did furnish the names which he stated were to the best of his recollection of the following persons who are still employed by the company:

b6
b7C

[redacted] aka "[redacted]", who is a white male American, [redacted] years of age, gray hair, short and balding, has been employed by [redacted] off and on for four or five years as a salesman

[redacted] also employed as a salesman for the past year or so and is a friend of [redacted]

[redacted] hired as a deliveryman and has been employed by [redacted] for sometime and is a very close friend of [redacted] having come from [redacted] home town of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin

[redacted] who has worked for [redacted] before BONANNO came into the business off and on for a period of about two years. It should be noted that [redacted] is a former deputy sheriff of Pima County, Arizona, and has a rather unsavory reputation according to information furnished by Sgt. [redacted] NA, of the Tucson Police Department, [redacted] having been involved in an alleged burglary and, according to [redacted] Intelligence Unit, has also been suspected of dealing in narcotics.

b6
b7C

[redacted], a salesman, who has been working for [redacted] for sometime and prior to that had been working for [redacted] throughout the period [redacted] was connected with the company and prior to this time worked for the company [redacted] bought out, the Barbee Company.

Miss [redacted] white female in her forties, who is the bookkeeper. (It should be noted that the records in the bank where the Tucson Food Distribu-

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

tors, Inc. presently carry their account reflect that the corporation now carries Miss [] as the treasurer of the company.)

Miss [] who works as an assistant book-keeper under Miss [] and who was hired sometime during the year 1959. [] stated she is a very young girl whose home is in Kentucky and who came to Tucson to stay with relatives.

b6
b7C

It should be noted that SA [] checked with PX T-7 and PX T-8 who advised that the records of the Bank of Tucson concerning the [] company account show that new signature cards were executed by the officials of the company upon the request of that bank and the cards are dated October 13, 1959. The account as of that date was carried in the name of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. The signature card is on a form required by that bank for corporations and includes thereon a resolution to be signed by the appropriate officers of the corporation indicating that the corporation had passed a resolution authorizing the names appearing on the signature card to be authorized signatures on the bank account. A notation on the signature card indicated that it superseded a signature card dated previously on September 25, 1959, and the authorized signatures on the card are carried as S. V. BONANNO - president, [] - treasurer, and [] - secretary. A second account of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. is carried at this bank as a payroll account and the bank had the company execute this same type of signature card with the same signatures as set out above, both of which indicate that a regular meeting of the board of directors on October 6, 1959, of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. passed a resolution authorizing these persons to sign on the bank account. It should be noted that the records of this bank also reflect that there was deposited to the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. account, which was formerly carried as [] Food Distributors, Inc., an even amount of \$10,000.00 on September 28, 1959, and the balance on the regular account in which this \$10,000.00 was deposited at the close of business on October 26, 1959, amounted to \$6,133.23. Ledger cards of the [] Food Distributors, Inc. reflect that there was \$10,000.00 deposited to that account on August 27, 1959, and another \$4,000.00 deposited on August 3, 1959.

b6
b7C

PX T-7 and PX T-8 advised that this food company

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

account was opened in that bank on July 17, 1959, having been transferred over from the downtown branch of the Valley National Bank in Tucson. It was further noted that in checking the deposit tickets on the large deposits, the \$10,000.00 item deposited on August 27, 1959, indicated this deposit was from S. V. BONANNO.

The ledger card, according to PX T-7 and PX T-8, for the personal checking account of S. V. or [] BONANNO indicated that there was a \$10,000.00 deposit to BONANNO's account on August 25, 1959, which the deposit ticket indicated was an item from the downtown branch of the Valley National Bank, having been listed on the deposit ticket only by the bank number. There was also listed to the same BONANNO account a deposit indicating an item on the Valley National Bank in the amount of \$13,500.00 on August 27, 1959.

b6
b7C

It should be noted that Mr. [] advised SA [] on October 27, 1959, that while he was still in the company, they transferred their bank account out of the downtown branch of the Valley National Bank [] at the request of BONANNO and BONANNO implied or at least [] got the impression from his conversation that the government was forcing BONANNO to change their account from the Valley National Bank to the Bank of Tucson.

It should be noted further that PX T-9 subsequently advised SA [] that he understood the Valley National Bank had requested the BONANNOS to take their account out of that bank for the reason that the bank did not want to be bothered by investigators checking on the accounts or having the bank be in a position of answering subpoenas before any grand juries which might be investigating BONANNO's financial condition.

b6
b7C

PX T-10 advised SA [] on October 28, 1959, that a check of the cashiers check records for the downtown branch of the Valley National Bank failed to reveal any cashiers checks payable to or endorsed by S. V. BONANNO on or about the pertinent date in August, 1959, as indicated by the deposit in the Bank of Tucson, so PX T-10 stated that from a standpoint of checking these deposits in an effort to trace the funds to establish that part of them found their way into the account of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., it would be practically impossible to check from the bank records the

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

original source or the maker of the check which was drawn on the Valley National Bank and deposited in BONANNO's account at the Bank of Tucson for the reason they keep no record by film of these items. PX T-10 advised that a check of both S. V. BONANNO's account and of JOSEPH BONANNO's account at the downtown branch of the Valley National Bank prior to the time the accounts were transferred to the Bank of Tucson, indicated that there were funds transferred from one account to the other in identical amounts but there was nothing in the bank records to establish the source of these funds.

PX T-8 advised SA [] that although he could not establish it from any records, it appeared that the possibility exists that JOSEPH BONANNO has been furnishing the money to his son, WILLIAM S. BONANNO, for his investments in the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc.

Mr. [] advised further on October 27, 1959, that one of the agreements made at the time he had a meeting with BONANNO and [] when it was decided he was going to leave the business, was that his name should not be connected with the business any longer. He also advised that he has not yet been able to get his money out of the business and has been unable to even have any sensible discussion of the matter with either subject BONANNO, [], or their attorney, []. Mr. [] stated he has hired himself an attorney, [], in Tucson to represent him in an effort to get his money out of the business but [] has been up to that date unsuccessful. It should be noted that [] was subsequently interviewed on several occasions by SA [] and on February 15, 1960, Mr. [] advised SA [] he has not as yet received any settlement.

b6
b7c

On January 12, 1960, PX T-8 advised SA [] that the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. is not keeping an adequate balance to pay the checks that are issued on the account in the Bank of Tucson and that that bank has been returning several of the company's checks with the notation "insufficient funds". PX T-8 advised that shortly before February 12, 1960, when PX T-8 was again talking to SA [] on that date, the bank had been in touch with subject BONANNO and advised him that the account of the food company was overdrawn and BONANNO said he would be in the following day with a deposit. PX T-8 stated that the following day BONANNO did come

PX 92-172
KFJ/cmh

in and deposited \$1,000.00 check which was payable to that company from [redacted] restaurant in Phoenix, Arizona, and the check was signed by [redacted]

b6
b7C

On February 16, 1960, PX T-8 advised SA [redacted] that the previous day, February 15, 1960, subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, was in the Bank of Tucson at which time an official of that bank advised the elder BONANNO that subject was having trouble keeping his checks covered on the food company account and that it will cause him trouble if he does not start operating on a sound basis. PX T-8 stated the following day subject BONANNO telephoned the Bank of Tucson and advised an official of that bank that he had \$13,000.00 of accounts receivable which he had been unable to collect and that was the reason he was having trouble keeping the balance up.

PX T-1 advised that on November 28, 1959, the telephone company made changes in the telephone service at 270 North Church where the offices of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. were being moved and also the service at BONANNO's residence at 875 West Cresta Loma Drive. The service for the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. was also to be changed. PX T-1 stated that the new numbers for the insurance company will be MAIn 3-5868 on a rotary hookup and they also would have number MAIn 3-5869 as a second telephone on this line. The Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. will have number MAIn 3-3463 with two other numbers on a rotary setup, MAIn 3-3464 and MAIn 3-3465. Subject BONANNO's residence will have published number Cypress 7-0576 and nonpublished number Cypress 7-0504.

It should be noted that the Arizona Daily Star, Tucson, Arizona, in the December 10, 1959, issue carried a news item indicating that [redacted] sales manager for the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., 270 North Church, had been promoted to vice-president, according to subject BONANNO, the president.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172 (sft)

[] according to the article, has been associated with the firm since 1953 and the article stated that the new Sales Manager is [] who recently arrived from New York City where he has been associated with the food business for fifteen years.

On December 7, 1959, Mr. [] advised SA [] that the warehouseman at the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., is [] and that he is the brother-in-law of [] who resides at [] [] said that [] and [] married sisters and that [] was brought in by BONANNO while [] was still in the company, as a Credit Manager, but [] said actually [] did not do much of anything while he was still in the company.

b6
b7C

On February 21, and February 26, 1960, Phoenix T-11 advised SA [] that he has heard that both CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA and [] are also interested in some unknown manner, probably financially, in the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. as well as the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc.

It should be noted that Phoenix T-11 stated that he had no specific facts nor could he refer SA [] to anyone who could furnish the facts to establish that HOOTNER and BATTAGLIA are financially interested in these businesses. It should be noted that Phoenix T-11 was formerly connected with the company in Tucson in which both BATTAGLIA and HOOTNER are reported to also be connected with. This company is known as the Art Construction Company. Phoenix T-11 said that he did not know of any large land holdings of subject BONANNO but knows of BONANNO's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, owning a considerable amount of real estate in the general area of Tucson. Phoenix T-11 said it is possibly the elder BONANNO has transferred some of this to his son WILLIAM S. BONANNO but he has no specific facts in this regard. Phoenix T-11 stated however that he has received information that within the past six months, apparently the elder BONANNO has remained more in the background and that subject WILLIAM S. BONANNO speaks with some authority among people who are closely associated with the BONANNOS.

b6
b7C

FIRST INSURANCE AGENCY OF ARIZONA, INC.

First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. is an Arizona Corporation, according to the records as checked by SA [] in the Records Office, Pima County, Arizona Court House in Book 1460, Page 584. This record reflects that this

PX 92-172 (sft)

corporation was organized with an authorized capitalization of \$300,000 with 3,000 shares at a par value of \$100 each. The articles of incorporation were filed in the Records Office at Tucson, Arizona, July 20, 1959, and the names of the incorporators are listed therein as GEORGE E. TRULL, 1534 West Glendale Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona; JOSEPH TITONE, 625 South Harvard Drive, Tucson, Arizona and SALVATORE M. FALCETTA, 115 West Thurber, Tucson, Arizona. The articles of incorporation state the business of the corporation is to transact insurance brokerage business, act as an insurance agency, general real estate agency and brokerage to buy and sell real estate, handle matters in escrow and other similar type activities for this type of corporation.

b6
b7C
b7D

On February 4, 1960, [redacted]
[redacted], Phoenix, Arizona, unlisted phone [redacted]
[redacted] was interviewed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY at the Phoenix Office.

[redacted] related that prior to 1952 he was a member of the [redacted] Department, at which time he resigned from that department to become a [redacted] for the [redacted] at Fresno, California. Due to the illness of a son, he came to Phoenix early in 1938 and became associated with the [redacted] at Phoenix. While with the latter, he became acquainted with TONY SCRIVANO who operated as a life insurance salesman in Tucson for an agency associated with the [redacted]. Both of these agencies were subsidiaries of the now defunct American Buyers, whose owners are under indictment in U. S. District Court. In the summer of 1959, [redacted] got away from [redacted] because of the indictment.

b6
b7C
b7D

In the summer of 1959 TONY SCRIVANO approached [redacted] with the idea of opening up a general insurance agency with Phoenix and Tucson Office. [redacted]
[redacted], and SCRIVANO to operate the Tucson office but to depend on [redacted] for advise. [redacted] asked where the money was coming from and SCRIVANO stated he had investors. They agreed and the investors in the agency, which became known as [redacted] of Arizona, were identified by SCRIVANO as [redacted] (FNU) [redacted] and SCRIVANO.

[redacted] stated that shortly before operations began he was invited to Tucson by SCRIVANO to some affair at the Triple H Ranch. On arrival there, he was introduced to BILL BONANNO. He stated that there were many other people present

PX 92-172 (sft)

at this affair, a dinner, but no one ever introduced him to anyone. However, he was later able to determine that CHARLEY BATTAGLIA, JOE HOOTNER and [redacted] (Insurance man at [redacted] Phoenix) were present. He was never advised or the purpose of this party, nor why he was invited, since all the others present appeared to be Italians and most of the conversation, except when HOOTNER was talking, was in Italian.

b6
b7C

[redacted] further related that after the business began to operate in September, it became quite obvious to him that SCRIVANO knew nothing about insurance and that BILL BONANNO was actually the man behind the operation. This became real apparent when BILL started giving him orders as to what to do and showed a power of attorney from [redacted] to BONANNO for BONANNO to operate in [redacted] stead in the agency. [redacted] was supposed to receive a salary of \$200.00 weekly and a share of the profits. He stated that they never paid him on a regular basis and that he only got two pay checks and those only after a great deal of arguing. He personally paid all his expenses and was never reimbursed, although he made many flights to Tucson at the behest of SCRIVANO or BONANNO for meetings, but they never paid him back. What checks he did receive were written on the Bank of Tucson on the account of the agency and signed by SCRIVANO. The agency also had an account at the 15th Street and East McDowell Road Branch of the First National Bank in Phoenix, but it was closed out when the Phoenix Branch of the agency was closed just before Christmas when [redacted] resigned. On this occasion, BONANNO, SCRIVANO, HOOTNER and a man identified as only being from New York, came to Phoenix in a Tucson Food Products Truck and took the furniture to Tucson.

b6
b7C
b7D

He pointed out that at most of the meetings of the so called agency officials that CHARLEY BATTAGLIA was present and did a lot of talking. BATTAGLIA never appeared in any manner as an official of the agency however.

He stated that there was a woman employed in the Tucson Office of the agency known only to him as [redacted]. This woman is now employed as a cocktail waitress at the saloon across the street from the Tucson Food Products Company. (Probably the State Buffett) He stated that this woman, a well built dishwater blonde, of a stupid disposition, was used sexually by all of the group, BONANNO, SCRIVANO, probably HOOTNER and most of all by BATTAGLIA. He stated that [redacted] was gone on BATTAGLIA and was quite irked at him when he

b6
b7C

PX 92-172 (sft).

got married recently. Informant could not identify BATTAGLIA's new wife and stated it was a "shotgun" wedding. He stated that on one occasion [] stayed with BILL BONANNO and the BAT at the Highway House in Phoenix.

[] is acquainted with [] and recalled later in the interview that [] assisted the group in loading the agency furniture on the truck to return it to Tucson in December, 1959.

He states that none of these people will use last names when making phone conversations. BILL BONANNO is referred to as "The Kid", BATTAGLIA as "The Bat", SCRIVANO as "Tony", []. He pointed out that during his association he never knew of anyone but BILL to phone JOE. He also pointed out that BILL has an elaborate phone set up in his home, which includes a direct line to his father's home.

b6
b7C
b7D

He stated that he was amazed at the many long distance calls BILL made and at the elaborate phone set up in the house. When he commented on it, BILL replied "I have a contact in the phone company in Phoenix, who can get me anything I want". He reiterated when [] questioned him, that the contact was in Phoenix, not in Tucson. [] did not know the exact interpretation of the quoted remark. He stated that at no time did anyone of these people ask him to try to get information out of the Phoenix Police Department. [] was a member of the Phoenix Police Department for two months in (1958) He feels that they are not aware of his background as a policeman. [] claimed acquaintanceship with SA IRA KELLOG of the Los Angeles Division, the Resident Agent at Visalia, California, SA [] of the Houston Division and former Los Angeles Agents [] and [].

b6
b7C
b7D

He stated that [] is "on the outside" with the Tucson group. [] He states that none of them will have anything to do with [] anymore. He states that [] appears to be frightened.

b3
b6
b7C

His impression of the Tucson operation is that JOE BONANNO is the big wheel behind the whole thing down there, that he uses BILL BONANNO as his front and to handle the money, that the brains is actually [] and that the muscle man is BATTAGLIA.

PX 92-172 (sft)

He pointed out that he never met JOE BONANNO and that JOE seldom appears anywhere and that all contacts with him are made by BILL, although on occasion [] the lawyer might get in touch with JOE.

He said all the men he met, including BILL BONANNO, are afraid of BATTAGLIA.

He stated that TONY SCRIVANO is real stupid, and that the others tell him very little and that he is utilized principally as a messenger, making frequent trips to the Los Angeles area and to New Mexico, which city he did not recall. [] is a non-Italian and they are having marital difficulties because she cannot stand to be treated as the Italians treat their wives. (Mrs. [] is named [] and she is the daughter of Mr. and Mrs. [], [] Phoenix, Arizona.)

b6
b7C
b7D

He stated that BILL BONANNO, when he came to Phoenix, to his, [] knowledge always stays at the Hiway House Hotel and asks for rooms 210 and 211. He states that BILL is very susceptible to women and is always "catting" when at Phoenix.

He stated that BILL and BAT claim to have a real estate deal going in the Palm Springs area of California.

He stated there was some rumor, unverified by him, that the "Tucson Crowd" has recently purchased the Mountain Shadows at Phoenix, and that HOOTNER has some interest in Thrift City at Phoenix.

b3
b6
b7C
b7D

He stated that BILL BONANNO referred many times to his good friends GUS BATTAGLIA and JOE GENOVESE as "my good friends, the wealthy Eloy ranchers".

He stated that [] Phoenix, has many real estate dealings with the BONANNOS.

PX 92-172 (sft)

He stated [] of the law firm Merchant, Parkman, Miller and Pitt of Tucson, is beginning to represent these people more and is always used by HOOTNER. [] believes, however that the BONANNOS will remain loyal to [] because he is an Italian and BILL seems to resent HOOTNER bringing non-Italians into the operation. HOOTNER, Jewish, brought some unidentified man to Tucson who is interested in opening up a discount house in Phoenix. This person now is supposed to be operating a discount house in the Old Studebaker Building in Detroit.

b6
b7C
b7D

An individual named [], a friend of HOOTNER's, is now operating the Triple H Ranch.

A person named [] otherwise unidentified, operates at 270 North Church, where all these operations are, and appears to be some kind of a real estate advisor to BONANNO, etc.

BILL and his group "coffee" at the Blue Angel on Church Street in Tucson and at nights can frequently be found at the Sky Room night club on top of the building at Alameda and Stone.

In Phoenix the whole group make Vaccaro's Pizza House at 17th and McDowell their hangout.

The whole group usually make some kind of an effort to lose tails whenever they get in their cars. All operate on the assumption that they have a constant tail on them. [] when with them, states he frequently observed surveillances on BONANNO or SCRIVANO or BATTAGLIA. He did not know the identity of the surveilling people, however.

He stated BATTAGLIA is outspoken in his dislike for police officers on any level.

BONANNO has some connection with the Uddo Taormina Company of Menlo Park, California, and also with the A-1 Beer Distributor at Oxnard, California. BILL makes many calls to WALLY FALCETTA in New York. He talks in Italian to him.

b6
b7C
b7D

During this association, one of the group, either BILL or SCRIVANO, introduced [] to one [] Jewish, who was associated in the real estate efforts of []

About a month before his death, [] came to [] and asked him to use his knowledge of insurance-mortgage companies to try to get a 1½ million dollar loan for [] contacted his contacts, who investigated the deal and turned it down. Two weeks after [] death, [] again contacted him and asked him to retry for the 1½ million pointing out there might be a change since [] was dead. [] is presently trying to negotiate this loan through [] of Phoenix, (Aviola Radio Company previous owner). The matter is still pending. However, on January 31, 1960, BASKIN phoned [] and said that BILL BONANNO had called BASKIN and told him that if the deal went through, BONANNO would be entitled to a cut of [] fee since BONANNO had "put [] on to []".

b6
b7C
b7D

During the investigation of the case entitled "JOSEPH BONANNO, aka., A-R", Phoenix T-1 advised in June, 1959 that subject BONANNO ordered a telephone installed in the office at 270 North Church Street in Tucson, saying that he was going to open up an insurance office there and it would be called Certified Insurance Agency. Phoenix T-1 advised however, that when the telephone service was installed BONANNO gave instructions that it should be under the name of First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. and the number Main 3-5868.

On February 20, 1960, SA [] contacted Mr. [] at his residence at 625 South Harvard in Tucson and asked Mr. [] concerning his connection with the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. Mr. [] admitted that his name appears as one of the incorporators, however he said that he thought that the company had been dropped. Mr. [] declined to discuss with SA [] anything about the identity of the persons in this company or who the company actually belongs to. He said before discussing the matter he would have to talk to some people but he did not identify the persons he had in mind.

It should be noted that [] stated that he works as a carpenter for the Lusk Corporation, a company which builds houses in Tucson.

b6
b7C
b7D

It should also be noted that SA [] ascertained that there is no such address as [] in the city of Tucson nor is the name of [] listed in either the current city or telephone directories.

On November 10, 1959, Phoenix T-12 advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that he had just left from having spent most of the day with subject BILL BONANNO, TONY SCRIVANO, [] and an individual from Detroit identified only as []. Informant

advised that early in the day BILL BONANNO had phoned him from Tucson and asked to see him that afternoon. Consequently informant made arrangements for the group to use the executive conference room of a Phoenix TV Station and the group met there on the afternoon and evening of November 10, 1959.

Informant said the purpose of the meeting was to persuade informant to take over the operation of the First Insurance Agency of Arizona. Informant said that the BONANNOS, father and son, had become dissatisfied with [redacted] operation of that agency and wanted a man experienced in the insurance field to handle it for them.

After the conferences and as informant stated, a fruitless attempt to reach SA DUFFY, informant decided to go along with BONANNO since, as informant said, he would then be in a position to furnish information concerning BONANNO's operations. He recontacted BONANNO and told him of his decision. Informant said the setup is that the Phoenix Office of the First Insurance Agency at 1420 East McDowell will be closed and all business formerly handled by them will now be handled out of 40 West Broadway in Phoenix and will be supervised by informant, managed by [redacted] and will be called the Colorado Investment and Insurance Company. BILL BONANNO told informant that he wanted to handle all of his "and the boys" insurance through this agency and that he had investigated informant through JOE HOOTNER and PETE LICAVOLI and that "they", meaning BILL BONANNO and JOE BONANNO, were satisfied that informant could be trusted. To emphasize this a phone call came through to informant purportedly from JOE BONANNO in New York, certifying BILL BONANNO's deal with informant.

b6
b7C
b7D

JOE BONANNO said over the phone that he had \$30,000 invested in the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, that he wanted a trustworthy man to headup the agency and that he was willing that all the matters be turned over to the Colorado Investment and Insurance Company.

Informant said that the party had come to Phoenix from Tucson in BILL BONANNO's red and white Oldsmobile. He said that BILL BONANNO told him that they usually stayed at the Hiway House in Phoenix but were going to return to Tucson on November 10, 1959.

Informant described [redacted], mentioned above, as age 36, 5'7", hairline mustache, Italian appearance and supposed to be from Detroit.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172 (sft)

On January 15, 1960, Phoenix T-12 advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that due to a disagreement on the financial dealings with the BONANNO's he has been let out of the management of the former First Insurance Agency of Arizona. Informant said that all of BONANNO's and his other Tucson associates business is now being handled by an agency, name unknown, being operated by TONY SCRIVANO who has his office in Tucson across the street and up from [redacted] office. Informant said that SCRIVANO handles all of that business now but that he underwrites it with McCabe Associates, aka., General Insurance Agency, 550 Fifth Avenue, telephone Ju 6-6272, New York City.

Informant said he thought SCRIVANO's office address was 270 North Church, Suite 204.

Informant advised that a person named [redacted] is reported to have some interest in McCabe Associates.

Informant also advised SA DUFFY that JOE HOOTNER had indicated to him during their conversation on one occasion that subject BILL BONANNO was "getting out of hand" and that the Tucson "people" were becoming afraid of him. Informant advised that HOOTNER told him that he, HOOTNER, went to Detroit in December to see some people who might be able to talk to subject BONANNO's father JOSEPH BONANNO and get BILL straightened out.

Informant also advised SA DUFFY that the East-West Realty handles all of the business for "hoodlums" in the Phoenix area according to information given him by subject BILL BONANNO. Informant said he was not certain what HOOTNER meant by "being afraid of BILL" but he believes that he did not mean physical violence but rather meant he was questioning subject BONANNO's lack of judgment and also the advisability of his choice of companions.

WESTERN UNITED REAL ESTATE AND INVESTMENT COMPANY

SA [redacted] during the investigation of the case entitled JOSEPH BONANNO, aka., A-R, ascertained in the office of the Records Office in the Pima County Court House, Tucson, Arizona, in Book 1176, Page 228, is filed the articles of incorporation of the above company. These records reflect the articles of incorporation were filed in the office of the Arizona Corporation Commission on the 29th day of August, 1957 and the incorporators were listed as WALTER FALCETTA, 1803 Drachman Street, Tucson, Arizona; JOSEPH S. AUGELLO, 3332 East

PX 92-172 (sft)

29th Street, Tucson, Arizona, and WILLIAM S. BONANNO, 1847 East Elm Street, Tucson, Arizona; VICTOR TRONOLONE, 1220 North Highland, Tucson, Arizona, LAWRENCE P. D'ANTONIO, 6035 East Fifth Street, Tucson, Arizona. The records reflect the authorized capital of the corporation to be \$1,000,000,000 and the statutory agent is listed as LAWRENCE P. D'ANTONIO. The purpose of the corporation as indicated in the records is to deal in real estate, trust business, investment business and operate a general insurance business.

b6
b7C

Sgt. [REDACTED] (NA), Intelligence Unit, Tucson, Arizona Police Department advised that during the investigation by that unit of the activities of the BONANNOS and their associates in Tucson, he had turned up no information with reference to any existing office or business establishment for this company; that he assumed that [REDACTED] the accountant and [REDACTED] the attorney, both of whom handled business for the BONANNO family as well as PETER LICAVOLI, and other associates in the Tucson area, probably handle all of the business in their offices, whatever business the company transacts.

AIRPORT MOTEL, NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

During the investigation of the case entitled JOSEPH BONANNO, aka., A-R, the New York Division in report of SA [REDACTED] dated April 30, 1958, at New York, reflects that when FRANK LABRUZZO advised that he, LABRUZZO, formerly owned part of the Airport Motel in Newark, but sold his interest several years ago to SALVATORE BONANNO, son of JOSEPH BONANNO. LABRUZZO stated that he later heard that JOSEPH BONANNO had purchased a part interest from his son SALVATORE. This same report reflects that investigation conducted by the Newark Division indicated that information obtained by SA [REDACTED] from the office of the New Jersey Secretary of State, State House, Trenton, New Jersey, reflected that an annual report of the Airport Motel, Inc., dated September 9, 1954, disclosed the President was ANTHONY RIELA; Vice President and Treasurer as SALVATORE BONANNO; and MICHAEL MARINO as Secretary. Directors are listed as ANTHONY RIELA, 452 Carnegie Avenue, Newark, New Jersey, MICHAEL MARINO, 181 Pierson Street, Orange, New Jersey and SALVATORE BONANNO, Bay Avenue, Newark, New Jersey (address of the motel).

b6
b7C

WESTERN UNITED DISTRIBUTORS
3931 East Broadway, Tucson, Arizona

During the investigation by the Phoenix Division to locate JOSEPH BONANNO in the case entitled "JOSEPH BONANNO, aka., A-R" Phoenix T-13 advised SA ROY W. REGER on October 29, 1958, that subject WILLIAM S. BONANNO maintained a checking account in the Speedway and Swan Branch of the Valley National Bank in Tucson, Arizona, in the name of the above company. Phoenix T-13 advised at that time the authorized signatures on the checking account were indicated as WILLIAM S. BONANNO, [] and []

It should be noted that when Mr. [] advised SA [] on October 27, 1959, that BONANNO, when he bought into the [] firm merged the Western United Distributors into the company. [] said he did not know whether the Western United Distributors had any assets and so far as he knew there were no assets from that company transferred into the Boulay Food Distributors, Inc. He said this company had been handling the distribution of a tomato paste product in the Tucson area and BONANNO planned to continue that which was handled by [] and the latter started working out of the [] firm after BONANNO came into the organization. b6 b7C

R AND B ENTERPRISES
239 North Church, Tucson, Arizona

SA [] and Officer [], who was formerly connected with the Intelligence Unit, Tucson Police Department, ascertained in July, 1959 that the above R and B Enterprises have purchased a 1959 Oldsmobile Dynamic 88 four-door Holiday automobile, Serial No. 597C-11873, Arizona license AWB 250.

This car was bought in June, 1959 from the Smith Oldsmobile-Chevrolet, Inc. at Wickenburg, Arizona. The car is financed with the First National Bank of Arizona at Tucson, Arizona. That bank had registered a lien of \$3500.00 against the car and took a chattel mortgage as security for an installment note signed by SALVATORE V. BONANNO for R and B Enterprises, the note payable at \$163.30 per month. The first insurance policy issued on this car was with the Great Basin Insurance Company of Reno, Nevada, policy No. AG 351422 covering PL and PD, collision and medical and other coverages on the car. The agent writing the insurance was the Camelback Insurance Agency, 330 East Camelback, Phoenix, Arizona, by [] This company cancelled the insurance b6 b7C b7D

PX 92-172 (sft)

policy July 3, effective July 13, 1959, and a new policy was issued in the name of SALVATORE BONANNO through the above Camel-back agency.

Phoenix T-14 advised SA [] that when the First National Bank made the loan on this automobile they received from the office of Ashkin and Tronolone, licensed public accountant, Tucson, Arizona, a financial statement by the R and B Enterprises, which showed a balance sheet on May 31, 1959, of the following:

Assets

Cash in Bank	\$ 721.58
Land	42,147.39
Building	<u>13,000.00</u>
Total Assets	\$ 55,868.97

Liabilities

Mortgage Payable	\$ 37,680.56
Net Worth	<u>18,188.41</u>
Total Liabilities and Net Worth	55,868.97

This financial statement was signed "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Partner".

Phoenix T-9 subsequently advised SA [] that the R and B Enterprises carry a checking account in the Downtown Branch of the Valley National Bank and the authorized signatures on the account appear as co-owners of R and B Enterprises, SALVATORE V. BONANNO and []. The account was opened in that bank September 11, 1957, and there is deposited twice monthly regularly in the account, two amounts of \$300.00 and \$275.00.

Also each month the account shows a withdrawal in the amount of \$300.00 which is payable to [].

Phoenix T-9 advised SA [] subsequently on more than one occasion that regularly there was a check issued on this account also in the amount of \$163.33 which is the monthly payment on the automobile.

PX 92-172 (sft)

SA [] ascertained that the property located at 162 and 170 West Congress in Tucson, Arizona, which is a store building, the 162 address being occupied by a Chinese restaurant and the 170 being occupied by a Maytag Appliance Dealer Mr. [].

Mr. [] advised SA [] that he rents his store building from subject WILLIAM BONANNO and pays a monthly rent of \$275 which he pays by check payable to subject BONANNO, which check he mails to the accountant [] office.

b6
b7C

Mr. [] has also advised that the Chinese restaurant next door pays BONANNO the rental of \$300 per month inasmuch as it is a slightly larger space. Mr. [] said this property was previously owned by the late Mr. ACKERMAN, father of Pima County Attorney [] who subsequently sold it to [], a Tucson merchant, who subsequently sold it to a woman by the name of [] who in turn sold it to BONANNO. [] said [] subsequently married and her name is now [] and she carries the mortgage on this property so far as [] knows.

It will be noted that BONANNO still drives the above described Oldsmobile.

It will also be noted that previously during the investigation by the Phoenix Division to locate JOSEPH BONANNO, information was developed that this property was owned by subject's father JOSEPH BONANNO.

In addition to the above companies, Phoenix T-11 advised SA [] that he has received information that subject WILLIAM S. BONANNO, JOSEPH HARVEY HOOTNER and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA are all interested financially in a real estate venture in Phoenix, Arizona. Phoenix T-11 could not furnish any specific details as to the location or type of property involved nor could he vouch for the accurateness of the information.

TUCSON CHAPTER UNICO NATIONAL
270 North Church, Suite 204, Main 3-5868

b6
b7C

Subject BONANNO attended the national convention of UNICO at Atlantic City, August 11 to 17, 1959. On August 7, 1959, Officer [] of the Tucson Police Department

PX 92-172 (sft)

advised SA [] that BONANNO holds some official office in UNICO, either on a district level or a national office.

The Newark Division advised that [] Auditor, Claridge Hotel, South Park Place, Atlantic City, New Jersey on October 27, 1959, advised SA [] that the records of that hotel reflected that on August 10, 1959, Mr. and Mrs. WILLIAM S. BONANNO, 875 Cresta Loma Drive, Tucson, Arizona, registered at the hotel indicating that they were in attendance at the UNICO Convention and were assigned to Rooms 2103 and 2104 at \$47.00 per day. A notation on the records indicated the bill should be sent to S. V. BONANNO, P. O. Box 1424, Tucson, Arizona. Mr. [] said that up to the date of October 27, 1959, the total bill of \$341.42 had not been paid. While staying there, Mr. [] said BONANNO placed three long distance calls, two on August 11, to Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Nos. OL 8-4810 and LO 8-4800 and on August 13, 1959, one to Chicago, Illinois, No. 2-1134, prefix of SA. The Newark Division subsequently advised that they had ascertained by further checking, that the call made by BONANNO on August 13, 1959, to Chicago was made to [] [].

b6
b7C

The Philadelphia Division advised on December 28, 1959, Phoenix T-15 advised that the calls made to the Olympia numbers in Philadelphia are identified as follows:

Olympia 8-4800 is a non-published phone number of [] [], Wilmington, Delaware, serviced July 1, 1958, "on Government pension" and that telephone number Olympia 8-4810 is the phone of [] [], Wilmington, Delaware. Serviced August 3, 1959, engineer for DuPont Experimental Station.

Sergeant [] NA, Tucson Police Department advised SA [] that investigation of his unit, the Intelligence Unit, in that Department, has established that subject BONANNO is one of the backers of the UNICO Chapter in Tucson and is very closely associated with the President of the Tucson Chapter, [] former Undersheriff of Pima County, Arizona. [] said that it has been reported that both subject BONANNO and [] are planning to use this organization to help promote [] candidacy in the coming sheriff's election. Sergeant [] also advised SA [] that after Chief of Police BERNARD L. GARMIRE, NA, appeared on a local television show in Tucson

b6
b7C

in the early part of January, 1960 with Pima County Attorney [redacted] and Local Judge CASTRO, that BONANNO was very influential in getting the local chapter of UNICO to voice its criticism and its members to help circulate petitions criticising the public officials who had appeared on this program for remarks made which, according to the petitions were defamatory to good Italian American citizens.

Chief of Police GARMIRE advised SA [redacted] that there was nothing said during the program which was answering questions put to those appearing on the program by local commentator [redacted], which would be offensive to any good Italian American citizens but that it was mentioned during the program that the city of Tucson and the officials of that city were becoming alarmed at the infiltration of the hoodlum element into the area. GARMIRE said that there was also mentioned on the program some of the names of those persons who were convicted in Federal Court in New York, in connection with the Apalachin meeting incident and the name of subject's father was mentioned on the program as being one of those under indictment in New York in connection with this case.

b6
b7C

F. PLACES OF AMUSEMENT OR HANGOUTS FREQUENTED

SA [redacted] has observed subject BONANNO as have officers of the Tucson, Arizona Police Department on several occasions in the bar and coffee shop of the Flamingo Hotel during late night hours.

Phoenix T-2 advised that up until a month or so prior to the latter part of February, subject BONANNO and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA were observed frequently during evening hours at Paulos' Night Spot on East Speedway in Tucson.

b6
b7C

SA [redacted] has also observed subject BONANNO in the new Hiway House Motel on several occasions since its opening the middle January, 1960. At the grand opening held by the management, which was an invitation affair, subject was also observed by SA [redacted].

According to Sergeant [redacted] (NA) of the Tucson Police Department, management of the motel advised him that BONANNO was not on the list to receive an invitation, however [redacted] said no doubt the Tucson Food Distributing Company, Inc. was given an invitation which probably was used by BONANNO.

PX 92-172 (sft)

Also since approximately January 12, 1960, when CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA and his wife, [] moved into their new house at 1938 West Lester, northwest of Tucson, outside of the city limits, SA [] has observed BONANNO's cars parked there on several occasions.

As is stated previously in this report, subject BONANNO has been known to have frequented the Triple H Ranch, a PETE LICAVOLI establishment, several months ago but no reports have been received in recent months indicating BONANNO has been observed at this ranch.

b6
b7C

Agents of the Phoenix Division have observed BONANNO on several occasions, staying at the Hiway House Motel in Phoenix, Arizona, and has been known to be accompanied at that place by CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, ANTHONY "TONY" SCRIVANO, and JOSEPH HARVEY HOOTNER.

Reports have also been received that the Sky Room atop the Arizona Land and Title Building in Tucson, which is a restaurant and cocktail lounge, is favorite place frequented by subject BONANNO. As stated elsewhere in this report, BONANNO also, for many months, had his mid-morning coffee break with various associates at the Blue Angel Restaurant on North Church Street about a block south of his offices at 270 North Church.

G. TRAVEL

[] Supra, said during the summer of 1959 BONANNO did a lot of traveling back and forth from Tucson to the west coast; the nature of these trips was unknown to [] although BONANNO was ostensibly supposed to be on company business.

b6
b7C

BONANNO usually travels by air when he goes any distance and usually uses American Airlines. He is known to travel frequently with [], and others with whom he is associated in the Tucson Food Distributing Company, Inc. and the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. Also during the past year he is known to have traveled to the east coast on several occasions.

Automobiles

Subject BONANNO drives a 1959 blue Chevrolet convertible with black canvas top, Arizona license AVV 054 which was rented by him for the food company after he became connected

PX 92-172 (sft)

with it, according to information given by [] supra. BONANNO has been observed by SA [] on numerous occasions driving this automobile.

A 1959 white Oldsmobile with a red streak around the middle of the body, Arizona license AWB 250 as described supra, is another car BONANNO has been observed driving frequently by SA [].

A 1958 Chevrolet coupe, Arizona license ASZ 989, which belongs to BONANNO's sister [], but subject BONANNO has been observed by SA [] and local officers of the Tucson Police Department on numerous occasions driving this car.

b6
b7C

In January, 1960 SA [] observed BONANNO driving a 1960 Ford Thunderbird, four passenger automobile.

Phoenix T-8 advised SA [] on February 12, 1960, that this 1960 Ford Thunderbird four passenger automobile Motor No. OY 71Y118913, was purchased on the order of subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO in December, 1959 and was purchased in Detroit, Michigan, by JOSEPH HARVEY HOOTNER.

Phoenix T-8 advised that at the request of JOSEPH BONANNO the Bank of Tucson charged his account \$4,200 which they had the downtown branch of the Valley National Bank in Tucson wire to one of their correspondent banks in Detroit, Michigan, for JOSEPH HARVEY HOOTNER to pick up the Thunderbird at Detroit. Phoenix T-8 said the money was wired to the Welter and Alter Branch of the National Bank of Detroit.

SA [] observed subject JOSEPH BONANNO in this car which bears Arizona license AZV 801.

b6
b7C

On February 25, 1960, Mrs. [] Clerk in the Motor Vehicle Registration Division of the Pima County Assessors Office advised SA [] that due to the fact that they are so behind in their work in connection with the 1960 automobile registrations, it would not be possible to find the registration for the above license AZV 801 but she said she remembered of her own recollection that this car is licensed in the name of SALVATORE BONANNO.

On February 16, 1960, Phoenix T-8 advised SA [] that the Bank of Tucson had received an inquiry from []

PX 92-172 (sft)

the insurance company which carries the insurance on the above described Thunderbird, that that bank had a lien on the car and the insurance company desired to make their policy with the loss payable clause to the bank. At or about this same time, Phoenix T-8 advised that the Bank of Tucson received a telephone call from Attorney [] asking that bank to make a loan of \$3500 to JOSEPH BONANNO on the car. Phoenix T-8 said he did not know whether the car was registered in the name of JOSEPH BONANNO or SALVATORE BONANNO, but he said he thinks it may have been originally registered in the name of JOSEPH BONANNO and subsequently transferred to the name of SALVATORE BONANNO. Phoenix T-8 advised that the bank told [] that they would not look with favor on this loan which in effect was merely making so a statement to which subject's father JOSEPH BONANNO had already committed himself when he advised the insurance company and also when he registered the car that there was a lien on the car in favor of the Bank of Tucson.

b6
b7C

Phoenix T-8 also advised that the next day after receiving the telephone call from [] he received a handwritten note from [] to confirm his request and that this was declined. Phoenix T-8 said on February 15, 1960, JOSEPH BONANNO appeared at the Bank of Tucson in person and requested that they make a loan of \$3500 and take a lien on the car.

Phoenix T-8 advised that the bank officials told BONANNO that they could not make any such loan on this car for the reason that he is under indictment in Federal court in New York.

Since the night of February 13, 1960, SA [] has not seen the above described 1960 Thunderbird either at the residence of BONANNO, his father or at the G and G Parking Lot across the street from subject's offices. SA [] has ascertained from Sergeant [] of the Tucson Police Department that that department has had officers on the lookout for this car and likewise it has not been observed by any officers in that department at its regular parking place at the residence of either subject or his father or anywhere else in Tucson.

b6
b7C

On November 18, 1959, SAs [] and ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ interviewed [] at his office The World Wide Travel Agency on East Broadway in Tucson. []

PX 92-172 (sft)

advised that subject BONANNO purchases tickets for Airline transportation through this travel agency on frequent occasions and that subject BILL BONANNO travels quite often and pays for his transportation charges by check on the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc.

[redacted] advised that he recalls in the Spring of 1959 having sold several tickets to a party led by subject BILL BONANNO and in checking his records he produced copies of the airline tickets on American Airlines for April 23, 1959, for CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, BILL BONANNO, A. PERNA and A. BESASE.

b6
b7C

[redacted] also said that he recalled in the Spring of 1959 subject BILL BONANNO and JOSEPH HOOTNER and their families made tentative reservations with him to travel to Mexico for a weekend fishing trip however the trip did not materialize and they cancelled their reservations.

H. CONTACT

In checking telephone contacts from subject's office telephones and residence telephones, calls were found to various numbers in the Milwaukee area.

The Milwaukee Division by communication dated November 10, 1959, advised that investigation by that division reflects there was a call listed to [redacted] at Broadway 1-0900 and also a call listed to Milwaukee phone WEst 3-2700. According to records of the Wisconsin Telephone Company, WEst 3-2700 is listed to Comos Restaurant, 618 North 27th Street, Milwaukee, a pay phone. [redacted] is known to frequent this restaurant at least once a day, and it is believed very possible that the call was made to him. It is also noted that a call originating in New York was made to Broadway 1-9099 to [redacted]. There is no such telephone number listed at the Wisconsin Telephone Company at Milwaukee and the name [redacted] is evidently [redacted] with whom subject has been in frequent contact and whose phone is Broadway 1-0900. It is also noted that a call was placed to Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, on August 10, 1959, to Walnut 1-4400, which is the phone for the Retlaw Hotel in Fond du Lac where [redacted] resides. It is noted that subject made several phone calls to [redacted].

b6
b7C

Information concerning [redacted] was furnished to the Phoenix by communication dated April 9, 1958, in the case entitled "JOSEPH BONANNO, aka., AR,".

PX 92-172 (sft)

In view of the fact that information has been obtained that [] is very possibly the attorney for the Milwaukee Organization and the friend of the Italian hoodlums in Milwaukee, it is not believed advisable to contact him.

In the same case, by communicated dated May 19, 1959, the Milwaukee Office furnished information reflecting that BILL BONANNO was in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, in May of 1959 and was driving a 1957 Ford Sunliner automobile with Wisconsin license L86-777, which plates are listed to [] [], Attorney, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. In the JOSEPH BONANNO case, the Phoenix Office was also furnished information concerning [] Brothers Company in Fond du Lac and the results of interview of [] of that company and brother of [].

b6
b7C

The following investigation was conducted by SA []

AT MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN:

A check of the Wisconsin Telephone Company records on October 23, 1959, reflected that telephone WEst 3-2700 is listed to Comos Restaurant, 618 North 27th Street, Milwaukee, and is a pay telephone.

A check of Wisconsin Telephone Company records on November 5, 1959, reflected that Milwaukee phone BRoadway 1-9099 is nonexistent. It is to be noted in this connection that BRoadway 1-0900 is listed to a [], Attorney-at-Law, Milwaukee.

AT FOND DU LAC, WISCONSIN:

A check of the Fond du Lac Telephone Director on November 3, 1959, reflected that Fondu Lac phone WALnut 1-4400 is listed to the Retlaw Hotel, Fond du Lac. Prior investigation has established that [], President of the Grande Cheese Company, resides at the Retlaw Hotel.

b6
b7C

Assistant Chief of Police [], Fond du Lac Police Department, upon interview on October 30, 1959, advised that [] has been an attorney in Fond du Lac for approximately twenty-five years and that he enjoys an excellent reputation in that community and his name does not appear in the files of the Fond du Lac Police Department.

[] stated he knows nothing derogatory concerning Mr. [] and has always found him to be open and above board in his dealings.

Miss [], Fond du Lac Chamber of Commerce Credit Bureau, upon interview on October 30, 1959, advised according to her records, Mr. [] has practiced law in that community for approximately twenty to twenty-five years, enjoys a satisfactory credit rating and is considered a very good attorney and, according to Miss [] one whose associates are beyond reproach. She stated Mr. [], according to her records, is attorney for [] Brothers Company in Fond du Lac and held stock in that company for a period of time.

b6
b7C

Mr. [], Attorney-at-Law, Empire Building, Fond du Lac, upon interview on November 3, 1959, advised he is not acquainted with WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO or the latter's father, JOSEPH BONANNO of Tucson, Arizona. Mr. [] stated he has been the attorney for [] Brothers Company in Fond du Lac for a period of approximately fifteen to twenty years, handling all of their legal business. He stated that [] moved to Tucson, Arizona, and he knows nothing concerning [] business dealings in Tucson. Mr. [] stated that [] often communicates with him either telephonically or by letter concerning Mr. [] handling of [] interests in Fond du Lac. Mr. [] stated that [] has been endeavoring for several months to sell his stock in [] Brothers Company in Fond du Lac and has also been endeavoring to dispose of real estate which he owns in that city. Mr. [] stated he is [] attorney for this purpose. He stated he heard from [] brother [] that [] was in a distributing business in Tucson, Arizona, and had gone into business with another individual, but he was not aware of the identity of this person. Mr. [] emphasized that he is only handling [] legal interests in Fond du Lac. He stated [] is a very fine man and above board in every way whatsoever but was a very tight-mouthed individual, and he has not mentioned to Mr. [] anything concerning his business dealings in Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

JEAN and TROX PHOTO SHOP
Flagstaff, Arizona

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [] on November 16, 1959, at Flagstaff,
Arizona:

Mr. [] manager, Credit Bureau
of Flagstaff, advised that JEAN and TROX PHOTO SHOP is
owned and operated by []. He said that
this business is an old established photographic shop
in Flagstaff and that [] is a discreet and reliable
businessman.

Mr. [] advised that while WILLIAM
SALVATORE BONANNO resided in Flagstaff he charged about
\$1,400.00 worth of photographic equipment from Jean and
Trox Photo Shop and did not pay for it. He said that
after BONANNO moved to Tucson he brought suit against
him for the amount of his bill and eventually collected
part of it. He indicated that he knew BONANNO only
slightly and, therefore, could not furnish information
concerning his business activities. Mr. [] said
that all of the photographic equipment was purchased from
an employee of his named [] who knew the subject
better than he did. He was of the opinion that any contact
with his company would have been with [].

[] stated that he knew BONANNO both
socially and from a business standpoint to the extent
that he had sold him \$1,400.00 worth of photographic
equipment at Jean and Trox Photo Shop which he did not
pay for.

[] said that he visited a number of times
in the home of BONANNO, but that BONANNO never talked to
him about his personal or business affairs, except to
tell him that he was going to Tucson to open a spaghetti
factory. He said that the subject invited him to come
to Tucson to work for him in this venture, but that he
refused.

[] recalled that he did receive a tele-
phone call from the subject concerning the bill that he

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

owed to Jean and Trox Photo Shop. He stated that he no longer has contact with BONANNO.

[redacted]
Denver Telephone
No. DE 3-9788

SA [redacted] of the Denver Division ascertained that telephone DE 3-9788 is a public telephone booth located at the corner of 1600 East 18th Avenue in Denver.

b6
b7C

[redacted]
Denver, Colorado

T-1 advised that on August 25, 1959, there were three telephone calls made from subject's office telephone at telephone Main 3-3463 to a person named [redacted] to Denver, Colorado No. WE 5-1037 and in each instance the caller used telephone credit card No. 64J 623 3463.

The Denver Division ascertained that this telephone is listed to [redacted] at the above address, and investigation by that Division at the Retail Credit Mens Association on November 6, 1959, by SA [redacted] reflected that [redacted] was born at Golden, Colorado, age [redacted], married and presently employed at Martin Aircraft Company, Littleton, Colorado. He was formerly employed by Montgomery Ward and Company and Watson Brothers Trucking Company, Denver. The records contain no derogatory information regarding [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] and
wife [redacted]

T-1 advised that there was a call made on September 4, 1959, which was charged to subject's office number, Main 3-3463, in which the caller used the above-numbered credit card and the call was made to Denver, a person called to [redacted], telephone No. DE 3-0554.

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

The Denver Division, as set above, ascertained by investigation conducted by SA [] at the Credit Mens Association that [] and his wife [] came to Denver in approximately 1956 from Cleveland, Ohio, where they had operated a rooming house at []. Before coming to Denver, [] had been employed as a male nurse in the residence of [], Cleveland, Ohio, and resided at [], Cleveland. These records reflected that [] and his wife now reside at [] Denver, and apparently receive income from rental property. No derogatory information appears in the credit bureau files.

b6
b7C

On November 6, 1959, the Denver Division ascertained that the Denver Police Department Identification Bureau contained no record identifiable with either [] supra, or [].

[]
Tucson Telephone
No. Axtel 8-4052

T-1 advised that on August 30, 1959, a collect call from Denver was charged to subject's office telephone, Main 3-3463 by use of a credit card, as numbered above, and the call was a collect call from Denver to the Tucson number Axtel 8-4052.

T-1 advised that this was at that time an unpublished number for [] who was employed as an operator in the Traffic Department of the Mountain State Telephone and Telegraph Company in Tucson, Arizona, at that time and is a sister to [] nee [] who was married to CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA. The telephone number is in the [] home located at [] in Tucson, and no information is available as to when this telephone call was made or who made it from Denver. However, it is considered likely it may have been made by BATTAGLIA to his wife [] at her parents' home, at that address.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

There were numerous calls, according to T-1, over a period of months after the subject's telephone Main 3-3463 was installed to numbers in Phoenix and investigation by the Phoenix Division ascertained that most of these calls, or many of them, were in connection with the food brokerage business of subject.

However, some of the calls indicated other relationships and the names of those called, according to T-1 whose business and relationship with subject is probably not in connection with the food business as set out as follows:

[REDACTED]

Atlas Management Company

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED], (Williams Air
Force Base)

SCHRIEBER and SCHRIEBER

Phoenix Title and Trust Company

[REDACTED] (American General
Insurance Company)

Wintergarden Mano

Elliott Electric Company

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

SALVATORE PROFACI
242 Bay Tenth Street
Brooklyn, New York
Telephone - BE 2-2739
(non-published)

T-1 advised that on July 10, 1959, a call from Tucson, Arizona, telephone No. Main 3-3463 was made to Brooklyn, New York, telephone No. BE 2-2739. The New York Division ascertained that the New York number is listed to SALVATORE PROFACI. They stated that it should be noted that this also is the residence of JOSEPH PROFACI, uncle of subject's wife.

ANTHONY JOSEPH BESASE,
Aka. "Whitey"

As was stated previously in this report, T-1 advised that on May 4, 1959, there was a call charged to subject's office telephone, Main 3-3463, from Tucson and the caller used the credit card, as given above, calling Toledo, Ohio, telephone No. GR 5-7830, which the Cleveland Division ascertained is that of [redacted] 2033 Alexis Road, which is the address of BESASE.

[redacted]
Aircraft Supplies Limited
415 North Victory Boulevard
Burbank, California

T-1 advised that on July 20, 1959, there was made a telephone call from Main 3-3463, Tucson, subject's food company telephone, to [redacted] at telephone Thornwall 6-9134 which the Los Angeles Division ascertained is listed to Aircraft Supplies Limited, 415 North Victory Boulevard, Burbank, California.

The Los Angeles Division also ascertained that the records of Dun and Bradstreet as caused to be reviewed by IC [redacted] on December 18, 1959, reflect that

b6
b7c

92-172
KFJ:JMK

this company does business as a jobber of aircraft supplies registered on April 9, 1959, by Sportsmen's Tours, Incorporated, a California corporation in which [redacted] Whittier, California, was the interest principal.

The business is reported owned by [redacted]. Dun and Bradstreet records reflected that on January 12, 1955, [redacted] pleaded guilty to a count of armed robbery, being accused of taking \$19.00 from a shop manager and on February 18, 1955, he was adjudged guilty of robbery in the first degree and was sentenced to state prison.

b6
b7C

On April 28, 1959, when interviewed by Dun and Bradstreet representatives, [redacted] both advised that the assets of the company still consisted of a small inventory of aircraft hardware and that as of that time [redacted] was in the process of discontinuing his business.

[redacted]
199-52 Keno Avenue
Hollis, Queens, New York
Telephone - SP 6-4143

T-1 advised that on August 2, 1959, there was a telephone call made from Tucson charged to subject's office telephone Main 3-3463, to the above Brooklyn telephone number.

The New York Division ascertained by investigation on December 31, 1959, that this number is registered to [redacted] address given above, and the billing is to [redacted] same address. This is a resident-type service.

A review of the New York Division's indices and files reflect [redacted] is a relative of subject, and the information concerning this was set forth in the case entitled: "JOSEPH BONANNO, AR."

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

[redacted]
Phoenix, Arizona

T-1 advised that on September 1, 1959, a collect call was received at the Tucson number Main 3-3463, for "Bill" or "Tony" from [redacted], Phoenix, Arizona, telephone No. AL 3-1792.

b6
b7C

It should be noted that [redacted] is connected with Vaccaro's Restaurant in Phoenix. It should also be noted that [redacted] is the son of one JOHN T. SCALISH from Ohio.

[redacted]
Aka. [redacted]
[redacted]
FBI No. 1799 988
[redacted]
Hollywood, California

Phoenix informant T-16 advised SA [redacted] on February 24 and February 25, 1960, that there have been several telephone calls from Tucson through telephone number HO 3-0583, belonging to [redacted] which calls were charged to subject's office telephone Main 3-3463, wherein the caller used a credit card for this number. T-16 said there were calls made to this number, some station to station, and some made to [redacted] on November 9, November 11, November 12, November 21, November 22, November 25, November 26, November 28, December 4, two calls on December 5, one call on December 6, 1959.

b6
b7C

T-1 advised that from November, 1959, through January, 1960, there were also several calls charged to the Tucson telephone No. Main 3-5868, which is the telephone for the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Incorporated, reported to be a BONANNO enterprise at 270 North Church.

T-16 said the calls were made to [redacted] number, sometimes station calls and other times person calls to [redacted] on the following dates:

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

November 3, 1959
November 6, 1959
November 13, 1959 (there were four calls this date)
November 15, 1959
November 18, 1959
November 20, 1959

December 19, 1959
December 20, 1959
December 26, 1959
December 13, 1959
December 18, 1959 (there were two calls this date)
December 26, 1959 (there was one call this date)

January 1, 1960
January 8, 1960
January 9, 1960
January 16, 1960
January 17, 1960
January 19, 1960

(It should be noted that the records furnished by T-16 indicated that the call made on January 19, 1960, had the number Main 4-8395 crossed out and a notation made that the credit card was used to make the call.)

(It should be noted that Main 4-8395 in Tucson is the number for CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA and is in the name of [redacted] at [redacted] in Tucson.)

It should be noted that the Los Angeles Division has advised previously in the case entitled: "CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, Jr., Aka. AR" that [redacted] has used the alias of [redacted] for some time at the above Hollywood address where he operates as a West Coast liaison for [redacted] a Cincinnati odds maker. [redacted] since September, 1959, has been recovering ostensibly from a heart attack, according to the Los Angeles Division, and has not been carrying on gambling activities. However, [redacted] brother and associates continue to operate a commission layoff office in Newport, Kentucky, and have

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

purchased a Federal Wagers Tax Stamp, according to the Cincinnati Division.

The Los Angeles Division also advised that [redacted] has at times had many telephones in his apartment at the 3394 Floyd Terrace address and that the name [redacted] is his mother-in-law's name. Gamblers from all over the West Coast have called [redacted] rather than [redacted] direct, in order to get gambling odds for sporting events.

b6
b7C

The Los Angeles Division advised that [redacted] does not act as a bookmaker.

Other Contacts

Listed below are telephone numbers, and in some instances names, called on the dates indicated from the office telephone of Tucson Food Distributors, Incorporated, telephone No. Main 3-3463 as furnished by T-16, supra:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
September 30, 1959	Los Angeles	AN 1-7169
September 29, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-6000 [redacted]
September 23, 1959	Collect from NYHY A 79754	[redacted] to [redacted], Tucson Main 3-3463
October 5, 1959	Kansas City	HA 1-9295 "Jungle Club" (credit card used)
October 7, 1959	Brooklyn, New York	GL 5-4070
October 7, 1959	Brooklyn, New York	HY 7-9754

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
October 6, 1959	Chicago	[REDACTED]
September 24, 1959	Toledo	GR 5-7830 [REDACTED] (Call placed from Tucson, East telephone)
October 1, 1959	St. Louis by BONANNO	Main 1-6600 (Costan)
October 16, 1959	Cincinnati	CH 1-3080 DOMINIC PALAZZOLO
November 24, 1959	Minneapolis	FE 6-3611
December 5, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-9073 (name [REDACTED] crossed out and name [REDACTED] inserted)
December 5, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-9073 (the first of the two calls immedi- ately above was made at 10:09 a. m. for one minute, a person to person call, while the second call was at 9:45 a. m. for one minute)
December 5, 1959	Los Angeles	HO 9-0186
November 22, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-9073 (made from Tucson Axtel 8 number)

b6
b7c

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
November 22, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-9073 (also from a Tucson Axtel 8 number). It should be noted the second call immediately above was made at 9:38 a. m. while the first call was made at 9:47 a. m., both for one minute each.
November 26, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-9073 9:50 a. m. one minute
November 29, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-9073 10:57 a. m.
November 28, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-9073 10:15 a. m. two minutes
December 2, 1959	Los Angeles	TR 7-8346. (LA Division has previously advised that this is a non- published number at CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA's residence at 6248 Vineland, North Hollywood, California)
December 6, 1959	Las Vegas	DU 2-9073 call made from a Tucson number, Axtel 8-, credit card used

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

(It should be noted that during this particular period CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA and his wife [] were residing with her parents at the Calle de San Alberto address in Tucson and their telephone number was in the Axtel 8-exchange)

b6
b7C

The following numbers or calls, according to T-16, were charged to the Tucson telephone No. Main 3-5868, which is the number of the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Incorporated:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
November 16, 1959	Minneapolis	FE 6-3614 [] (It should be noted informant advised that No. FE 6-3611 had first been written and crossed out)
November 13, 1959	Hollywood, California	HO 7-5788 [] credit card used
December 1, 1959	Detroit	TU 1-8691 []
December 3, 1959	Hollywood, California	HO 9-0186

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
November 23, 1959	Ralls, Texas	OL 7-2344 [redacted]
December 12, 1959	Call made from Los Angeles	TR 7- number on credit card to Tucson Axtel 8-8423
December 12, 1959	Los Angeles	TR 7- number credit card used to Las Vegas DU 2-9073
December 10, 1959	Los Angeles MA number	Credit card used to Tucson Axtel 8- 8423 [redacted]
December 9, 1959	Los Angeles TR 7- number	Credit card used to Tucson Axtel 8- 8423
December 9, 1959	" "	" "
		(It should be noted Axtel 8-8423 was a non-published number for the [redacted] family where CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA and his wife [redacted] were residing during this period)
December 15, 1959	Grosse Point, Michigan	VA 1-2888
December 16, 1959	Collect from Hootner at Detroit	to Main 3-5868

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
December 13, 1959	Call from Brawley, California	Credit card used to Tucson Axtel 8-8423
December 11, 1959	Los Angeles	HO number, credit card used to Tucson Axtel 8-8423
December 29, 1959	Los Angeles	TR 7-3718 (TR 7-3718 in Los Angeles has been identified by the Los Angeles Division as the non-published number of [redacted] [redacted], sister-in-law of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, at 6248 Vineland, North Hollywood, California)
December 26, 1959	Call originated in Glendale, Arizona	Credit card used to Rockford, Illinois, WO 8-1060 [redacted]
December 19, 1959	Call from Tucson Axtel 8- number [redacted]	Credit card used to Las Vegas DU 2-9073 [redacted]
December 19, 1959	Call from Tucson Axtel 8- number	Credit card used to Las Vegas DU 2-9073 (It should be noted that the last of the two numbers

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
		called on December 19 was called at 10:00 a. m. and the first one to [redacted] was called at 10:40 a. m., two minutes and one min- ute, respectively)
December 26, 1959	Call from Tucson Axtel number	Credit card used to Hollywood, California, TR 7-3718 (This is the Los Angeles number for [redacted], supra)
December 7, 1959	Brooklyn, New York	GL 2-7454 [redacted] [redacted]
December 9, 1959	Detroit	VA 1-2888 Hootner
December 10, 1959	Call from Los Angeles TR 7- number	Credit card used to Tucson Axtel 8-8423 [redacted] telephone)
December 9, 1959	Collect from Detroit, TOW 83732, "Hootner"	to Tucson Main 3-5868 [redacted] B"
December 14, 1959	Call from Tucson	Credit card used to Beverly Hills, California, OL 7-0290 or OL 7-0270 Dr. [redacted] [redacted])

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
December 26, 1959	From Tucson Axtel number	Credit card used to Las Vegas DU 4-3560 (DU 2-9073 was first written and crossed out)
December 19, 1959	Tucson credit card used	To Hollywood, HO 3-0583 [redacted]
December 16, 1959	Detroit	Call from Hootner
January 18, 1960	Hollywood	CR 6-8552 [redacted] additional name [redacted]
January 8, 1960	Credit card used	To Detroit BR 3-1047
January 8, 1960	Call made from Tucson number Main 2-2311 "Hootner" credit card used	To Detroit TR 3-1350 Fader (It should be noted that Tucson Main 2-2311 is [redacted] Empire Drug Store)
January 18, 1960	St. Louis	JE 5-3300 [redacted] St. Louis University
January 17, 1960	Credit card used to Cincinnati	JU 1-8488

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
January 2, 1960	Cincinnati	JU 1-8488 credit card used
January 6, 1960	Call originating from a Tucson Main number	credit card used to Hollywood Tr 7-3718 (This number is <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 200px; height: 1.2em; display: inline-block;"></div> supra)
January 21, 1960	Collect from North Hollywood PO 4 number to	Tucson Main 4-8395 charged to 3-5868
January 21, 1960	Collect from Los Angeles TR 7- number	Credit card used to Main 4-8395 Tucson
January 21, 1960	Collect from Los Angeles TR 7-number	Credit card to Tucson Main 4-8395
January 22, 1960	Collect from Los Angeles TR 7- number	Credit card used to Main 4-8395 Tucson
January 23, 1960	" "	" "
January 22, 1960	" "	" "
January 25, 1960	" "	" "
January 25, 1960	" "	" "
January 25, 1960	" "	" "
January 23, 1960	Tucson to	Hollywood TR 7-8346 credit card used

b6
b7c

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
		(This is non-published number of BATTAGLIA in North Hollywood)
January 24, 1960	From Tucson	Credit card used to Los Angeles TR 7-3718
January 24, 1960	From Tucson	Credit card used to North Hollywood TR 7-8346
January 26, 1960	Indianapolis	LI 7-5466 [redacted] Room No. 4
January 25, 1960	From Tucson [redacted]	To Chicago WE 9-4800 [redacted]
January 27, 1960	From Royal Oak, Michigan	Lynnwood number credit card used to North Hollywood TR7-3718
January 27, 1960	Royal Oak, Michigan	Lynnwood 1- number credit card used to Tucson Main 4-8395 [redacted]
January 29, 1960	From Tucson Main 3-5868 [redacted]	To Detroit VE 8-8150 Mrs. [redacted]
January 29, 1960	Hollywood, California CR 6-8552	[redacted]

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
January 29, 1960	Cincinnati	JU 1-8488
January 28, 1960	Newark, New Jersey	PI 4-9747 [redacted]
January 27, 1960	Tucson Main number	credit card used to Cincinnati JU 1-8488
January 28, 1960	From Tucson Main Number	Credit card used to Hollywood TR 7-8346
January 28, 1960	From Main 2-9892 [redacted]	to Detroit VE 5-7338 [redacted] to be billed to Main 3-5868

b6
b7C

T-16 advised the Tucson Main 2-9892 is a coin
box telephone.

February 3, 1960	Detroit	TO 8-3732 "Joe Hootner"
February 1, 1960	From Detroit collect, Detroit telephone TOW 8- number	credit card used to Tucson Main 3-5868 [redacted]
February 4, 1960	Detroit	WO 1-9093 [redacted]
February 5, 1960	Detroit	UN 4-8441 Joseph Hootner
February 5, 1960	Detroit	WO 5-6622 Hootner

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Number and Name</u>
February 5, 1960	Detroit	WO 5-6622 Hootner
February 4, 1960	St. Louis	JE 5-3300 <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 1.2em; width: 100%;"></div>

b6
b7c

I. MISCELLANEOUS

On February 10, 1960, SA JOHN B. DUFFY advised that at 6th and Congress Streets, Tucson, SA DUFFY ran into BONANNO on the street. BONANNO stopped SA DUFFY and stated he wanted to get something off his chest. He was advised that SA DUFFY would be happy to hear anything he had to say. The interview lasted from 11:25 a. m. to 12:05 a. m. Present in a nearby parked car, but not in a position to overhear the conversation was TONY SCRIVANO.

BONANNO started off by stating that he had personal respect for SA DUFFY and because of that he was willing to express what he wanted to say. He stated that he would use the word "you" in the interview, but by that he would mean law enforcement in general and not SA DUFFY personally. He expressed some ignorance of the exact lines of jurisdictional demarcation between the various Federal agencies. He stated he was aware of the fact that the FBI was the agency that arrested his father November 14, 1958, but was not sure whether or not the FBI conducted the "raid" on the BONANNO home some months later and again arrested his father. He stated that he thought that it was the FBI and the FNB, but was not sure. He stated that what he had to say was for the benefit of any law enforcement agency that had an interest in either himself or his father.

BONANNO began by stating that he felt "that we have taken it on the chin long enough, and we are now going to start fighting back." He pointed out that he and his father had been harassed by various law enforcement

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

agencies for the past two years, and that as a result his (BILL BONANNO's) business had suffered. He stated that a financial backer and partner had withdrawn from his food business. He stated he was referring to [REDACTED], whom he termed a (Obscene 1) who was afraid to go ahead in business with BILL BONANNO because of the investigations being conducted by "you". He stated that he had a quarter of a million dollar business built up and he was not going to stand by and see it harmed because of these investigations. He again reiterated that he was not talking specifically about the FBI because he was not sure who was conducting what investigations.

b6
b7C

He next referred to [REDACTED] as a big fat (Obscene 2) who talks a lot, refers to the Mafia frequently, but when he is pinned down he refuses to name names. [REDACTED] is manager of the Tucson Better Business Bureau. He stated that [REDACTED] was afraid to name names, but that his hints were broad enough to eliminate anyone other than BILL or JOE BONANNO in some of his statements.

He referred to Chief of Police BERNARD GARMIRE and a recent television program, and referred especially to a chart on this program showing some intermarriages in Italian families. He stated that because of all the harassment and specifically because of this chart his wife ([REDACTED]) has been humiliated and because of this humiliation has become ill.

b6
b7C

He also referred to that (Obscene 3) [REDACTED] of the Tucson Sheriff's Office. He stated that [REDACTED] gave the "Phoenix Gazette" a story when LEWIS SIROTTA was killed that SIROTTA was a friend of JOE BONANNO and as a result the Gazette headlined the killing as "PAL OF BONANNO'S KILLED." BILL stated that a retraction appeared a day or so later, but it was a one line retraction on Page 14. "The harm had already been done", he said.

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

He stated that "that (Obscene 4) [redacted] (sic) of the "Arizona Republic" is always printing some (Obscene 5) about the Mafia and us BONANNOS. He is in for some changing also."

b6
b7C

He said "If you guys have got so (Obscene 6) much on us why haven't you got us in jail"... "You make a big splash in the newspapers about the Mafia but you never put anyone in jail. If we are such big criminals you aren't doing your part for the citizens of the United States."

In response to what he meant by "we", BONANNO explained that all Italian people are being persecuted because the investigations of some hoodlums have led the public to believe that all Italians are gangsters or "untouchables". He told SA DUFFY that similar persecutions happened to SA DUFFY when he was young because of SA DUFFY's Irish background.

He pointed out that the recent conviction of the Apalachin leaders in New York was a frame, and was because Judge KAUFMAN wanted a Supreme Court judgeship and the "DA" wanted to become a judge. He said both of these men will refer to their part in convicting the Apalachin leaders when they make their bid for advancement. He said, "Whoever heard of anyone being convicted on overt acts?"

He next stated, "Do you think that I'd jeopardize my food business by handling a two dollar book?" Why he brought this subject up is obscure, and he did not clarify it. It is significant to note that he at this point went on to the next subject.

BONANNO related that he was well aware of the "electronic aids that you people" have to help you. He pointed out that he knew that it was possible for SA DUFFY to be recording the conversation, but that he did not care who knew it.

He said, "I know all you guys and your car. It is a grey Ford, Arizona license AAU-100 or AAU-700." He said that he sees this car at all hours of the day and night

wherever he might be and sees it frequently in the alley in the rear of his office, and at the turn off on Cresta Loma Road from North Oracle Road. He reiterated again that "you" does not specifically mean the FBI.

He said, "You guys are all the time saying that there is such a thing as the Mafia. There isn't and I can quote your own boss that there isn't. I have a newspaper clipping from him stating that there is no proof that the Mafia exists and I get it out and read it every once in awhile just for kicks." He stated, "You guys ought to see how ridiculous you look to us, I mean us on the inside with these crazy investigations." "There is no Mafia, as I told you Attorney ROGERS said to the press." "Why then do you go ahead with these crazy things, and embarrass us about things that happened thirty years ago."

He specifically stated, "Perhaps my father did something wrong many years ago; so what, he isn't doing anything wrong today." Quickly, however, he qualified by saying that if his father did do anything wrong years ago he was too young to remember such a thing.

He added that he was real worked up about the harm being caused "my people" and me by these investigations and that he intended to retaliate, and he replied, "Oh, in a legal manner, we're not going to throw any stones, this is 1960, you know, not the thirties."

Later, in an apparent philosophical mood, he said that it would probably do no good to sue these people mentioned because the newspapers would report it in a sensational manner, and that would result in more bad publicity for "us". He again at this point explained "us" as all Italian people, but specifically those you are investigating.

He finally stated that he spoke only for himself next and that he personally would retaliate by leading such an outstanding and exemplary life, that no one could criticize him.

He said, "You tell whoever you have to tell, that whenever you arrest BILL BONANNO you'd better have a (Obscene 7) case, because I'm really going to fight". He explained this by stating that his good character from now on was going to get him many "big names" to testify for him if and when he was arrested.

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

On August 28, 1959, Assistant United States Attorney MARY ANNE REIMANN, Tucson, Arizona, advised that her office was in receipt of a letter on that date from one [redacted] Costa Mesa, California. Miss REIMANN advised SA [redacted] that she was answering Mr. [redacted] answering him that she could find no violation of any Federal nature in the information that he had furnished. However, she told him that she thought possibly a state violation had been committed by the subject and suggested that [redacted] contact the County Attorney at Flagstaff, Arizona.

b6
b7C

The following is a copy of Mr. [redacted] letter:

"Aug. 26, 1959

"Gentlemen: --

"Approximately a year and a half ago, while a resident of Flagstaff, Arizona, I bought into a piece of property in Douglas, Arizona through a WESTERN UNITED REAL ESTATE & INVESTMENT CO.. The transaction was negotiated through and with the president of said company, S. V. BONANNO. At that time they maintained an office in Flagstaff in with an Alliance Realty Co. of Tucson, Arizona

"I gave an initial down payment of \$292.00 with balance due in three annual payments of \$227.50 each. I had received a contract signed by S. V. Bonanno, Pres and [redacted] Secretary on behalf of this Western United Real Estate and Investment Co., an Arizona Corp. but without a legal description of which I was informed would follow.

b6
b7C

"A few months later I moved to California. When the first of three payments were due I found that the Flagstaff office no longer existed and there was no listing to be found in Tucson. I made

PX 92-172
KFJ:JMK

"a series of phone calls and located a lawyer assumed to be affiliated with this Western United Co. only to be told that I should contact S. V. Bonanno. I finally contacted Bonanno_

2

"and was told to send the payment to him made out to the company (Western United R. E.) Again I asked for the legal description, status, etc_ and again I received no reply.

"I then noted that three months had elapsed and the check was never cashed plus I still, after a few more letters, had received no reply as to the whereabouts of this company and the legal description of said property in Douglas, Arizona.

"I therefore put a stop on the check and sent S. V. Bonanno a registered letter of inquiry only to have it returned.

"I would appreciate hearing from your office as to what may be done about this matter and if your office is in a position of assistance.

"Thank you for your kind indulgence -----

"Very truly yours.

"/s/

[Redacted Signature]

Costa Mesa, Calif."

b6
b7C

INTERVIEW REPORT

Date October 6, 1959

[redacted], [redacted], Costa Mesa, California, advised he met SALVATORE V. BONANNO in Flagstaff, Arizona during the latter part of 1957. [redacted] had just come to Flagstaff as the manager of a bowling alley and BONANNO had a real estate office known as Alliance Realty. [redacted] was working in the office with BONANNO and TONY SCRIVANO was working out of the realty office as an Insurance Agent.

[redacted] said he became socially active with BONANNO and visited in the BONANNO home in Flagstaff on a number of occasions. In January, 1958 BONANNO told [redacted] he had purchased 2700 acres of land near Douglas, Arizona and that it was anticipated the land would have a considerable increment in value. [redacted] entered into a contract dated January 21, 1958 wherein the Western United Real Estate and Investment Company sold him a 15/2700 interest in 2700 acres of land which was located near Douglas, Arizona. [redacted] agreed to pay the Tucson, Arizona Office of Western United \$975.00. He paid \$292.50 down and was to pay the balance of \$682.50 in annual payments of \$227.50. The sales agreement was signed SALVATORE V. BONANNO, President, [redacted] Secretary, and [redacted] Buyer.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said he purchased with the understanding that Alliance Realty was the true owner of the property and that Western United Real Estate and Investment Company was merely the sales agent handling the sale.

[redacted] said that after he signed the sales agreement, BONANNO represented the property as a good piece of land. He claimed a major oil company was negotiating for a test lease. He gave no specifications. He also said he planned to subdivide the land in 100 acre parcels and retain a part to be used for the construction of a shopping center.

[redacted] related he had never actually seen the property. He had no idea as to exactly where it was located. He had no legal description of it.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised he moved to California in April, 1958. In late 1958, he heard from friends in Flagstaff that BONANNO had moved from Flagstaff to Tucson, Arizona and that the Alliance Realty Company no longer existed. As a result, he wanted to know where to send the first annual payment that was

Interview with [redacted] File # LA 92-685
on 9/29/59 at [redacted] Date dictated 10/4/59
Costa Mesa, California
by Special Agent [redacted] : CJW:JMK

LA 92-685

about due and he also wanted a legal description of the property. He wrote a registered letter to Alliance Realty at Tucson. The return receipt was signed by [] at 3931 East Broadway, Tucson. He, however, received no answer. He then tried to telephone BONANNO and [] in Tucson. He ascertained that BONANNO resides at 875 West Cresta Loma Drive, Tucson and that [] resides at [] Tucson. However, he was unable to reach them by telephone. He finally was referred to an attorney named [] telephone Main 4-2391 in Tucson. [] told him he knew nothing about BONANNO's business interests, but that BONANNO had been subpoenaed to appear before a Racket's Committee hearing in Los Angeles regarding his father's activities.

b6
b7C

[] said he finally got in touch with BONANNO at the Baulay Distributors, 400 Mesilla, Tucson, telephone 2-5652. BONANNO told him he should just send his check payable to Western United Realty and Investment Company and he would handle it. BONANNO claimed there must have been some oversight regarding the legal description of the property. BONANNO also told him he was coming to Buena Park, California to pick up merchandise and he would call [] upon arrival. BONANNO did call, but [] was not at home.

[] said that he sent a check drawn on the Security First National Bank, Santa Ana Branch to Western United at Tucson for the first annual payment and again asked for a legal description. This check was never cashed. He heard no more from BONANNO.

[] said that he had sent a registered letter to BONANNO on August 1, 1959. The letter was addressed to BONANNO in care of the Baulay Distributors. The letter was returned unclaimed.

b6
b7C

[] advised the above is the extent of his knowledge of BONANNO and his activities. He stated he knew of no one else who had invested in this project although he assumes there are others.

J. PERSONAL HABITS AND PECULIARITIES

According to Sergeant [REDACTED] (NA), head of the Intelligence Unit, Tucson Police Department, persons who are personally acquainted with subject BONANNO state he is arrogant, defiant, and tries to impress all he meets that he is a very important person.

BONANNO always wears his hair long and almost consistently wears colored glasses during daylight hours. He also smokes cigars constantly.

b6
b7C

Sergeant [REDACTED] also advised that BONANNO has a reputation for philandering and, according to [REDACTED] it was common knowledge around downtown area of Tucson that for some time BONANNO was carrying on a clandestine affair with a waitress in the Blue Angel Restaurant. [REDACTED] advised that this waitress, who is known as [REDACTED] is thoroughly unreliable.

SAC, Phoenix

May 4, 1960

Director, FBI (92-4494)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING

AA
Copies of the Federal income tax returns filed by the above subject for the years 1954, 1956, 1957 and 1958 have been obtained from the Internal Revenue Service on a confidential basis and are forwarded for your information. In the event any information obtained from these returns is included in a report or other communication, the source should be protected.

Enclosures 4


MCB/ent/sjc
(4)

EX 104

REC- 98

92-4494-7
18 MAY 5 1960

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____



TELETYPE UNIT ☐

MAY 10 1960

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 5/2/60	Investigative Period 2/26 - 4/18/60
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO; aka.		Report made by SA JOHN B. DUFFY	Typed By: sft
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI-RACKETEERING	

Synopsis:

REFERENCES

Report of SA [redacted] dated 3/7/60 at Phoenix.

- P -

LEADS

NEW YORK DIVISION:

AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK: (See New York let to Phoenix dated 3/25/60.)

Will attempt to determine the type of mortgages McCabe Associates handles, and the clients they represent.

Will check for criminal record on [redacted]

Will make additional investigation to determine if possible, that McCabe Associates represent hoodlums.

b6
b7C

Approved <i>[Signature]</i>	Special Agent In Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: 2 - Bureau (92-4494) 2 - New York City (92-1586) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		92-4494-8	REC-41
		25 MAY 6 1960	

PX 92-172

PHOENIX DIVISION:

AT KINGMAN, ARIZONA:

Will maintain contact with State Checking Station Inspectors concerning subject's Volkswagen truck.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA:

Will identify other subscribers to telephone numbers called by subject.

Will attempt to determine [redacted] connection with subject.

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA:

Will determine the relationship between subject and [redacted].

Ascertain ownership of the 3 or 4 duplexes on north side of Elida in 3600 block and try to get names of occupants. Considered possible properties may be owned by BONANNO or associates, hence so many BONANNO associates living or using addresses in block.

Identify and get background on [redacted] and determine connection with BONANNO or associates.

Determine identity of resident [redacted], address on registration of [redacted] car, which is different than listed for him.

In view of fact BILL BONANNO has been observed by Agents on several occasions recently around the Chicken Carousel, 5210 East Speedway Blvd., try to determine extent his financial interest. Check for corporate or partnership in records at Recorder's Office.

ADMINISTRATIVE

Investigation at Phoenix, Arizona, by SA JOHN B. DUFFY concerning identity of subscribers to phone calls resulted from a check of long distance calls to Phoenix from subject's phone in his office at 270 North Church Street.

- B -

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

Other individuals who were called from this number as furnished by [redacted] Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company are:

[redacted] AT 4-7403
[redacted] AL 3-8895
Atlas Management - CR 9-5541
Elliot Electric Co. - AL 4-9581
Dean Davidson Realty - AL 8-7431
Copper State Realty - BR 6-4266
Metro Jepko - YU 8-2611
Phoenix Title and Trust Co. - AL 8-9661

b6
b7C

Many other Phoenix numbers were called but by reference to the reverse phone book, it was determined that these were firms engaged in the food businesses and would most likely be legitimate calls in connection with subject's food brokerage.

On 1/27/60, Mr. [redacted] Installment Loan Department, Valley National Bank, downtown office, Tucson, advised SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ, that his office was seeking to repossess for non-payment, a panel truck belonging to the subject at the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc.

Mr. [redacted] stated that he had learned that the truck was in Tucson; that it was alleged the subject was running this vehicle between Tucson and Las Vegas, Nevada. Mr. [redacted] stated that as far as he could ascertain, the subject was not driving the vehicle; that one of the company's employees was usually the driver. The purpose or frequencies of these trips between Tucson and Las Vegas was not known by Mr. [redacted].

Mr. [redacted] further advised that the vehicle was alleged to have a two-way radio-telephone installed within it.

b6
b7C

On 1/29/60, Mr. [redacted] contacted SA NISCHWITZ and stated that the subject had appeared personally at the Valley National Bank and made up the payments on the panel truck by using a cashier's check, drawn on the new Bank of Tucson. This payment cleared the delinquency of the payment on the vehicle.

[redacted] described the vehicle as a 1958 Chevrolet panel truck, bearing 1950 Arizona license CJ 7575, light blue in color, MN 58K121366.

- C -

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

The following investigation was conducted by SA

AT KINGMAN, ARIZONA:

Personal contact was made on 3/24/60 with Inspectors [redacted] at the Agricultural Checking Station, Highway 93, north of Kingman, Arizona. These inspectors each advised that they did not recall ever having seen this particular truck, but that they would be on the alert for it in the future, and if seen, it would be checked in a routine fashion, without arousing any suspicion on the part of the driver, to ascertain contents, name of driver, mileage, destination, etc. They were asked to note this information on paper, so that this agent could contact them on a weekly basis and obtain same if the truck should happen to come through the station.

The source referred to by the New York Division concerning McCabe and Associates is Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.

Investigation at Indianapolis, Indiana, is the result of a check in that city of telephone number LI 7-5466 called from subject's office.

On 4/8/60 the St. Louis Division advised that there was no such number in that city as NA 1-6600.

On 4/7/60 a highly confidential source advised SA [redacted] that the following are the officers of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc.:

Arizona

S. V. "BILL" BONANNO	- President
[redacted]	- Vice President
[redacted]	- Vice President
PROSPECT/MILE	- Secretary
[redacted]	- Treasurer

On 3/28/60 Mr. [redacted], Commercial Sales Representative, Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Tucson, Arizona, appeared at the Tucson RA and furnished the following information to SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ with the request that this information be kept highly confidential and the source of it not be revealed to anyone.

- D -

COVER PAGE

Mr. [] stated that on 3/15/60 he received a telephone call in his office from the subject who made inquiry relative to a change of equipment in his private home on Cresta Loma Drive, Tucson. According to Mr. [] the subject was desirous of having a new set of the Princess-type telephone installed in his home. Mr. [] stated that he made arrangements to have this service made available to the subject and told him the dates the installation would be made.

Mr. [] continued that he was called again on 3/17/60 by the subject and after a couple of minutes discussing the installation of the new Princess-type telephone, the subject asked him about the new deposit of \$150.00 for having service restored to a business concern. Mr. [] stated that he had advised the subject that there would be no charge except the new monthly charge for the operation of the Princess telephone in his private residence and that the \$150.00 pertained only to businesses in operation in the Tucson area. Upon that bit of information, the subject stated that he was not referring to a private home but he was referring to a business in which he had interest in the city. At this time he revealed that he was a heavy investor in the Chicken Carousel, 5210 East Speedway Blvd., Tucson. The subject stated that a representative of his organization had made inquiry relative to the transfer of the telephone in this restaurant and was advised that it would require \$150.00 deposit. Mr. [] stated that he and the subject discussed this and the company policy regarding the deposit and in the discussion he established that the subject apparently had been an investor in this restaurant since its opening and that the restaurant had continued for approximately a year and that it was then closed because of poor management, but the restaurant was now to be reopened under new management. Following the discussion regarding the restaurant with the subject, Mr. [] stated that he followed the company policy of checking the various credits, etc., and learned that an individual by the name of [] was the front or apparent owner of this organization with one [] known as []. It appears that [] is acting as the manager and [] is a member of the organization in some unknown manner but he is always around the establishment and it was he who actually payed the \$150.00 deposit for the establishment of the telephone. The telephone number of the Chicken Carousel will now be EAst 6-2493.

PX 92-172

In regard to [redacted] Mr. [redacted] stated he is a man of about fifty, was the previous owner of the "Fruitland" fruit stand which is located just east of the Chicken Carousel. Mr. [redacted] stated that he noticed in some of the references that [redacted] wife's name was [redacted]

The City Directory for Tucson notes that a [redacted] and wife [redacted] live at [redacted], telephone EAST 7-5266. He is listed as a produce man. It is noted that Mr. [redacted] stated that his telephone number is EAST 7-5275.

In regard to [redacted] the current Tucson City Directory shows that [redacted] and wife [redacted] own the Ship Cafe. Their home is located at [redacted]

In continuing the discussion on [redacted] and [redacted], Mr. [redacted] stated that their files made reference to a joint bank account between these two individuals at the Bank of Douglas where they had in account over \$100,000.00.

Mr. [redacted] stated that in the event he came upon any further information in regard to the subject or either of the two gentlemen mentioned, he would bring it to the attention of the FBI immediately.

[redacted], First National Bank of Arizona, Tucson, advised SA [redacted] on 4/7/60 that they received a check on 3/18/60 in amount of \$163.33 signed by [redacted] on downtown branch of Valley National Bank, Tucson, against checking account of R & B Enterprises, for payment on BILL BONANNO's 1959 Oldsmobile.

INFORMANT COVERAGE

No change since referenced report.

- F*-

COVER PAGE

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

Copy to:

Report of: SA JOHN B. DUFFY
Date: 5/2/60

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: PX 92-172

Bureau File No. 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Evidence to connect TONY SCRIVANO, associate of subject, to CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA developed. Las Vegas phone numbers DU 2-9073 and DU 4-3650 assigned to the Santa Anita Turf Club. Neither BONANNO nor his known associates have any interest of record in the Desert Inn Hotel in Las Vegas, nor is BONANNO known regularly to frequent any of the Las Vegas gambling places. [redacted] and [redacted] JR. are partners in [redacted] Associates in New York City. [redacted] of Tucson was in contact with subject in January from Indianapolis, Indiana. Identity of subjects contacts at Detroit, Michigan and Phoenix, Arizona, set out. Subject has investment in the Chicken Carousel, a restaurant at Tucson. Officers of Tucson Food Distributors determined JOSEPH BONANNO has promised a settlement to [redacted] on money owned [redacted] by subject R & B Enterprises are making payments on subject's automobile. Subject does not appear in state records as having any capacity with First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc.

b6
b7C

- P -

THIS REPORT IS NOT TO BE
DISSEMINATED.

DETAILS:

On March 30, the Salt Lake City Division advised by communication dated March 28, 1960, of the following information:

FX 92-172

AT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA:

The following investigation was conducted by SA
GEORGE F. GILLEM:

From the files of the Salt Lake City Office, as ascertained by previous investigations had in other matters, it was ascertained that Las Vegas telephone numbers DUDLEY 2-9073 and DUDLEY 4-3650 are listed to the Santa Anita Turf Club, 3125 Las Vegas Boulevard South. Personal observation reflects that it is a race book operation.

The following were contacted in an effort to determine who the individual named [REDACTED] might be, at the telephone numbers, with negative results:

Undersheriff [REDACTED] (NA), Clark County Sheriff's Office.

Captain [REDACTED] Detective Bureau, Clark County, Sheriff's Office.

Sergeant [REDACTED] Detective Bureau, Clark County Sheriff's Office.

Sergeant [REDACTED] Records Bureau, Clark County Sheriff's Office.

[REDACTED] Records Bureau, Las Vegas Police Department.

On March 17, 1960, a pretext telephone call was made to the above mentioned establishment, by the agent, and it was ascertained that there was no one there at that time named [REDACTED] nor was there any employee named [REDACTED] at this place.

A review of the files of the Salt Lake City Office fails to reflect that [REDACTED] aka., [REDACTED] has any other association with the subject other than the fact that he is the "unofficial greeter" for preferred guests and gamblers coming to WILBUR CLARK's Desert Inn Hotel, especially those of Italian extraction, in order that the owners of the hotel will not be bothered by problems and requests for various favors. [REDACTED] is very close to the owners of the hotel and originally came to

PX 92-172

Las Vegas from Cleveland, Ohio, and has been employed at the hotel as a Pit Boss, since July 12, 1951, and he has no prior criminal record. The files fail to reflect any other contact of the subject with him other than he has been called by the subject at the hotel.

On March 17, 1960, Agent [redacted], State Gaming Control Board, State Building, advised that the subject or his associates have no interest, of record, in the Desert Inn Hotel.

The files of the Salt Lake City Office fail to reflect that the subject or CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA frequent Las Vegas gambling places or night spots with any degree of regularity and that any frequented in Las Vegas is unknown to this office. It has never come to the attention of this office that either of these individuals send gifts to any establishments and have the bills sent to them at Tucson, Arizona.

The following were contacted and stated that they had no information as to whether the subject or his associates, including BATTAGLIA, may be operating in the Tucson, Arizona, area for [redacted] or [redacted]:

Undersheriff W. [redacted] (NA), Clark County Sheriff's Office.

Lieutenant [redacted], Detective Bureau, Clark County Sheriff's Office.

On March 17, 1960, [redacted], Auditor's Office, Desert Inn Hotel, advised that at this time it would not be possible to determine who occupied Room 921 at this hotel on November 26, 1959. The records are not filed according to room number or date and further that they did not have a record reflecting that the subject or BATTAGLIA occupied a room at that time in this hotel.

By communication dated March 25, 1960, the New York Office advised as follows:

[redacted] Associates was started in September, 1959, with the partners [redacted] and [redacted]

The trade style arranged by the above partners was on September 22, 1959, and this organization arranges for mortgage financing and also acts as insurance brokers. This organization arranges mortgage financing on a national basis, and income is derived on both commission and retainer basis.

[redacted] according to the above source, is married and native-born. [redacted] formation of the above organization, he was employed by the Bergsland-Corkland Mortgage Brokers for a period of 4½ years, and prior to that employment, was employed by the Inter-County Title Company, Title Insurance, for six years, and prior to that employment, was employed for a short period of time by the Virginia Lawyers Title Company and the Security Title Company. b6 b7C

[redacted] is [redacted] years of age, married, and native-born. He is a licensed real estate broker, and has been employed individually as such for the past six or seven years, and prior to that employment, was in the confectionery business in Brooklyn.

The above source interviewed [redacted] on January 13, 1960, and he developed a financial statement, advising, however, that operations for this first month's business had been profitable.

On February 1, 1960, [redacted] declined to furnish to the above interview, the operating figures for the above company. He stated that through his contacts the partnership had been receiving requests for mortgage financing, and that the partnership, acting as a broker, had been arranging this financing through installational and profit investors. b6 b7C

Credit and criminal negative, relative to [redacted] Associates.

The following information is set forth with regard to [redacted], Tucson, Arizona.

A review of the New York Office indices and files reflects no information identifiable with [redacted]. b6 b7C

IC [redacted] on February 19, 1960, reviewed the records of the Bureau of Motor Vehicles, which records were furnished by [redacted]. This record reflected

PX 92-172

that [redacted], born [redacted], resided at [redacted], East Meadow, Long Island, New York. [redacted] was issued a 59 registration [redacted] for a 1958 Ford, four door, two-tone blue. Engine No. A8 CG134845 [redacted] business was listed as [redacted] East Meadow.

[redacted] is described as white, male, 145 lbs., 5'5½", blue eyes, light brown hair.

b6
b7C

On February 23, 1960, IC [redacted] caused a check to be made of the credit records of the Credit Bureau of Greater New York, which records were furnished by [redacted] however, no record could be located for [redacted].

No criminal record can be located for [redacted] by the New York City Police Department.

By communication dated March 31, 1960, the Indianapolis Division advised as follows:

The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted]:

AT INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA:

On March 15, 1960, Mrs. [redacted] Desk Clerk, 38th Street Motel, 5117 East 38th Street, advised from her records that [redacted] and son, [redacted] Tucson, Arizona, driving a 1950 green Plymouth, license number AVF 672, state not given, checked in on January 6, 1960, and were assigned Room No. A-7. They checked out on January 7, 1960. Mrs. [redacted] stated that the son of Mrs. [redacted] was a small boy about two or three years of age.

b6
b7C

Mrs. [redacted] further advised from her records that Mrs. [redacted] again checked into the 38th Street Motel on January 22, 1960, and was assigned Room No. 4. She was moved to Room A-11 on January 23, 1960, and checked out on January 27, 1960.

According to Mrs. [redacted] on February 1, 1960, one [redacted] and wife, [redacted] Gary, Indiana,"

PX 92-172

checked in and were assigned Room No. 12. They checked out on February 2, 1960. The same couple had previously checked in on January 31, 1960, and checked out earlier in the day on February 1, 1960. Mrs. [] stated from her personal recollection that the "Mrs. []" of the latter two registrations was in fact Mrs. [], mentioned above.

Mrs. [] further related that on December 4, 1959, and December 6, 1959, [] [] [], Martinsville, Indiana, associated with the Sullivan Sunoco Station, had checked in and stayed one night on each occasion. According to the personal recollection of Mrs. [], this [] is identical with the individual who checked in with Mrs. [] on January 31, 1960, and February 1, 1960, as mentioned above.

Mrs. [] further related that a Mr. and Mrs. [] [] [], Bloomington, Indiana, checked in on September 1, 1959, and stayed for one night. Mrs. [] asserted that Mr. [] was identical with the [] of registrations mentioned above, but that the Mrs. [] of this occasion was not identical with Mrs. [].

On April 11, 1960, the Detroit Division advised as follows:

Identities of the subscribers to the following Detroit telephone numbers are as follows:

BR 3-1047

The above telephone number is listed to [] [] [], Detroit, Michigan. Records of the indices of the Detroit Office are negative for []. No record could be located for him in records of the Detroit Police Department.

Records of the Merchants Credit Bureau were examined by IC [] with negative results.

On April 5, 1960, PCI [] advised SA JOSEPH E. ISEMAN that [] is a Detroit school teacher, is active in a Masonic Lodge, and has no known connections with the criminal element in the Detroit area.

PX 92-172

TR 3-1350

The above telephone number is listed to J. Z. Buyers Service, 59 Seward, Detroit, Michigan.

Records of the office of the Wayne County Clerk, Wayne County, were examined by JOSEPH E. ISEMAN on March 21, 1960, and this revealed that the above name was registered on May 22, 1956, by [REDACTED]

Records of the Detroit Office revealed that in 1958 records of Dun & Bradstreet revealed that the J. Z. Buyers Service is operated by [REDACTED]. Mrs. [REDACTED] was formerly a partner in a car brokerage company and the present company acts as a purchaser for automobiles for individuals and companies outside the State of Michigan. The purchasing is done from factory dealers and the service includes delivery of the cars to various parts of the country.

The offices of this company are located in the lobby of the New Center Apartments and in May of 1958 SA [REDACTED] made an inquiry at the above company and learned that the man who was working in the office was [REDACTED].

VE 5-7338 and WO 1-9093

The first number is the home telephone number for [REDACTED] Robson, and the second is Mr. [REDACTED] office number as an attorney at 2350 National Bank Building.

Records of the Detroit Office revealed a copy of a letter dated September 1, 1956, by the State Department to [REDACTED] advising him of the fact that it would be difficult to handle an estate matter regarding property still existing in Russia.

Records of the Merchants Credit Bureau were negative for [REDACTED] on April 5, 1960, when they were examined by IC [REDACTED].

Records of the Detroit Police Department were examined by SA JOSEPH E. ISEMAN on March 18, 1960, without locating any record for [REDACTED].

PX 92-172

It is to be noted that [redacted] was listed as a director and attorney for a presently non-existent building firm known as Baskin and Marcus at 10450 West Nine Mile Road.

VE 8-8150

The above telephone number is listed to Main Sheet Metal Works, 14620 Schaefer.

Records of Dun and Bradstreet were examined by SA JOSEPH E. ISEMAN on March 21, 1960, and they revealed that the above company is listed as a roofing and sheet metal company. The officers of the company are [redacted] Mrs. [redacted] And [redacted]. The company has been operating since 1945 but under the present management since 1954.

On April 4, 1960, a pretext telephone call was made to the above company by SA JOSEPH E. ISEMAN at which time he was advised that a [redacted] who formerly resided at [redacted], Oak Park, had been employed by that company but was not there at this time.

Date April 14, 1960

[] advised that he recently contacted JOSEPH BONANNO with regard to [] repeated unsuccessful attempts to get WILLIAM S. BONANNO to make a final settlement in connection with dissolution of their former partnership in the [] FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC., which is known now as Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. He said JOSEPH BONANNO told him that WILLIAM had just told him about the troubles he was having making the business operate profitably and also about [] not having been paid.

b6
b7C

JOSEPH BONANNO also said he was not aware previously that [] was no longer in the business. JOSEPH BONANNO met him at the law offices of [] in Tucson for the purpose of negotiating the settlement. JOSEPH BONANNO took over a note [] owed the corporation and also arranged for a later meeting to make final settlement. He said JOSEPH BONANNO assured him that he, JOSEPH BONANNO, will make certain [] gets a satisfactory settlement for his financial interest in the business.

On 4/6/60 at 2934 E. Exeter Tucson, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by [] rfb Date dictated 4/11/60

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 4/1/60

Mr. [] was interviewed at his place of employment, Dokken Implement Company, Nez Perce, Idaho.

He advised his brother-in-law, [] has been in the U. S. Air Force close to twenty years. Sometime in August, 1959, [] wrote to Mr. and Mrs. [] from New York, New York, explaining that he and his future wife were planning to visit them in Nez Perce, Idaho.

They did arrive in Nez Perce and visited for about two weeks. They then returned to Tucson, Arizona. [] is stationed at some U. S. Air Force Base near Tucson. [] could not recall the name of [] girl friend, but stated she was from England and that [] married her sometime after they returned to Tucson. [] stated that [] wife calls their home in Nez Perce from Tucson about once a month and talks to his wife. He stated a call was possibly received from Tucson in September, 1959.

b6
b7C

[] stated he does not know anyone named BONANNO.

On 3/28/60 at Nez Perce, Idaho BT File # 92-27
by [] fpmc Date dictated 3/29/60

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

JOHN B. DUFFY that she was the mother of Mrs. ANTHONY SCRIVANO, and that the SCRIVANOS were the only persons who ever called her from Tucson.

On April 1, 1960, Mr. [REDACTED], now with the 19th Avenue Boad Works, stated that he was formerly the advertising manager for Safeway Stores at Phoenix. Safeway has telephone number CRestwood 7-3469. He stated that he did not know BILL BONANNO nor TONY SCRIVANO in person, but recalled that at one time a person whose name he believes was SCRIVANO, telephoned him from Tucson, asking for an appointment relative to discussing radio time on a Tucson radio station for Safeway. The appointment was never made since Safeway Stores at that time were not advertising by radio. He cannot recall the name of the radio station, but believes it may have been KTKT. He stated he does not know nor has he ever heard of subject BILL BONANNO.

b6
b7C

On April 4, 1960, SA DUFFY, by visual observation, determined that [REDACTED] CRestwood 7-8844, has offices in an unnumbered building in the zero block of West Camelback Road, which building is directly across the street from the Camelback Funeral Chapel.

On April 4, 1960, [REDACTED] architect at Windsor Square, telephone AMherst 6-0672 advised SA DUFFY that his records contained no information concerning the subject, nor has his firm ever done any work for any Tucson clients.

b6
b7C

On March 28, 1960, Mrs. [REDACTED], Arizona Corporation Commission advised SA DUFFY that their records reflect the following as incorporators for the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc.:

GEORGE TRULL, no address shown
JOSEPH TITONE, 625 S. Harvade, Tucson
SALVATORE FALCETTA, 115 West Thurber, Tucson.

The organization was incorporated as a general insurance and real estate agency with authorized capital of \$300,000 on July 21, 1959. Attorney DON PITT prepared the incorporating papers and they were notarized by E. M. DARNALL.

PX 92-172

On the night of April 6, 1960, around midnight or shortly thereafter SA [] observed the following cars parked at the [] bakery, 3950 East Speedway Blvd., and also observed there were no lights on in the bakery:

1956 light green Ford, 2 door sedan, Arizona license AZV 751, registered to [] [] Tucson. (On April 12, 1960, SAs NISCHWITZ and [] observed this same car at the 23rd Street address.) This name is not in either telephone or city directories.

1957 Oldsmobile sedan, Arizona license AVD 678, registered to JOE C. SPINELLI, 727 North Martin. (J. SPINELLI is alias used by J. BONANNO on American Airlines Flight in 1959.) (Only person by this name known in Tucson is a barber [] and shop at 2446 North Tucson Blvd.)

At about this same time SA [] observed BILL BONANNO and A. P. SCRIVANO in this general area on Speedway Blvd., Tucson. BONANNO was observed stopping at Marco's, 3424 East Speedway Blvd., where he stopped in the parking area in front of the restaurant. Two men got out of a 1954 Buick parked nearby and got in the car with BONANNO. The Buick sedan had Arizona license AWN 913, registered to PROSPECT S. or [] MULE, 3627 Elida, Tucson. (MULE is an employee of BONANNO at 270 N. Church.)

It should be noted that in the 3600 block of Elida SALVATORE PROFACI's car has been observed, also an address in this block appeared on gasoline credit slips signed ANTHONY P. SCRIVANO and found in the glove compartment of the new Cadillac recently brought from Michigan for CHARLES J. BATTAGLIA. SA [] has also observed in this block late at night, the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., Volkswagen pickup.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA:

On March 28, 1960, Mrs. [] Phoenix, telephone AMherst 6-4369, advised SA []

PX 92-172

Arizona Real Estate Board records reflect that this company has a real estate license and that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is the designated broker, that the address is 270 North Church Street, that the organization is bonded by the Western Surety Company, and that the salesmen are ANTHONY SCRIVANO, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. These records were furnished by [REDACTED] of the State Realty Board on March 28, 1960.

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 5/10/60

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via TELETYPE URGENT
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. McGee	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Nease	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494) AND SACS CHICAGO (92-765)
NEW YORK (92-1586), AND PHOENIX (92-172)

FROM: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, AKA. AR. RE PHOENIX TELETYPE
MAY TEN INSTANT. MRS. [REDACTED] PASSPORT OFFICE, DEPT.
OF STATE, ADVISED SA [REDACTED] MAY TEN INSTANT NO
IDENTIFIABLE RECORD OF PASSPORT APPLICATION BY SUBJECT,
ANTHONY SCRIVANO OR [REDACTED] TEMPORARY INFORMAL
STOPS PLACED WITH PASSPORT OFFICE CONCERNING SUBJECT.
POSSIBILITY EXISTS SUBJECT AND OTHER TWO INDIVIDUALS COULD
APPLY FOR PASSPORTS IN CHICAGO OR NEW YORK AND GET THEM SAME
DAY. IN SUCH EVENT, APPLICATIONS WOULD NOT REACH WDC FOR
TEN DAYS OR SO. SUGGEST CHICAGO AND NEW YORK MAKE IMMEDIATE
CHECKS WITH PASSPORT AGENCIES AND ARRANGE TO BE NOTIFIED IF
APPLICATIONS FILED. IF SO, ADVISE WFO IMMEDIATELY FOR
APPROPRIATE CONTACT WITH PASSPORT OFFICE IN WDC. RUC.

REC-33

92-4494-9
MAY 16 1960

EX 109

3-Bureau
1-Teletype Unit
1-WFO (92-New)
KJH:dil

CRIM INTEL

(5)

Approved: *James Latta*

Mr. Rosen

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M

Per

50 MAY 18 1960

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAY 12 1960

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. McGuire	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Ingram	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

URGENT 5-12-60 12-2 6 PM JLW

TO DIRECTOR 92-4494 AND SACS PHOENIX 92-172 AND CHICAGO 92-765
FROM SAC NEW YORK 92-1586 1P

Be WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO AKA AR. RE PHOENIX TELS MAY TEN AND
ELEVEN LAST AND WFO TEL MAY TEN LAST. STATE DEPT., PASSPORT
DIV. RECORDS, NYC, FAIL TO REVEAL ANY RECORD OF PASSPORT ISSUED TO
EITHER SUBJECT, OR ANTHONY SCRIVANO, OR TEMPORARY
STOPS PLACED AND ARRANGEMENTS MADE TO BE NOTIFIED IF PASSPORT
APPLICATIONS FILED. INQUIRY AT INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NYC,
REFLECTS THAT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WILL BE NEEDED BY NEW YORK IF
CONTACT TO BE MADE WITH AIRLINES HANDLING INTERNATIONAL FLIGHTS.
CHICAGO IMMEDIATELY ADVISE IF BONANNO ET AL DEPART FOR NEW YORK,
OR IF ADDITIONAL INFO OBTAINED CONCERNING INTERNATIONAL FLIGHT.

b6
b7C

END

WA NY R 1 WA NH

TU

REC- 49

EX 109

Mr. Rosen

92-4494-10
MAY 16 1960

59 MAY 17 1960

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAY 10 1960

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. McGuire	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Ingram	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

URGENT 5-10-60 11-18 AM JD

TO DIRECTOR, FBI /92-4494/ AND SACS, CHICAGO /92-783,043

NEW YORK /92-1586/ AND WASHINGTON FIELD

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC, PHOENIX /92-172/ 2P

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, AKA. AR. SUBJECT HAS RESERVATIONS

ON AMERICAN AIRLINES FLIGHT SIX ZERO SIX, DEPARTING TUCSON,

ARIZONA, ELEVEN FIFTY P.M., MAY TEN INSTANT. SCHEDULED TO

ARRIVE SEVEN TWENTY A.M. MAY ELEVEN NEXT IN CHICAGO. SUBJECT

BEING ACCOMPANIED ON TRIP BY ANTHONY SCRIVANO, A TUCSON ASSOCIATE

AND [REDACTED], A PHOENIX RESTAURANT OPERATOR.

PURPOSE OF TRIP TO CHICAGO NOT KNOWN, HOWEVER BONANNO ADVISED

TRAVEL BUREAU OFFICIALS IN TUCSON QUOTE I HAVE INTERNATIONAL

RESERVATIONS UNQUOTE. HE DID NOT ELABORATE IN THOSE AND NO

INFORMATION AS TO WHEN OR WHERE HE INTENDS TO FLY INTERNATIONALLY,

AVAILABLE AT PHOENIX. NEW YORK AND CHICAGO IMMEDIATELY

CONTACT ALL AIRLINES WITH INTERNATIONAL FLIGHTS IN AN ATTEMPT

TO LOCATE ANY RESERVATIONS FOR BONANNO OR ANY OF THE OTHERS. MAY 16 1960

WASHINGTON FIELD ATTEMPT TO DETERMINE FROM PASSPORT [REDACTED]

WHETHER OR NOT ANY PASSPORTS APPLIED FOR BY ANY OF THE THREE.

END PAGE ONE

63 MAY 19 1960

Mr. Rosen

RELAYED TO

CRIM INTEL.
TWO COPIES WFO

PAGE TWO PX. 92-172 5-10-60

IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT SUBJECT FREQUENTLY USES THE NAME
SALVATORE V. BONANNO. SUBJECT-S WIFE, [REDACTED] DEPARTED
TUCSON EN ROUTE NEW YORK, MAY NINE LAST. UACB, CHICAGO
SHOULD ESTABLISH SURVEILLANCE OF BONANNO AND PARTY ON ARRIVAL
CHICAGO IN EFFORT TO DETERMINE PURPOSE OF TRIP, CONTACTS MADE
AND DETERMINATION OF ANY INTERNATIONAL TRAVEL BY BONANNO OR
THE OTHERS. BONANNO BORN NOVEMBER FIVE, THIRTY TWO, SIX FEET
THREE INCHES, TWO HUNDRED TEN LBS., BROWN EYES, LONG WAVY
BROWN HAIR, SMOOTH OLIVE COMPLEXION. [REDACTED] AGE [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] FIVE FEET FIVE INCHES, BROWN EYES, BLACK HAIR, SMOOTH
LIGHT COMPLEXION, HEAVY BUILD. UPON DEPARTURE PHOENIX, WILL
ADVISE CHICAGO DESCRIPTION OF SCRIVANO AND CLOTHING OF ALL
THREE.

END AND ACK PLS

WAZ2-25 PM OK FBI WA LS

OK FBI CG MB

TU DISCTM

called mrs. [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Parsons _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. DeLoach _____
Mr. Malone _____
Mr. McGuire _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tamm _____
Mr. Trotter _____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Ingram _____
Miss Gandy _____

URGENT 5-15-60 10-40 PM EDST WPQ
TO DIRECTOR 92-4494 AND SAC, PHEONIX 92-172
FROM SAC, NEW YORK 92-1586 LP

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, AR. OO PHOENIX. RE YOUR TELS FIVE ELEVEN AND FIVE TWELVE SIXTY. SUBJECT ARRIVED NYC CAPITAL AIRLINES FLIGHT SEVEN THREE FOUR, FIVE TWENTYFIVE PM, FIVE FOURTEEN SIXTY FROM CHICAGO. SUBJECT AND WIFE DEPARTED NYC VIA AMERICAN AIRLINES FLIGHT SIX TWO ONE LAGUARDIA AIRPORT NINE FORTYFIVE PM SCHEDULED ARRIVAL TUSCON FIVE FOURTYFIVE AM, FIVE SIXTEEN SIXTY., MOUNTAIN STANDARD TIME . PHOENIX HANDLE. LETTER FOLLOWS.

END AND ACK PLS

WA LSQBI 10-40 P M OK FBI WA DI

PX OK FBI PX GJK

TU DISC

REC-59

EX-107

15 MAY 18 1960

CRIM INTEL.

Mr. Belmont

52 MAY 20 1960

an Staffen

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date JUL 15 1960	Investigative Period 4/18-6/21/60
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka		Report made by JOHN B. DUFFY	Typed By: cmh
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI-RACKETEERING	

Synopsis:

REFERENCES

Report of SA JOHN B. DUFFY dated 5/2/60 at Phoenix.
Report of SA [redacted] dated 3/7/60 at Phoenix.

P

ADMINISTRATIVE

On 4/13/60 PCI [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that in connection with his business as a food broker he has sold in the past considerable merchandise to BONANNO's firm, known as the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. at 270 North Church in Tucson, Arizona. [redacted] advised that over the past many months since the summer of 1959, the above firm has been extremely delinquent in meeting its obligations with [redacted] principals who have furnished canned goods and other food items to BONANNO's firm which were sold by [redacted] as the broker. He said during the week of 3/27/60 he received a telephone call from [redacted] who, in the past, has been acting as somewhat of an official in the general offices of BONANNO's

b6
b7C
b7D

Approved <i>[Signature]</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: ② - Bureau (92-4494) 2 - Detroit (92-521) 2 - Los Angeles (92-685) 2 - Louisville (92-245) 2 - Newark (92-778) 2 - New York (92-1586) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		92-4494-13	REC-1
		8 JUL 19 1960	EX-102
		CRIM/INTEL. STAM/JECT.	

[redacted] firm at 270 North Church, Tucson, and during this telephone conversation [redacted] indicated to [redacted] that it looked like BONANNO's firm would be getting some money and no doubt would be able to pay off creditors and specifically [redacted] principals. [redacted] said [redacted] has been employed in the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. since BONANNO came into that firm as sort of a partner with the former owner, [redacted] when they were operating the business under the name of [redacted] Food Distributors, Inc. and was also working in the firm for [redacted] prior to the time BONANNO became connected with the firm when [redacted] operated it as an individual proprietorship. [redacted] said [redacted] has, therefore, been known to him for several years and he considers him a fairly reliable individual. He said [redacted] has had family trouble and has been divorced once or twice and is also a reformed alcoholic. [redacted] indicated that he did not know whether [redacted] would feel inclined to furnish information to this Bureau, but [redacted] thought perhaps [redacted] might be developed but he said there would be some doubt in his mind as to whether [redacted] would be in a position to furnish much valuable information concerning the actual operations of this business. He says he knows that just very recently [redacted] has been more or less demoted by BONANNO from his position in the general offices at 270 North Church and is now back working at the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. warehouse.

[redacted] also furnished to SA [redacted] the names of some other persons he believes have done business closely with or have been employed by BONANNO in this food company, who are no longer connected with the business and might be in a position to furnish any information they obtained while connected with the firm. It should be noted that these persons will be contacted and the results of interviews submitted in this case.

[redacted] also advised that subsequent to his receipt of the telephone call from [redacted], he received a call from BILL BONANNO during the week of 4/3/60 saying that he, BONANNO, has gotten into a position where he desires to clear up some of the outstanding indebtedness of the firm and is going to start out on a reorganized operation and plans in the future to make his purchases in smaller quantities and to pay promptly so he can obtain the discounts. BONANNO indicated to [redacted] that he feels that the food company at this time has now been sufficiently reorganized, that they know the direction they plan to travel and are going to operate in a different fashion in the future. [redacted] continued that on either 4/7/60 or 4/8/60,

PX 92-172

he does not recall exactly which day, he went to the office of BILL BONANNO at 270 North Church and just about half a block from the office he met one of his competitors, another food broker, Mr. [REDACTED], who is the manager of the Goolay-Burcham Company in Tucson, and they momentarily stopped on the sidewalk and chatted. [REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] if he was going up to get paid by Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., to which [REDACTED] replied in the affirmative and [REDACTED] then jokingly asked him if he was prepared to settle for \$.20 on the dollar. [REDACTED] replied that his principals were not prepared to settle for anything other than payment in full of the delinquent accounts, after which [REDACTED] informed him that he had just been in BONANNO's office and had obtained payment on some of the delinquent accounts represented by his food brokerage firm.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] said he went on into the offices of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. and had to wait in the outer office because another food broker was in BILL BONANNO's office apparently making settlement for delinquent accounts for his principals. He said this was Mr. [REDACTED] who represents the Pullen Eggleston Company.

[REDACTED] said when he went into BILL BONANNO's private office, which is located on the second floor of the building at 270 North Church at the extreme southeast corner of the building, there was present in the office with BILL BONANNO, TONY SCRIVANO. [REDACTED] said he was informed by BONANNO during this conversation that SCRIVANO had been in an insurance company up there in the same building on the second floor, but has now moved out of the insurance company offices and is now connected with the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. and will be, from now on, in charge of the buying for the Tucson Food Company. [REDACTED] said thereon SCRIVANO informed him that they have effected a reorganization, although they were not specific and gave no details concerning this reorganization. He said although BONANNO informed him that from now on the firm plans to operate in an entirely different fashion than they have in the past, they plan to operate more businesslike, buying smaller quantities and paying their suppliers promptly in order to obtain the trade discounts. He also said that SCRIVANO being in charge of the buying would talk to brokers and salesmen during specific buying hours which have been set on Tuesdays or Thursdays, or possibly Tuesday through Thursday, each week from 1:00 to 5:00 PM in BONANNO's office.

b6
b7C

[] advised that one of the principals represented by him is a firm handling canned mushrooms and that the account owed by BONANNO's firm, which has been delinquent for the past several months, was in the neighborhood of \$1,900.00. [] said this and accounts of some of his other principals amount to a considerable sum of money and though he is merely guessing as to the amount, he would estimate, hearing the persons in the food trade talk, that BONANNO's firm has for the past several months been extremely delinquent and heavily in debt, having owed thousands of dollars to many suppliers.

b6
b7C

[] said it was quite apparent from the conversation and from the talk in the trade in Tucson that someone had dumped a considerable amount of money into BONANNO's business because they have paid out in just the past week many thousands of dollars in paying off delinquent accounts. He said also that on 4/11/60 he happened to run into another competitor, one [] who represents the Ken Sewell Brokerage Company and [] informed him that he, too, had already been in to see BILL BONANNO and collected an old account which has been delinquent for approximately the past eight months. [] informed [] that when he went up to see BILL BONANNO, the latter told him that the company was going to pay this old bill and BONANNO made the remark to [] that he, [], should consider himself very lucky getting paid in full, to which [] replied very disgustingly that he did not feel that he was lucky in any manner whatever and he could not understand why BONANNO would make such a remark when he felt that actually it was the opposite of being lucky, but that BONANNO was merely doing what he was obligated to do to pay in full an account which had run for eight months.

b6
b7C

[] advised that although he has no specific information in this regard, he has heard the general talk among the trade in the Tucson area that apparently the source of the money dumped into the company very recently, permitting the company to pay up a lot of their delinquent indebtedness, must be BILL's father, JOSEPH BONANNO.

b6
b7C

[] advised that he observed on the opposite side of the building from BILL BONANNO's office that there has been converted into a food company sales room, apparently an office which was formerly used possibly by the insurance company, [] thought. [] advised that [], who became

SALES MANAGER

D

COVER PAGE

145CON Food Distributors, Inc.
145CON, ARIZ.

N.Y.

connected with BONANNO's firm two months ago, having come out here from New York, has not been seen very often by [] when the latter visited BONANNO's offices, but he said he understands that [] was brought out here as a sales manager. He said that [], although he does not have an Italian name, is reported to be of Italian descent and is also reported to have had previous experience in the food business. [] advised that there is a tall, young, nice-looking man in the business known only to [] as "BO" MULE, who is supposed to be the credit manager for this food business. He said MULE is about the same height as BONANNO, apparently about the same age, possibly younger, has black hair, is well build and very nice looking.

b6
b7C

[] advised that he has occasion frequently to Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. visit BILL BONANNO's office legitimately in connection with his food brokerage business to sell BONANNO's firm various canned food products. ARIZ.

With respect to one [] who resides at [], Tucson, [] said he has understood in the past that after BONANNO took over the firm subsequent to [] withdrawing from the firm, Miss [] a maiden lady, was made an official of the corporation, possibly either secretary or treasurer. He said quite some time ago, or a few weeks ago, Miss [] had some sort of eye infection or some ailment in connection with one of her eyes necessitating surgery and has been off sick from her position with BONANNO's firm and is still recuperating at her home. [] said Miss [] comes from one of the old, well-established, reliable families in Tucson and her father and mother and close members of the family were formerly engaged in the wholesale grocery business for many years in Tucson. He said Miss [] is considered by all persons in the Tucson area who have been in the food business any time, as completely and thoroughly reliable and a very good citizen. He said since [] has been off sick from her job there has been substituting in her place a dark-haired girl who appears to be of Italian descent, whose name is not known to []. He said he might possibly be able to find out this girl's name and would furnish the same to SA [].

b6
b7C

He said [] who is a female secretary occupying office space immediately adjacent to BILL BONANNO's

Tucson Food Distributors, Inc.

E

COVER PAGE

Tucson, ARIZ.

TUSCON FOOD DISTRIBUTORS INC.
TUSCON, ARIZ.

CALIF
ILL.
private office, was formerly secretary to ANTHONY P. "TONY" SCRIVANO in the insurance agency office, but more recently is apparently working as a secretary to BONANNO. He said this girl is comparatively young and frequently dyes her hair a new color. He said he knows nothing whatsoever about [redacted] moral character or reputation.

b6
b7C

He said in the first office over on the side of the second floor occupied by the food company is also located another female employee, one [redacted] (LNU), who is apparently a much larger girl than is [redacted].

[redacted] also advised that there is another man residing in Tucson who is well known to [redacted] by the name of [redacted] who resides at [redacted] in Tucson. ARIZ. [redacted] advised that [redacted] some years ago, was connected with a food company, which sells as a wholesaler, known as Nimco, which [redacted] subsequently sold to [redacted] when the latter first started in business in Tucson with the old BARABEE brothers coffee company. [redacted] said then [redacted] went into the restaurant business for a time, having owned and operated the hamburger stand near the Veterans Hospital on South Sixth. He said subsequent to that time [redacted] then started operating a janitor service in Tucson, which service was, for a time, handling the cleaning of the upstairs offices occupied by BONANNO's enterprises at 270 North Church. He said [redacted] janitor service worked for BONANNO's companies until [redacted] again made a connection with another food company, the Quality Food Company, which apparently made BONANNO mad, thinking that [redacted] would be in competition with him and BONANNO fired [redacted] company from the janitor service. [redacted] advised that he will try to obtain information from [redacted] concerning the company which succeeded to the job in the BONANNO offices at 270 North Church and will furnish this information to SA [redacted].

b6
b7C

On 4/29/60 PCI [redacted] advised SA [redacted] and SA JOHN B. DUFFY that in the fall of 1959 he went to Tucson, Arizona, and got in touch with the subject and propositioned him about backing [redacted] in the opening of a key club at Scottsdale, Arizona. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA was in on the meeting. [redacted] stated that BONANNO was enthusiastic about the proposition, but he, [redacted], has never followed it up.

b6
b7C
b7D

By communication dated 4/21/60 the Newark Office

PX 92-172

advised as follows:

[redacted] advised IC [redacted] on 3/25/60 that as of 1/28/60 telephone number Pilgrim 4-9747 was listed to [redacted] Montclair, New Jersey, and that this is a nonlisted number and an outside coin box. Newark indices contain no information regarding [redacted]. On 3/29/60 it was determined that [redacted] Montclair, New Jersey, is a Gulf Station located on the corner of Grove and Walnut Streets in Montclair, New Jersey.

It is to be noted that [redacted], a business associate of ANTHONY P. RIELA, resides at [redacted] Montclair, New Jersey, which is approximately one and one-half miles from Grove and Walnut Streets.

By communication dated 4/21/60 the Kansas City Office advised as follows:

CARL CIVELLA
Telephone directories, Kansas City, Missouri, reflect that HARRISON 1-9295 is the telephone number of the Jungle Club, a prominent Kansas City night spot, which is reportedly owned by former Kansas City top hoodlums CARL JAMES CIVELLA, FBI # 679493, and THOMAS "HIGHWAY" SIMONE, FBI # 755974. *NEV*

[redacted] Mo. brother of THOMAS SIMONE, assists in the operation of the Jungle Club.

Reports of [redacted] for the period 10/5/59, date a telephone call was made from subject's telephone to the Jungle Club, show that while at the Pompeii Room and Antonio's Pizzeria Restaurant, both located at 4231 Main Street, Kansas City, Missouri, he observed THOMAS SIMONE and CARL CIVELLA with CIVELLA's girl friend, [redacted] and [redacted], bartender from the Jungle Club. CI reports that it is the regular procedure for these individuals who operate the Jungle Club to come to these two locations after midnight following the closing of the Jungle Club. The Pompeii Room and Pizzeria Restaurant at that time was operated by ANTHONY CIVELLA and two associates. ANTHONY CIVELLA is the son of CARL CIVELLA. It was also determined from the reports of [redacted] that this was immediately following the return of THOMAS SIMONE, ANTHONY CIVELLA, his uncle, top hoodlum NICHOLAS CIVELLA, and several associates

PX 92-172

from the World Series at Chicago, Illinois.

It is very probable that the telephone call to the Jungle Club was made to either THOMAS SIMONE or CARL CIVELLA; however, a number of individuals who are closely associated with the top hoodlum element in Kansas City frequent the Jungle Club and could have been the person to whom such call was made.

[] advised he is not acquainted with BONANNO and has not heard subject's name mentioned by local Kansas City hoodlums. He is not aware of any activities on the part of Kansas City hoodlums in the Tucson, Arizona, area.

b2
b7D

For your information [] has previously reported that Kansas City top hoodlums have been interested in acquiring gambling interests in the Reno, Nevada, area.

The Salt Lake City Office by communication dated 2/23/60 entitled "CRIMINAL ELEMENT IN BUSINESS FIELD, HOTEL AND CASINO INDUSTRY, SALT LAKE CITY DIVISION: INFORMATION CONCERNING" advised that CARL CIVELLA arrived in Las Vegas, Nevada, 2/6/60 along with [] and his brother, NICK CIVELLA, who registered at the Desert Inn as M. BOYER.

[] is believed to be identical with [], FBI # 679492, who ranks high in the top hoodlum element in Kansas City and is a close associate of CIVELLA's.

Salt Lake City reported that an approach was made by these individuals for a friendly buy of a percentage in the Riviera Hotel, such percentage to be sufficient for a \$10,000.00 monthly return. Their request was refused after they made numerous promises as to a front man for the money who would meet the approval of the Nevada Control Board and the Nevada Gaming Commission.

b6
b7C

On 2/12/60 CIVELLA arranged a meeting with [] [] who was connected with the Riviera Hotel. [] was reportedly told by CIVELLA that he was tired of being pushed around and did not care what procedure was necessary for him to obtain the percentage desired, but he did not want anyone to get hurt and was leaving that up to the owners, but he intended to get the percentage and hoped drastic action would not be necessary. CIVELLA was ordered to leave Las Vegas and not return by local law enforcement officers.

PX 92-172

The information supplied by the Salt Lake City Office was furnished by former SA JAMES HOTCHKISS, operator of the Nevada Armored Car Service, Las Vegas, Nevada, and a member of the Nevada Gaming Commission, and the request was made by HOTCHKISS that this information not be revealed outside the Bureau.

[redacted] is closely associated with the top hoodlum element in Kansas City, Missouri, and the Bureau has instructed that every effort should be made to protect his identity in the reporting of any information furnished by him. b2 b7D

On 3/22/60 [redacted] Service Representative, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, El Dorado, Kansas, advised the telephone numbers below were in the names of the following people:

DA 1-1888 American Legion Club House (Golf Course), 1001 West Central, El Dorado, Kansas b6 b7C

DA 1-2230 Disconnected 8/3/59. Formerly a Mrs. [redacted]

DA 1-4170 [redacted] Attorney, [redacted] El Dorado, Kansas

DA 1-2135 [redacted] and Mrs. [redacted] [redacted], El Dorado, Kansas.

[redacted] El Dorado, Kansas, advised on 3/22/60 that his sister-in-law, Mrs. [redacted] was in Tucson, Arizona, with her mother, Mrs. [redacted]. The last address he had for them is 3754 East Flower. He said that his brother-in-law, [redacted] was stationed at an Air Force base northeast of Tucson and would probably know the whereabouts of [redacted] in the event she could not be located at 3754 East Flower. b6 b7C

It is noted that [redacted] and [redacted] are both practicing attorneys in El Dorado, Kansas, and appear to be respected citizens. [redacted] was formerly County Attorney for Butler County, Kansas.

Recently information was received from a confidential

PX 92-172

source at the telephone company in Tucson, Arizona, by SA [redacted] that BILL BONANNO contacted a man in the Sales Department to determine if it was necessary for the company to charge such a large deposit for the new telephone installed at the Chicken Carousel. BONANNO advised during this conversation that he owns an interest in this business which was just reopened.

On 4/14, 15, and 25/60 SA [redacted] ascertained the following information from [redacted] cashier at The Bank of Tucson, Tucson, Arizona. The records of The Bank of Tucson reflect that the following deposits were made to the following accounts:

b6
b7C

Tucson Food Distributors, Inc.

2/16/60	\$3,328.88	(Note on deposit ticket "Loan by S. V. BONANNO")
3/30/60	\$5,300.00	(This was currency in \$50.00 and \$100.00 bills deposited by S. V. BONANNO with teller [redacted])
3/31/60	\$5,000.00	(Deposit ticket indicates two checks of \$2,500.00 each, one on 16-20 over 1220 and the other was 91-264 over 1121. The first is transit number of the Head Office of the California Bank of Los Angeles and the other is number of First National Bank of Arizona at Tucson)
4/6/60	\$5,000.00	(Deposit ticket shows two checks of \$2,500.00 each, one on the Valley National Bank and the other on the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company)
4/20/60	\$5,000.00	(Deposit ticket shows two checks of \$2,500.00 each, one on 91-13, Main Office, Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, and the other on 91-249, Campbell Grant Branch of the Valley National Bank)

PX 92-172

Records at the Campbell Grant Branch, Valley National Bank, Tucson, Arizona, reflect that on 4/6/60 cashiers check #4468 in the amount of \$2,500.00 was purchased and made payable to Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. The purchaser's name as set out on the face of the cashiers check is "S. V. BONANNO" b6
Teller [] sold this cashiers check. Miss [] is b7C
not acquainted with WILLIAM BONANNO but knew at the time he wasn't a regular customer. She was quite certain he paid for the cashiers check in currency.

The recordak firm records of The Bank of Tucson reflect the check on the California Bank of Los Angeles was a draft on this bank drawn by the North Stone Branch of the Bank of Douglas in Tucson, Arizona.

The records of the latter bank reflect that Bank of Douglas, North Stone Branch, Tucson, sold a bank draft #6257 on 3/30/60 drawn on the California Bank of Los Angeles in the amount of \$2,500.00 payable to S. V. BONANNO and purchased by BONANNO. Teller [] sold this draft and the customer told him the draft was to be made payable to himself.

Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., Expense Account

b6
b7C

Records reflect this account was first opened on 3/30/60 by an initial deposit of \$800.00 cash by subject at the same time he deposited the \$5,300.00 currency in the company's general account. Teller [] recalls this \$800.00 consisted of \$50.00 and \$100.00 bills.

The records of The Bank of Tucson also reflect that on 4/6/60 there was deposited on this expense account of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. a \$2,500.00 check which was a check on the Main Office of the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, Tucson, Arizona.

The records of The Bank of Tucson reflect that on 4/21/60 there was deposited in the account of the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. \$7,500.00 consisting of a \$4,500.00 item, a \$2,500.00 item, and a \$500.00 item. The records reflect these items to have been checks on the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company, Main Office, Tucson.

With reference to the \$2,500.00 item deposited to

PX 92-172

the food company's account on 3/31/60 which was a check on the First National Bank of Arizona, the records of the latter bank reflect that on 3/30/60 Mrs. [] teller at this bank, sold a cashiers check to S. V. BONANNO payable to himself and he paid for it with mostly \$100.00 bills with the exception of possibly two bills which may have been \$50.00 bills. This was cashiers check #6463.

b6
b7C

The records of The Bank of Tucson also reflect that on 4/15/60 there was deposited in the food company's general account a \$2,500.00 check of JOSEPH BONANNO drawn on his account in the bank and the face of the check was marked "loan".

The records of The Bank of Tucson also reflect that on 4/6/60 there was deposited to the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., Payroll Account, a \$2,500.00 check on the Speedway Swan Branch of the Valley National Bank.

Records of the Speedway Swan Branch, Valley National Bank, Tucson, Arizona, reflect that on 4/5/60 cashiers check #6207 in the amount of \$2,500.00 was purchased from teller [] by S. V. BONANNO payable to Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., and BONANNO paid for this check with currency.

The above bank records are confidential and can be obtained only by issuance of a subpoena duces tecum on officials of the various banks as follows:

The Bank of Tucson - [] cashier

First National Bank of Arizona, Speedway Stone Branch - [] assistant cashier and operations officer

b6
b7C

Bank of Douglas, North Stone Branch - []

Valley National Bank, Campbell Grant Branch - []

The bank records reported herein were made available to SA [] by the following:

L
COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

Bank of Douglas, North Stone Branch - [redacted]
[redacted] on 4/15/60

Valley National Bank, Campbell Grant Branch -
[redacted] on 4/25/60

First National Bank of Arizona, Speedway Stone
Branch - [redacted] on 4/26/60

Valley National Bank, Speedway Swan Branch -
[redacted] on 4/25/60

The Bank of Tucson - [redacted] on 4/14,
15, 18, 19, 20, and 25/60.

It should be noted that all the above \$2,500.00 checks are traced and accounted for except four \$2,500.00 items drawn on the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company and a \$500.00 check also on this bank.

It should also be noted that the above information clearly indicates a pattern on the part of the subject to purchase cashiers checks at various banks where he normally does not do business and to pay for same with large bills. He then carries these checks to the bank where his corporations do business and deposits to these accounts.

The above represents a total of \$39,428.88 which the subject has dumped into his corporations' bank accounts within the past few months. Much of this has been currency, the source of which is unknown.

The records of the First National Bank of Arizona reflect that the monthly payments on the subject's car, a 1959 Oldsmobile bearing 1959 Arizona license AWB 250, registered and financed in the name of R & B Enterprises, are in arrears. The payment of \$163.33 due 4/8/60 had not been paid as of 4/26/60. These records also reflect that the same insurance is still in effect on this car. It should be noted that this was with Producers Fire & Casualty Company written by [redacted] through the Camelback Insurance Agency in Phoenix, Arizona, the policy year being 7/24/59 to 7/24/60.

[redacted] Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph

M
COVER PAGE

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Tucson Food Distributors Inc.
Tucson, Ariz.

Company, Tucson, Arizona, on 5/12/60 advised SA [redacted] that he was at the offices of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., 270 North Church, Tucson, on 5/12/60 in an effort to collect past due telephone bill of \$563.35 on telephone number MAIn 3-3463 and \$275.49 on number MAIn 3-5868. The latter is the telephone for the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. at the same address. He said one [redacted], employed by the food company, and PROSPECT MULE said the food company offices are going to move back into their warehouse this coming weekend and that they will want the same type of telephone service they have at the 270 North Church address, which will also include the private nonlisted telephone for subject BONANNO in his private office in the warehouse. [redacted] was given a check by the food company for \$325.61 as part payment on the past due bill for MAIn 3-3463 and when he asked about the future of the telephone service for the insurance company at 270 North Church, he was told that he would have to see BILL BONANNO who is presently out of town but who will return 5/16/60. [redacted] said while at 270 North Church, he saw [redacted] in BONANNO's space. [redacted] spoke to him and when asked by [redacted] when he was going to start fixing up his new offices, [redacted] said the telephone company had better hold up on the change-over inasmuch as his plans were a bit uncertain at this time and he does not know for sure what he is going to do. [redacted] told him that his listing at the new address of 331 West Congress is already included in the new directory which is now being printed. [redacted] said he recently talked with attorney [redacted] whose firm plans to take over the building at 239 North Church where [redacted] has his offices at this time, and the attorney told him they still plan on moving into 239 North Church but they are having trouble getting anyone to take over their present lease in the Arizona Land and Title Building and that they may not be able to make the move until nearer 9/1/60. [redacted] said he will know more about BONANNO's offices moving by 5/16/60 and will advise SA [redacted].

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

On 5/19/60 PCI [redacted] advised SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ as follows:

b6
b7C
b7D

PCI recalled that BILL BONANNO recently formed a new corporation. She was not exactly sure just which one it was but believed it had to do with the Tucson Banana

PX 92-172

Company. She stated that with regard to BILL BONANNO approximately two weeks ago [] was raving mad about something and she overheard him say, "That damn stupid BILL BONANNO had to go and give another \$2,000.00 bad check."

PCI recalled that at the time BILL BONANNO was married, he had received cash presents from various people from Chicago and New York that totaled \$15,000.00 and that BILL maintained a list of these individuals and the amount of money they contributed to his wedding; however, this list is no longer available to the knowledge of the PCI.

b6
b7C

The information regarding cars financed at the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company was furnished to SA [] on 4/19/60 by [] Auto Loan Department, of this bank.

LEADS

DETROIT OFFICE

AT DETROIT, MICHIGAN

Will interview [] of the J. Z. Buyers Services concerning his knowledge of subject's purchase of a Cadillac car (Detroit letter to Phoenix dated 5/16/60).

LOS ANGELES OFFICE

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Will attempt to determine the reason of contact between subject and [].

b6
b7C

AT GARDENA, CALIFORNIA

Will determine the connection between subject and [] (Los Angeles teletype to Phoenix dated 5/7/60).

LOUISVILLE OFFICE

AT COVINGTON, KENTUCKY

Will, through Internal Revenue Service agents, determine the identity of individuals who use telephone JU 1-8488

PX 92-172

at Newport, Kentucky (Cincinnati letter to Phoenix dated 4/29/60).

NEWARK DIVISION

AT NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

Will make arrangements at the bank where the Airport Motel does business to be advised of disbursements to subject.

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK

1. Will arrange with the American Express Company, on subject's credit card number 002 024 365 3, to be advised of indications on that account of travel conducted by the subject (Phoenix letter to New York dated 5/2/60).
2. Will contact [] and Son, Inc., 111 John Street, concerning what information that concern has about insurance coverage they carry or have carried on the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. b6
b7C

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

1. Will maintain contact with PCI []
2. Will interview [] Williams Air Force Base, or at the Base Supply Warehouse.

AT FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA

Will interview [] for information he has concerning subject's investments. b6
b7C
b7D

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

1. Will interview []
2. Will maintain contact with PCI []
3. Will recontact the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company for insurance data on subject's Cadillac car.

PX 92-172

4. Will contact the person formerly associated with Furmer Restaurant Enterprises to determine if subject took part in the sale of their property to the Chicken Carousel.
5. Will continue monitoring subject's corporations' bank accounts and will add to this list the Chicken Carousel at Speedway Swan Branch of the Valley National Bank.
6. Will try to discreetly obtain from the Internal Revenue Service whether that agency has information regarding the four \$2,500.00 and one \$500.00 check drawn on the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company which were deposited in the subject's accounts at The Bank of Tucson.
7. Will arrange each month to review the canceled checks on subject's accounts before they are delivered to the customer.
8. Will try to locate possible safe deposit boxes subject or his father may have under their names or under an alias in any one of the approximately twenty banks in Tucson. Will first ascertain if the Internal Revenue Service may have already checked this matter.
9. Because of subject's practice of buying cashiers checks for cash, will try to arrange with banks where his accounts are carried for them to put on recordak film all checks deposited or cashed by any of subject's companies.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA JOHN B. DUFFY
Date: JUL 15 1960

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: Phoenix 92-172

Bureau 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subject, ANTHONY RIELA, and [redacted] are directors of the Airport Motel, Inc. Los Angeles, Chicago, and Cincinnati telephone contacts identified and set out. These include underworld physician, operator of underworld restaurant, and gamblers. Insurance policy on vehicle owned by subject's food distributing company canceled by carrier. The 1960 Cadillac used by the subject is registered to [redacted]. Incorporators of the Chicken Carousel are [redacted], PROSPECT MULE, and [redacted]. Subject was one of the incorporators of the Western United Real Estate and Investment Company. Subject attended a National Conference of Christians and Jews affair honoring EVO DE CONCINI, former Arizona Supreme Court justice now practicing law in Tucson. Subject has recently traveled to Chicago, New York, Los Angeles, and Milwaukee. In Los Angeles he is believed to have been in contact with MICKEY COHEN. JOSEPH BONANNO paid off remainder of Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. indebtedness to [redacted]. Subject's uncle, FRANK LA BRUZZO, is purchasing some Arizona land in partnership with the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. Subject continues to associate with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA. [redacted] appears to be a UNICO associate of subject. [redacted] Phoenix, does not know subject. THIS REPORT IS NOT TO BE DISSEMINATED.

b6
b7c

P

DETAILS

By communication dated April 21, 1960, the Newark Office furnished the following information.

On April 7, 1960, the Corporation File maintained at the New Jersey Secretary of State's Office, State House, Trenton, New Jersey, for the Airport Motel, Inc., was reviewed by SA [] and the file contained an annual report dated August 24, 1959. This report shows the registered office for the corporation as 11 Commerce Street, Newark, New Jersey, and the listed agent as A. CALANDRA.

Directors of the corporation are listed as:

ANTHONY RIELA, 452 Carnegie Avenue, Newark, New Jersey, President

MICHAEL MARINO, SR., 244 Grove Street, Montclair, New Jersey, Secretary

SALVATORE BONANNO, Bay Avenue, Port Newark, New Jersey, Treasurer.

ANDREA RIELA, no address listed, is Vice-President of the corporation but not a director.

The following investigation was conducted by SA []

AT ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY

[] Auditor, Claridge Hotel, South Park Place, advised on April 18, 1960, that the bill of \$341.42 in the name of WILLIAM S. BONANNO, 875 Cresta Loma Drive, Tucson, Arizona, had been submitted in December, 1959, to the American Express Company, Credit Card Accounts, Church Street Station, New York City, for payment, as BONANNO had an account with that organization. His records reflect BONANNO has American Express credit card number 022 024 365 3. He said his records do not reflect the actual date the bill was submitted for payment, nor the date the bill was paid. His records reflect no additional information regarding BONANNO.

By communication dated April 18, 1960, the Los Angeles

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Office furnished the following information.

Telephone number HO 9-1896 is subscribed to by Mimi's Kitchen, 7408 Sunset, Los Angeles, California, a restaurant which is owned by [REDACTED], a good friend of many Italian hoodlums who in the past have utilized the business location as a telephone drop.

OL 7-0290 is subscribed to by [REDACTED] M.D., 8820 Wilshire Blvd., Room 116, Beverly Hills, California. Dr. [REDACTED] is a doctor of medicine who has been utilized by some of the hoodlums in Los Angeles including the JOSEPH SICA family.

CR 6-8552 is subscribed to by Frankie Laine Enterprises as well as by [REDACTED] the music publisher, at 9012 Sunset Blvd., Los Angeles 48, California. FRANKIE LAINE is the popular singer who has on occasion been known to be an avid gambler. However, no derogatory information could be located in Los Angeles files concerning him.

Subscribers to the above numbers were identified through the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company by SE [REDACTED] on March 22, 1960.

AT BURBANK, CALIFORNIA

Inquiry concerning the possibility that BONANNO's trucks may have delivered salvage airplane parts to the Aircraft Supplies, Ltd., 415 North Victory Blvd., was not made, and it does not appear that such can be done with discretion due to the limited volume of business as well as the limited personnel involved in the company.

By communication dated April 26, 1960, the Chicago Office furnished the following information.

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED] at Rockford, Illinois, on March 21, 1960:

The current Rockford City Directory reflected telephone number WO 8-1060 is issued to [REDACTED] Rockford.

Mrs. [REDACTED] Rockford Credit Bureau, advised

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

her records reflected [redacted] has been on file since 1954. His wife is listed as [redacted] and he has six children. Former address is reflected as 2430 North Mozart, Chicago, Illinois, and as of January 23, 1953, he was transferred to Rockford from Chicago as service manager for Montgomery Wards. A credit report dated November 4, 1959, reflected his age as approximately 33, good credit rating, and as of April, 1959, he was employed in sales and service for the Marcellus Manufacturing Company, Belvidere, Illinois, which new employment was not verified to date.

b6
b7C

Detective Sgt. [redacted] (NA), Rockford Police Department, advised his records did not contain any information regarding [redacted].

A special public service operator of the Illinois Bell Telephone System advised SA WILLIAM F. ROEMER on March 16, 1960, that Chicago telephone number WE 9-4800 is listed to the Banner Mutual Insurance Company at 330 South Wells Street, Chicago.

The records of the Chicago Office as checked on July 16, 1960, contain no reference which can be identified with the Banner Mutual Insurance Company or with LOU SANTI.

The records of Dun and Bradstreet, 300 West Adams Street, Chicago, as checked on March 17, 1960, by [redacted] Clerk, at the request of IC [redacted], were found to contain a report on the Banner Mutual Insurance Company dated November 25, 1959. This report reflected that [redacted] is the President of this company, that [redacted] is the Secretary-Treasurer, and that one [redacted] is the Vice-President. This company was established in 1917 and was taken over by present management in 1957.

b6
b7C

[redacted] has been in the insurance business all his life and formerly was the Assistant to the Director of the State of Illinois Insurance Department. [redacted] is [redacted], native born, and was formerly active in the real estate line in Chicago and from 1944 to 1954 he was an inspector for the Illinois Department of Insurance. Prior to 1944 he was an insurance broker in Chicago for a number of years. [redacted] is [redacted] years of age, and became associated with Banner Mutual Insurance Company in April, 1959. He was previously manager

PX 92-172

of the Tax Division of Speigel, Inc. and was employed by that company for a number of years. [] and [] appeared also as officers in the National Benefit Life Insurance Company.

The Banner Mutual Insurance Company operates in the automobile casualty insurance field in Cook County, Illinois, and is licensed to operate in Illinois and Michigan but operations are generally confined to Cook County. The office equipment and personnel of the Banner Mutual Insurance Company are also used by the National Benefit Life Insurance Company of Chicago. This company was incorporated in September, 1959, in Illinois and is authorized to write mutual life insurance policies.

b6
b7C

No mention anywhere in the report is made to one LOU SANTI. The records of the Chicago Office do not contain any information which can be identifiable with [] or [].

By communication dated April 29, 1960, the Cincinnati Office furnished the following information.

Telephone number JUniper 1-8488 in January, 1960, was listed to the T & C Sales Company, 928 Monmouth Street, Newport, Kentucky. The signature for the official request for telephone service was illegible; however, there is a notation on the records to the effect that [] at the Glenn Hotel, who is also a partner in the Monmouth Hotel, was the individual of a partnership requesting such service. The notation also reflects that if any trouble is experienced by the telephone company in collecting the account, it should be referred to [] who is the District Manager for the Citizens Telephone Company, a subsidiary of the Cincinnati and Suburban Bell Telephone Company, in the Northern Kentucky area.

b6
b7C

Telephone number CHerry 1-3080 is listed in the current Cincinnati alphabetical telephone directory to Delmonico Foods, Inc., 901 Dance Court.

The City Directory for Cincinnati lists under the name Delmonico Foods, Inc., [] Chairman of the Board, [] President, [] Vice-President in charge of sales, [] Secretary,

PX 92-172

[redacted], Treasurer, [redacted] and [redacted], Sales Manager, [redacted] Auditor, manufacturers of Delmonico macaroni, spaghetti, egg noodles and related products.

The City Directory for Cincinnati reflects that [redacted] resides at [redacted] with his wife, [redacted], and he is also listed as a Vice-President of the Antonio Palazzolo Company. [redacted] subscribes to Cincinnati telephone number TR 1-4575.

b6
b7C

The indices of the Cincinnati Office contain no reference to [redacted].

The indices of the Cincinnati Office, however, reflect that in the case captioned, "UNKNOWN SUBJECT; Theft of \$163,300.00 in Jewelry, New York City, August 10, 1958, Tiffany and Company - VICTIM, ITSP - MAJOR THEFT", Cincinnati telephone number CHerry 1-0717 was called on August 20, 1958, and charged to Indianapolis telephone number Fletcher 7-1184, located at the Village Inn in Indianapolis operated by [redacted] and [redacted]. Telephone number CHerry 1-0717 was issued to the [redacted] Company which moved in Cincinnati from Eggleston Avenue to 4855 Section Road, Cincinnati.

Records of the Cincinnati Credit Bureau, Inc., reflected that ANTONIO PALAZZOLO and wife, [redacted], previously resided at 2831 Observatory Road in Cincinnati, and prior to that at 719½ Central Avenue, Cincinnati. PALAZZOLO was the proprietor and sole owner of Palazzolo and Company, formerly located at 712-16 Central Avenue. On September 23, 1954, he bought the property at 2045 Gilbert Avenue and has since moved to the aforementioned Section Road address. PALAZZOLO was originally a resident of Chicago, Illinois, and allegedly was employed as a salesman by [redacted]. He later became Treasurer and General Manager of the corporation under the same name. PALAZZOLO subsequently moved to Cincinnati, engaged in the wholesale grocery business, and currently operates a wine company as well as Anthony Palazzolo and Company, macaroni manufacturers.

b6
b7C

Records of the Cincinnati Police Department reflected that ANTHONY PALAZZOLO, a white male, 69 years of age, residing at 2831 Observatory Road, was arrested on July 1, 1954, for reckless driving, appeared in Police Court, and costs of

PX 92-172

the trial were remitted.

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6
b7C

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

The records of the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company reflect that the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., Tucson, Arizona, refinanced a Volkswagen truck which was formerly owned by the predecessor company, Boulay Food Distributors, Inc., and there is a \$537.18 balance as of April 19, 1960. The vehicle was refinanced January 15, 1960, for \$659.35 to be paid in monthly installments of \$76.74. The note and mortgage are signed by PROSPECT MULE as Secretary of the corporation. These records also reflect that the insurance company which carried the coverage on this vehicle recently notified the bank they were canceling the policy. As of April 19, 1960, the bank records reflected there is no insurance coverage on this vehicle at this time. The bank contacted the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. and were advised for the bank to write to the following company for insurance coverage with a loss payable clause protecting the bank's collateral. The Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company wrote to this company on April 19, 1960, and the name as given by the mortgagor is Jack Dorman and Son, Inc., 111 John Street, New York City, New York.

On April 25, 1960, a record was found in Book 1594, page 403, in the Pima County Recorder's Office of the recent incorporation of the Chicken Carousel. The incorporators are listed as:

[REDACTED], Tucson

PROSPECT MULE, 3627 East Elida, Tucson, ARIZ.

[REDACTED] Tucson.

b6
b7C

This record reflects that articles of incorporation were filed in the office of the Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Arizona, on April 19, 1960. The articles provide for doing a general restaurant business including dispensing of beverages including those having alcoholic content and other provisions generally pertinent to this type of corporation.

PX 92-172

The articles also provide for an authorized capital of \$300,000.00 consisting of 3,000 shares at \$100.00 each. The articles also provide that the annual meeting of the stockholders shall be held June 15 each year starting with 1960, and that officers of the corporation do not have to be stockholders, the officers to be president, vice-president, secretary and treasurer and that one officer can hold more than one office. The statutory agent is [redacted] Tucson. The Tucson City Directory lists this man as an attorney and also as vice-president of the Italian-American Club.

b6
b7C

The following investigation was conducted by SA JOHN B. DUFFY.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

On May 3, 1960, the Arizona Corporation Commission records were reviewed as to the Western United Real Estate and Investment Company. This concern was incorporated on August 29, 1957, by the following:

PHOENIX, ARIZ
WALTER FALCETTA
JOSEPH AUGELLO
WILLIAM S. BONANNO
VICTOR TRONOLONE
LARRY D'ANTONIO.

INCORPORATORS

VICTOR J. TRONOLONE
331 W. CONGRESS
TUCSON, ARIZ.

It was incorporated for general real estate business and has 1,000,000 authorized shares at \$1.00 par.

The following is a list of investors as recorded with the Arizona Corporation Commission:

CHARLES BACCHI	\$10,000.00
WALTER FALCETTA	\$ 5,000.00
BELMONT D'ANTONIO	\$ 2,000.00
EMILY NASO	\$ 3,350.00
PETE SERRO	\$ 2,600.00
LARRY D'ANTONIO	\$ 3,000.00
MARTIN CINQUEMANI	\$ 9,750.00
JOHN DI BELLA	\$ 1,500.00
DOMINIC RUSSO	\$ 3,250.00
AARON AODUISS	\$ 292.50
GEORGE MC CULLOUGH	\$ 58.50

PX 92-172

The following investigation was conducted by SA []

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

On April 26, 1960, [], Assistant Cashier of the Bank of Douglas, advised that on the evening of April 25, 1960, he had attended a dinner held by the National Conference of Christians and Jews at the Hotel Pioneer in Tucson.

[] advised JOE BONANNO and his son, BILL BONANNO, were guests at this dinner but were alone and not accompanied by their wives or any other individuals, as far as he could determine. He stated that following the dinner, JOE and BILL BONANNO congratulated [], who was given the award this year by the National Conference of Christians and Jews.

Following the affair, JOE and BILL BONANNO remained in the bar drinking with [], one of the members of the law firm headed by []. This law firm is known to handle the business of JOE BONANNO. ATTORNEY ARIZ.

On May 10, 1960, subject was observed by SA [] and SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ to board an American Airlines flight out of Tucson bound for Chicago. He was accompanied by TONY SCRIVANO and [], a Phoenix, ARIZ. restaurant operator. On May 11, 1960, the Chicago Office advised that the subject and the others had arrived in Chicago, had registered at the Morrison Hotel as representatives of UNICO, and were in attendance at a food convention in that city. The Chicago Office advised that the subject departed Chicago on May 14, 1960, bound for New York. JLL.

On May 15, 1960, the New York Office advised that the subject picked up his wife on arrival in New York and that both of them departed for Tucson on May 15, 1960, via American Airlines.

The subject was observed by SA [] and SA NISCHWITZ departing from the Tucson Airport for Los Angeles on June 2, 1960. On this trip he was accompanied by TONY SCRIVANO.

By communication dated June 7, 1960, the Los Angeles Office advised that the subject rented an Avis Rental car on arrival in Los Angeles. He went directly to the Beverly

PX 92-172

Hilton Hotel and registered in room 321. The subject's car did not move during the evening of June 2, 1960. In the morning of June 3, 1960, he contacted the insurance office of [redacted] 16317 South New Hampshire Street, Gardena, California. He returned to the hotel at 2:40 PM and was observed shopping in the hotel promenade. During the evening of June 3, 1960, BONANNO and SCRIVANO moved to separate rooms. BONANNO had a date with one [redacted], a redhead, arranged for by [redacted] (phonetic), possibly [redacted], local con-man recently arrested in Los Angeles on abortion charges. Captain [redacted] Hotel Security Officer, advised on June 6, 1960, that MICKEY COHEN reportedly visited the subject during the evening of June 3, 1960, remaining in his room until 2:00 AM of June 4, 1960. Captain [redacted] advised the Beverly Hills Police Department and the Los Angeles Police Department. At 5:05 AM on June 4, 1960, SCRIVANO engaged a Yellow Cab, purpose and destination unknown. He was back at the hotel in the mid-morning. The subject was in frequent telephonic contact with the office of [redacted]. He was also in contact with one [redacted] (phonetic) who speaks broken English, [redacted], a land promotor who possibly is JOHN BATTAGLIA, and a Mr. [redacted].

b6
b7C

On June 7, 1960, the subject was observed by SA [redacted] and SA NISCHWITZ departing Tucson Airport bound for Milwaukee.

On June 8, 1960, the Milwaukee Office advised that the apparent purpose of the trip was to attend an annual meeting of the Grande Cheese Company at Fond de Lac, Wisconsin.

By communication dated May 16, 1960, the Detroit Office furnished the following information.

b6
b7C

On May 4, 1960, records of the Cadillac Motor Car Company revealed that 1960 Cadillac, identification number 60B068268, was shipped to the Cadillac Retail Store, Cass Avenue, Detroit.

On May 4, 1960, [redacted] of the Cadillac Retail Store, Cass Avenue, Detroit, stated that the above car had been transferred to Cal Connell Cadillac Agency, [redacted] Hamstramck, Michigan.

On May 6, 1960, [redacted] Cal Connell Cadillac

PX 92-172

Agency, advised SA JOSEPH E. ISEMAN that the above Cadillac was sold March 30, 1960, to [redacted], Tucson, Arizona. He said his records further revealed that this car was delivered to [redacted] of the J. Z. Buyers Services of Detroit. He stated that the car was sold for \$5,581.00 and that his records revealed that the car was paid for by check and that probably the check was issued by the J. Z. Buyers Services, who normally are paid by the purchaser and merely in turn pay for the car themselves since they receive a commission on the sale. He stated no insurance was listed as belonging to this automobile but that on the back of an agreement made on the purchase, is a notation Southern Arizona Bank, Broadway and C----- Branch, 3 years, 6%. It was impossible to make out the name of the second street following Broadway designating the branch bank. However, Mr. [redacted] was of the opinion that the Southern Arizona Bank of Tucson was handling the financing on the above car.

b6
b7C

Records of the Office of the Secretary of State, State of Michigan, reveal that drive-out sticker 129296 was issued to [redacted] Tucson, for the above-described Cadillac. *ARIZ*

By communication dated April 22, 1960, the Minneapolis Office advised that the current Minneapolis telephone directory reflects Minneapolis telephone number FEderal 6-3611 is a listed business telephone for the McGarvey-Atwood Coffee Corporation at 1129 Currie Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
INTERVIEW REPORTDate May 17, 1960

Mr. [] said that he settled with JOSEPH BONANNO in the offices of BONANNO's attorney, [] Tucson about a week or ten days prior to March 30, 1960, the settlement being for [] interest in Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. [] said that he signed over to JOSEPH BONANNO his stock in the corporation which has a par value of \$20,000.00. He said the corporation was not in good financial condition and that he received in payment for his stock a real estate contract which had a balance due of \$3,312.65 plus \$750.00 in cash. He said the transfer of the real estate contract from JOSEPH and FAY BONANNO to BOULAY is recorded in book 1584, page 508 in the Pima County Recorders Office. The purchasers of the property on contract are: JAMES P. SWOFFORD and his wife DOROTHY SWOFFORD, and H. M. BOLLINGER and wife FRANCES.

b6
b7C

[] said that [], an employee of Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., recently told [] that the operation of the business under WILLIAM BONANNO has not been satisfactory and that several of the employees are extremely dissatisfied. [] told [] that he would like to quit his job but is staying on because of some ailment which will require possible hospitalization and he wants to stay so he can get the benefit of the group hospitalization he has been carrying with the company.

b6
b7C

[] said that another employee of the food company, one [], who resides in the VP Homes project on East 26th Street in Tucson, is very dissatisfied and recently said that a recent pay check he received from Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. was returned by the drawee bank because of insufficient funds. [] indicated to [] that he plans to quit very soon.

[] also said that one of the companies from which Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. has been buying canned goods recently called [] about the approximately \$4,000.00 past due account. He said Mr. [] was the man who called and that he represents Rogay Food

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ File # PX 92-172

on 5/11/60 at Tucson, Arizona Date dictated 5/12/60

b6
b7C

by Special Agent SA []

PX 92-172

Company, 775 Terminal Street, Los Angeles. [redacted] indicated to [redacted] that they plan to file suit against Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. [redacted] said that while he was still in the company, from the time BILL BONANNO first invested in the company until [redacted] left in August, 1959, BILL BONANNO had put approximately \$40,000.00 into the business.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
INTERVIEW REPORTDate April 25, 1960

Mr. [] Southwestern Wholesale Grocery, 127 East Toole Avenue, Tucson, Arizona, advised that over a period of the recent few months his firm has lost three good restaurant customers which they had had for quite a long period of time previously, and these customers changed to the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. of 270 North Church in Tucson. Mr. [] said he has operated the Clary Wholesale Grocery Company in Tucson for more than fifty years and has handled a complete line and has been in a good position to service customers by furnishing to institutions, such as restaurants and other large buyers of food products, most anything needed by them. He said he has understood from outside sources, though he has no specific information in this regard, that the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., which is an enterprise operated by WILLIAM S. BONANNO, is a very small operation, has not been operating long and has not developed much business. He said he has also understood that this company has been extremely delinquent in paying bills to canners and other suppliers and generally has not been in a very sound financial condition.

b6
b7C

[] said that the following are the three restaurants which cut off doing business with [] company and that for some time [] had no explanation nor had any information as to why these restaurants quit doing business with his company and started with BONANNO's company. Mr. [] said very recently he received information from some of his men who call on institutions that all of these three restaurants were in financial difficulties and that BONANNO's firm, or someone represented by him, was able to furnish these firms private capital to help them out of their financial dilemma. [] said he, at this time, has no information that there was any other reason for these restaurants quitting his company. He also said he had received no information prior to their changing to BONANNO's company that these restaurants needed any financial assistance. Mr. [] said the restaurants are as follows:

b6
b7C

Paulos' Steak and Chop House, 4915 East Speedway Blvd.

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~File # PX 92-172on 4/13/60 at Tucson, ArizonaDate dictated 4/19/60by Special Agent [] csa

PX 92-172

Saddle and Sirloin Steak House, 2130 North Oracle
Road

Rallis Restaurant, 100 East Congress.

PX 92-172

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

On May 17, 1960, [] Tucson real estate broker, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY and SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ that he was putting together a real estate deal wherein a trust that owned a half section of Pinal County, Arizona, land was selling the property to a partnership composed of FRANK LA BRUZZO and the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. LA BRUZZO is a brother of subject's mother and the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., although operated by TONY SCRIVANO, is probably owned by the subject, [] advised.

b6
b7C

On June 20, 1960, Lt. [] of the Tucson Police Department advised SA [] that he had observed the subject and his wife that evening in the company of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, Mrs. [], and an unknown couple at the Highway House Hotel dining room in Tucson.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

On June 13, 1960, [] president of UNICO at Phoenix, advised SA DUFFY that [] was active in UNICO and that [] apparent connection with the subject would be in UNICO affairs. He stated that [] was a respected real estate broker with offices at 22 West Camelback, Phoenix, ARIZ.

On June 13, 1960, [] advised SA DUFFY that he was not acquainted with the subject.

On June 13, 1960, SA DUFFY observed that the address 330 East Camelback Road is an abandoned building but recognized it as one of the former addresses utilized by []

b6
b7C

On June 13, 1960, SA [] determined from the Base Locator at Williams Air Force Base that [] worked at the Base Supply Warehouse and lived in building [] on the base.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 8/17/60	Investigative Period 7/15 - 8/15/60
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.		Report made by SA JOHN B. DUFFY	Typed By: JMK
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI-RACKETEERING	

Synopsis:

REFERENCE

Report of JOHN B. DUFFY dated 7/15/60 at Phoenix.

- P -

LEADS

THE PHOENIX DIVISION

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will, when he returns to Phoenix, interview
[redacted] concerning subject's interest in [redacted]
radio station at Clifton, Arizona.

b6
b7C

R

Approved: <i>[Signature]</i>	Special Agent In Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: 2 - Bureau (92-44044) 2 - Phoenix (92-472) <i>cc Rem 4708</i>		92-44044-14	REC-39
		17 AUG 22 1960	EX-107
		CRIM. INTEL STAT. SECT.	

59 AUG 30 1960

ADMINISTRATIVE

The New York City Division on 6/16/60 advised as follows:

[redacted] advised SA VICTOR CARRELLI on 5/24/60 that he had heard of McCabe Associates in New York City but could offer no information relative to their clients or if they represent the hoodlum element.

b2
b7D

On 6/29/60, the Louisville Division advised as follows:

The following investigation was conducted by SA [redacted]

On 6/21/60, [redacted] Special Agent, Internal Revenue Service, Covington, Kentucky, advised that the concern known as the T and C Sales Company in the Glenn Hotel, 928 Monmouth Street, Newport, Kentucky, was a "layoff" handbook located on the third floor of the Glenn Hotel. He informed that the person running this establishment were [redacted].

These individuals have previously come to the attention of the Louisville Division, inasmuch as for a number of years, they were employees of one [redacted] [redacted], who formerly operated a large lay-off handbook in the same room now occupied by [redacted] and [redacted].

b6
b7C

[redacted], also at the time he was in the Glenn Hotel, operated what was known as the "Cincinnati Line" by which he furnished to various bookmakers throughout the country betting odds, point spread, etcetera, relative to sporting events.

In the latter part of 1958, [redacted] left the Newport area and went to his residence in Miami Beach, Florida, where he has been since that time. [redacted] and [redacted] who were [redacted] employees, have continued in the layoff handbook business at the location in the Glenn Hotel; however, they have not continued to operate the "Cincinnati Line".

COVER PAGE

PX 92-172

AIn connection with the case captioned: "EDWIN SANFORD GARRISON, Aka. I. O. No. 3251, W. F. No. 219 - FUGITIVE: UFAC - ROBBERY", (Top Ten Fugitive), both [redacted] have been interviewed a number of times, due to their connection with gambling in the Newport, Kentucky area.

On 6/17/60, SA [redacted] observed [redacted] immediately outside the Glenn Hotel in Newport, Kentucky. [redacted] at that time advised that "business was very slow" but that he and [redacted] continued their operation on the third floor of the Glenn Hotel in Newport. He advised that he continued to reside at 56 South Grand Avenue, Fort Thomas, Kentucky, but had moved from a second floor to the first floor apartment. It has been determined through GARRISON investigation that [redacted] resides on Turkey Foot Road, South Fort Mitchell, Kentucky.

b6
b7C

COVER PAGE

- C* -

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:
Date:SA JOHN B. DUFFY
8/17/60

Office: Phoenix

File Number:

PX 92-172

BU 92-4494

Title:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character:

ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

[redacted] states that his son [redacted] borrowed money from subject to operate radio station at Clifton, Arizona, in 1955. In 1959, subject dated [redacted] a Tucson waitress. Subject in contact with Milwaukee lawyer [redacted] 6/8/60 and with [redacted] in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, same date. Subject in New York 5/14-15/60, 6/29/60, and 7/2/60. No record of SALVATORE PROFACI with GMAC, New York. No indication subject has visited Airport Motel, Newark, New Jersey. Information concerning set up of Western United Real Estate and Investment Company set out. Subject in meeting at Tucson 8/4 and 8/5/60 with JOE HOOTNER, JOHN BATTAGLIA, CHARLES BATTAGLIA, and [redacted].

b6
b7c

- P -

DO NOT DISSEMINATEDETAILS: AT WILLIAMS AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA

On August 3, 1960, [redacted] Base Warehouse No. 6, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that his son [redacted] had borrowed money from the subject approximately two and one-half years ago to purchase a radio station at Clifton, Arizona. He stated that in six months his son was broke and lost the radio station. He knew nothing of the details of the borrowing of the money.

PX 92-172

Mr. [] stated that he does not know the exact whereabouts of his son although his son's wife and children reside with [] and they rarely hear from []. He stated that his son is wandering about the midwest attempting to find permanent employment, but that if he ever returns to Phoenix or indicates a permanent address he will advise SA JOHN B. DUFFY.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
INTERVIEW REPORTDate June 1, 1960

Miss []
Indianapolis, Indiana, furnished the following information:

She has known [] for about five years. Their relationship has been purely social in nature. [] operates the Sunoco Service Station on East 38th Street, at North Caroline Street in Indianapolis. He resides at [], and is married. It is the understanding of Miss [] that he was formerly on the Indianapolis Police Department. According to Miss [] is a local man who has no connection with the State of Arizona and does not know WILLIAM BONANNO.

b6
b7C

Miss [] went to Tucson, Arizona, in January of 1958 with her mother and infant son due to the boy's asthmatic condition. The mother stayed in Tucson with Miss [] for about one year. During both winters that she was in Tucson, Miss [] worked as a waitress at the Ghost Ranch Lodge, 801 Casa Grande Highway. She also was employed at Brid's Garden Plaza Restaurant in Tucson for about one year off and on. She has also been employed as a waitress at the Holiday Inn in Tucson for about one month in the early winter of 1959. Miss [] also worked as a waitress at the Blue Angel Cafe in Tucson and at the downtown branch of Walgreen's Drugstore in the same city for short periods. The employment at Walgreen's was as a cashier.

b6
b7C

Miss [] asserted that she has never prostituted herself.

She explained that in the summer of 1959 she was employed as a waitress at the Blue Angel Cafe in Tucson. She met one Mrs. [] a Tucson girl and a divorcee, who was also employed at the same restaurant. Soon after she began working there, [] pointed out to Miss [] a customer and identified him as BILL BONANNO, an individual whom Mrs. [] stated she was dating.

b6
b7C

Interview with Miss [] File # IP 92-328

on 5/20/60 at Indianapolis, Indiana Date dictated 5/24/60

by Special Agents JACK C. POLLOCK and [] -hlf

IP 92-328

Miss [] and [] begin sharing an apartment at [] in the late summer or the early fall of 1959. BONANNO came to the apartment on several occasions to see []. On at least one occasion, an individual named TONY SCRIVANO was with him. Miss [] related that she does not know any of BONANNO's other associates, although it is her belief that she has seen his father, JOE BONANNO, as a customer in the restaurant. It is the understanding of Miss [] that TONY SCRIVANO is employed by BONANNO to operate an insurance office. To the knowledge of Miss [], BONANNO has an insurance business and also a restaurant supply warehouse, the name of which she believes to be Progresso.

b6
b7C

Miss [] stated that she has never been out socially with BONANNO, has never double-dated with him and [] and has had no social relationship with him. She did state that she heard that BONANNO was a "gangster" but she could not elaborate on this point when questioned concerning it.

Miss [] returned to Indianapolis from Tucson in December, 1959. She came back, according to her statement, because her young son had been cured of the asthma and she was homesick to return to Indiana. [] followed Miss [] to Indianapolis, arriving in January, 1960. [] stayed with Miss [] for a few days at the 38th Street Motel. Miss [] volunteered that BONANNO talked to her on the telephone in order to reach [] and that he has sent [] money on at least one occasion since she has been in Indianapolis, to the knowledge of Miss [].

The latter stated that [] is pregnant. The father of the unborn child, according to what [] told Miss [] is one [], with whom [] had a blind date in Tucson. BONANNO, according to what [] told Miss [] has offered to help [] financially until her child is born. It is the belief of Miss [] that [] writes to BONANNO. [] plan is to have her baby in Indianapolis, put it out for adoption and then return to her native Tucson. [] ceased dating BONANNO before Miss [] left Tucson, although [] did say she saw BONANNO on one occasion after Miss [] left Tucson and came to Indiana.

b6
b7C

IP 92-328

[redacted] is a divorcee with a small girl about three years of age, according to Miss [redacted] [redacted] currently lives with Miss [redacted] at the [redacted] address and minds the two children while Miss [redacted] is employed.

The following is a physical description of Miss [redacted] obtained through observation and interrogation:

b6
b7C

Name	[redacted]
Race	White
Sex	Female
Age	[redacted]
Height	Linton, Indiana)
Weight	5'7"
Hair	130
Eyes	Brown
Complexion	Blue
Arrest record	Light
Current residence	Denied except for speeding arrest in Tucson
Mother	[redacted] Indianapolis, Indiana
Father	Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] Merom, Indiana
Employment	[redacted] Indianapolis, Indiana - employed at the Allison Division of General Motors, Plant #5
Son	Jong Mea Restaurant, 2137 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis, Indiana [redacted]

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date June 14, 1960

An individual answering the description of Subject was observed to alight from Flight 467, North Central Airlines, at Mitchell Field, 9:10 AM, June 8, 1960. He was alone and he waited around the airport as though looking for someone from the time of arrival until 10:20 AM when he left the airport in a cab. During the time he was waiting he was observed to dial a Milwaukee number, apparently unsuccessfully, a number of times. He went to the Caswell Building on the corner of West Wisconsin and Plankinton Avenues, and at 11:10 AM [] was observed to arrive at this building where [] maintains his law office.

Subject and [] shortly left the Caswell Building and obtained [] car from a nearby garage, and after a short stop at the District Attorney's Office in the Safety Building in Milwaukee went to [] residence, [] where they changed to a 1957 Buick, also owned by [].

They immediately went to Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, arriving there at 1:20 PM and were not seen again until 7:27 PM when they were observed approaching the Retlaw Hotel from the direction of the Grande Cheese Company, 1 South Main Street, Fond du Lac. At this time Subject was in company with [] Manager of the Grande Cheese Company, and a fourth man who later entered a 1950 Chevrolet, Wisconsin License PL 4223, which is registered to [] Fond du Lac. [] is also employed by the Grande Cheese Company.

[] parted company from the rest and Subject, [] and [] then went to the 101 Club where they remained until approximately 10 o'clock. After leaving the 101 Club they talked for approximately 40 minutes at the Retlaw Hotel, after which [] and Subject returned to Milwaukee, arriving at Alioto's Restaurant, Highway #100 and West Burleigh, at 12:10 AM, June 9th. At 1:08 AM they left Alioto's and the surveillance was discontinued.

On 6/8,9/60 at At Milwaukee and Fond du Lac, Wisconsin File # 92-144
by SAS ROBERT M. FAUNTLEROY LAURENCE J. GIUNTOLI
[] []
Date dictated 6/13/60

PX 92-172

On June 14, 1960, the Milwaukee Division advised Phoenix, Chicago, Cincinnati, Omaha, and Indianapolis Divisions of various license numbers observed at the Retlaw Hotel during the period subject was in residence there and subsequent investigation of those license plates by the various Divisions reflected nothing significant.

On June 16, 1960, the New York Division advised as follows:

Mr. [REDACTED] Special Collections Department, GMAC, 26 Court Street, Brooklyn, New York, advised SA [REDACTED] on May 17, 1960, that he was unable to locate the name of SALVATORE A. PROFACI in his indices.

He stated that he recalls the name of PROFACI as an account that GMAC held at one time, but thought it to be a closed account. He advised that it was possible that the account was recently closed and in the process of going from open to closed accounts; therefore, he would be unable to locate it in the indices as the card would accompany the closed account papers.

On May 18, 1960, Mr. [REDACTED] telephonically stated he could not locate the indices card for PROFACI. [REDACTED] stated that Phoenix would have to obtain more information relative to the automobile financed by GMAC before he could assist the FBI in this matter.

On May 24, 1960, SA [REDACTED] under a suitable pretext, telephonically contacted McCabe Associates, 550 Fifth Avenue, New York City, and determined from an unknown individual that the [REDACTED] Associates handle home mortgages, car insurance and finance and any other types of mortgages.

No New York City Police Department record could be located for [REDACTED] on May 11, 1960, by SA DAVID G. JENKINS at the New York City Police Department.

PX 92-172

With regard to the subject's American Express Credit Card No. 022 365 3, the following is set forth:

Inspector [redacted] American Express Company, 46 Trinity Place, New York City, advised SA [redacted] on May 31, 1960, that S. V. BONANNO, P. O. Box 1424, Tucson, Arizona, has file No. 60-CC-526, card No. 022 365 3. Inspector [redacted] furnished photostat concerning the subject's account.

Miss [redacted] Passport Division, United States Department of State, 630 Fifth Avenue, New York City, advised SAs [redacted] on May 11, 1960, that she could locate no passport application or record of a passport for subject or ANTHONY SCRIVANO or [redacted].

b6
b7C

BONANNO arrived in New York City on May 14, 1960, from Chicago via Capitol Airlines Flight No. 734, arriving 5:25 p. m. The subject was observed by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] departing the above flight at Idlewild Airport and entering a New York City taxicab. The subject proceeded in this automobile to 242 Bay 10th Street, Brooklyn, New York, where he arrived at 6:20 p. m.

On May 15, 1960, the subject was observed at Gate 4-C, American Airlines, La Guardia Airport, New York City, with his wife and infant child. They boarded American Airlines Flight No. 621, which departed New York City at 9:45 p. m. en route to Tucson, Arizona. Scheduled arrival time was listed as 5:45 a. m., May 16, 1960, Mountain Standard Time.

Mr. FRANK LA BRUZZO, Lane Thread Company, 207 Wilson Avenue, Brooklyn, New York advised SA [redacted] on May 25, 1960, that the subject was in New York City on May 14, 1960, and May 15, 1960, and during that time stayed at the residence of his mother-in-law (Mrs. SALVATORE PROFACI), 242 Bay 10th Street, Brooklyn, New York. Mr. LaBRUZZO advised that he did not have a chance to see WILLIAM, but that WILLIAM had been in Chicago for a convention regarding restaurants.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

LaBRUZZO advised that SALVATORE PROFACI is deceased, and that ROSE PROFACI is alone at 242 Bay 10th Street, Brooklyn, New York. He stated that [redacted] (subject's wife) and WILLIAM have an adopted child, and that they all returned to Tucson, Arizona, on May 15, 1960, by air.

With regard to the [redacted] and Son, Incorporated, 111 John Street, New York City, it is noted that no credit or criminal record could be located for this company or the individual [redacted].

A review of the New York Division's indices reflects no information relative to this company or is any information reflected that this agency handles insurance for hoodlums.

The New York Division on June 29, 1960, advised that the subject had arrived in New York on that date at Idlewild Airport at which time he was met by [redacted] [redacted], Queens, and that he was staying at that address.

On June 30, 1960, the Newark Division advised as follows:

On June 22, 1960, Mr. [redacted], Executive Assistant, Bank of Commerce, Lyons Avenue and Bergen Street, Newark, New Jersey, advised SA [redacted] that the Airport Motel, Incorporated, still maintains an account with that bank and that ANTHONY P. RIELA conducts the transactions for the motel. Mr. [redacted] said that RIELA does not maintain a personal bank account with that bank.

He said that a review of the canceled checks for the Airport Motel, Incorporated, for the month of June reflects that no payments to WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO were drawn on the Airport Motel, Incorporated account.

Mr. [redacted] advised that each day thousands of checks are handled by that bank and that these checks are in turn put on microfilm. He said that the checks

PX 92-172

are not kept in any specific order and that in order to locate any checks drawn on the Airport Motel, Incorporated, account payable to BONANNO or anyone else, all these films would have to be reviewed.

[] further pointed out that this is an almost impossible job in view of the enormous volume of checks handled daily by the bank. [] did state, however, that he would place a tag on the account of the Airport Motel, Incorporated, and in the future, should any checks on that account be made payable to WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, he will immediately notify the Newark Division of the FBI.

b6
b7C

Spot checks during the months of May and June, 1960, by SA [] at the Airport Motel, Incorporated, Newark, have shown no indication that subject maintains a residence at, or has even visited, the motel during this period of time.

AT FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA

On July 1, 1960, [] advised he became acquainted with WILLIAM S. BONANNO in March, 1957, at the time BONANNO was doing business as the Reliance Realty Company, Flagstaff, Arizona. Mr. [] pointed out in January, 1958, BONANNO contacted him and inquired of [] if he were interested in buying some shares in the Western United Real Estate and Investment Company. Further, this organization was contemplating buying a piece of land between Tucson and Benson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

[] stated that he gave BONANNO a check in the amount of \$58.50, dated February 25, 1958, drawn on the Bank of Arizona, which is now known as the First National Bank of Arizona, for 58 shares in the above-mentioned company. [] advised to date he has not received any papers or documents from this company showing he has so many shares. BONANNO at the time advised this company consisted of business men from the eastern part

PX 92-172

of the country. However, BONANNO did not furnish any specific names. [] advised he is no longer interested in this company and does not plan on doing any additional business with them. He added he has received no dividends or profits from his investment in this company.

[] related he is not acquainted with any of the investors of this company, other than WILLIAM S. BONANNO. He added he was vaguely acquainted with JOSEPH BONANNO, having made his acquaintance through WILLIAM.

b6
b7C

He was unable to furnish any specific information regarding this person. He is not acquainted with JOSEPH GENOVESE, JOSEPH HOOTNER, or CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA. [] had no knowledge who is presently controlling this investment company.

[] stated the last time he has talked with WILLIAM BONANNO was in March, 1959; however, during this conversation they did not discuss the investment company. [] concluded by stating he was no longer interested in this company. He added in the event he gained any additional information regarding this company he would immediately contact reporting Agent.

[] advised that WILLIAM BONANNO is a Notary Public, as his company is insuring him in this regard as to a Notary Public Bond in the amount of \$1,000.00. Premium being \$5.00 for four years. Dates being April 24, 1957, to April 24, 1961.

Mr. [] furnished SA GEORGE C. BRIGHT with the aforementioned check, which is being placed in the file.

b6
b7C

On July 25, 1960, the New York Division advised as follows:

Mrs. [] New York Convention Bureau, 90 East 42nd Street, New York City, advised SA []

PX 92-172

[redacted] on July 20, 1960, that UNICO Organization was holding their national convention at the Hotel Statler, New York City, beginning July 20, 1960, through July 23, 1960.

b6
b7C

Pretext inquiry at the Hotel Statler on July 20, 1960, and July 22, 1960, revealed that UNICO stands for "Unity Neighborliness, Integrity, Character, and Opportunity." It was also ascertained that this organization was formally known as the "United Italian Co-operative Organization."

Inquiry at the Hotel failed to further identify the objectives of this organization other than fostering good will among Americans of Italian extraction.

Sources alerted by the New York Office concerning this convention failed to report any unusual or pertinent activity on part of subject.

On August 4, and August 5, 1960, according to Detective [redacted] NA, of the Tucson Police Department, a meeting was held at the Tideland Hotel at Tucson, which meeting was attended by the subject, CHARLES BATTAGLIA, JOHN LOUIS BATTAGLIA, JOSEPH HOOTNER and [redacted]. What was discussed at that meeting is not presently known, but indications are that all of these individuals are interested in purchasing land in northeastern Arizona.

b6
b7C

On August 9, 1960, subject was observed at the HiWay House Hotel and at Romulus, a Phoenix restaurant by SA JOHN B. DUFFY. At that time he was accompanied by his wife.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

SA JOHN B. DUFFY
Date: September 7, 1960

Office:

PHOENIX

Field Office File No.:

Phoenix 92-172

Bureau File No.:

92-4494

Title:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character:

ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Subject, son of JOSEPH BONANNO, resides at Tucson, Arizona, has no known criminal record. He is married to the niece of JOSEPH PROFACI. WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO has been in contact or has associated with CHARLES J. BATTAGLIA of Tucson, Arizona, and Los Angeles, California, JOHN LOUIS BATTAGLIA of Los Angeles, MICKEY COHEN of Los Angeles, JOSEPH HOOTNER of Tucson and Detroit, [redacted] of Seattle, [redacted] of Los Angeles, [redacted] of Los Angeles and Dr. [redacted] of Los Angeles. Subject has engaged in the real estate, wholesale food, motel, general insurance and restaurant businesses. He has evidenced interest in investing in a key club in Scottsdale, Arizona and has been known to purchase cashier's checks for cash at one bank and to deposit the cashier's check in another bank.

- P -

DETAILS:

Records of the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, reflect that the above named individual was born November 5, 1932, at Brooklyn, New York, the son of JOSEPH BONANNO and [redacted].

The subject, at the present time according to the Tucson City Directories and telephone directories, resides at 875 West Cresta Loma Drive, Tucson, Arizona.

PX 92-172

He is married to [redacted] and in March, 1958, he advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that his wife is the niece of JOSEPH PROFACI of New York City and that her father is the deceased SALVATORE PROFACI.

b6
b7C

University of Arizona records reflect that subject BONANNO was a 1951 graduate of the Tucson High School and that he subsequently attended the University of Arizona at Tucson, Arizona, and Arizona State College at Flagstaff, Arizona.

Records of Local Board No. 13 at Tucson show that BONANNO was classified IVF by that board because of defective hearing.

The only employment as far as is known at the present time has been in connection with the operation of the Tucson Food Distributing Company, an association with the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., at Tucson, Arizona, and in looking after his own and family real estate holdings.

BONANNO has no known arrest record.

On April 25, 1959, BONANNO was observed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY and SA ROY W. REGER at the Sky Harbor Airport in Phoenix, Arizona, in the company of ANTHONY JOSEPH BESASE, FBI No. 203887, a Toledo, Ohio gambler, and according to the Toledo Police Department a member of "the LICAVOLI mob."

Also at that time BONANNO was observed in the company of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, FBI No. 4733808.

On subsequent occasions BONANNO has been observed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY, SA [redacted] and SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ and the Tucson Police Department at Tucson in company with BATTAGLIA.

On many occasions over the past 18 months to two years, BONANNO has been observed by SAs [redacted] NISCHWITZ and DUFFY and police officers of the Tucson Police Department in the company of JOSEPH HARVEY HOOTNER.

b6
b7C

Other associates, neither of whom is known to have a criminal record, are ANTHONY SCRIVANO, who operates the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., and [redacted] also known as [redacted] a resident of Brooklyn,

PX 92-172

New York, and who is one of the incorporators of the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. PX T-1 of the Phoenix Division on October 30, 1959, has advised that SCRIVANO, WILLIAM BONANNO, and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA evidenced an interest in trying to enter the pinball business in the Phoenix area, and that negotiations were begun at that time for BONANNO and BATTAGLIA to finance this operation; however, it was during these negotiations that JOSEPH BONANNO, the father of BILL BONANNO, had a heart attack in New York and because of that heart attack WILLIAM BONANNO went to New York and there is no indication since his return of any further activity in the pinball field. There is no indication either they have lost interest in this particular field.

On March 28, 1960, Mrs. [REDACTED] Arizona Corporation Commission, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that their records reflect the following as incorporators for the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc.:

GEORGE TRULL, no address shown
JOSEPH TITONE, 625 South Harvade, Tucson
SALVATORE FALCETTA, 115 West Thurber, Tucson.

The organization was incorporated as a general insurance and real estate agency with authorized capital of \$300,000 on July 21, 1959. Attorney DON PITT prepared the incorporating papers and they were notarized by E. M. DARNALL.

Arizona Real Estate Board records reflect that this company has a real estate license and that SALVATORE FALCETTA is the designated broker, that the address is 270 North Church Street, that the organization is bonded by the Western Surety Company and that the salesman are ANTHONY SCRIVANO, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. These records were furnished by [REDACTED] of the State Realty Board on March 28, 1960.

PX T-1 has also advised that a Seattle, Washington resident by the name of [REDACTED] apparently has some interest in these negotiations as does [REDACTED] a Phoenix restaurant operator and known as a contact of BILL BONANNO when the subject comes to Phoenix.

PX 92-172

On April 18, 1960, PX T-5 advised that it appeared that the subject had been in contact with [redacted] who operates a restaurant known as "Mimi's Kitchen," 7408 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles, California. The Los Angeles Police Department has characterized this restaurant as a telephone drop for many underworld characters.

PX T-5 also has advised that it appears the subject has been in contact with Dr. [redacted], M.D., [redacted], Beverly Hills, California. This individual, according to the Los Angeles Police Department, has been utilized by some of the Los Angeles underworld characters including JOSEPH SICA and his family.

On June 6, 1960, Captain [redacted] Security Officer of the Beverly Hilton Hotel in Beverly Hills, California, advised that on June 3, 1960, MICKY COHEN visited BONANNO in BONANNO's room at that hotel arriving during the evening of June 3, 1960, and visiting with BONANNO until 2:00 A.M. on June 4, 1960.

On August 4 and 5, 1960, according to Detective [redacted] NA, of the Tucson Police Department, a meeting was held at the Tidelands Hotel at Tucson, which meeting was attended by the subject, CHARLES BATTAGLIA, JOHN LOUIS BATTAGLIA, JOSEPH HOOTNER and [redacted].

PX T-6 has advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that the purpose of this meeting was to disclose methods of raising money for this club to invest in the booming real estate market in northeastern Arizona.

There is no indication that BONANNO has any interest in pinball operations in the Tucson area.

[redacted] Tucson Arizona attorney, advised SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ, and SA [redacted] on October 29, 1959, that he had talked with BILL BONANNO and asked BILL why BONANNO and others did not leave one [redacted] alone.

[redacted] stated that as a result of this BONANNO said, "I'll call the boys together tonight and see what I can do."

PX 92-172

It is to be noted that [redacted] was severely beaten up by some unknown individuals in Tucson, Arizona, shortly previous to that conversation. [redacted] had at one time kept company with [redacted] who had left [redacted] and at that time was living with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA.

Mr. [redacted] Tucson, Arizona, when interviewed by SA [redacted] on November 2, 1959, advised that he was a former operator of the [redacted] Food Company at Tucson and that in January, 1959, incorporated that organization under the name of [redacted] Food Distributors, Inc., and at that time he took in WILLIAM BONANNO as a fifty per cent owner of the business, the paid up capital being \$40,000, \$20,000 of which was furnished by [redacted], which included equipment and stock, while BILL BONANNO put in \$20,000 in cash.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that due to the poor business practices of BONANNO he withdrew from the firm in the early fall of 1959 and that BONANNO took over the operation of the firm but changed the name of it to Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. The warehouse for this organization is located at 400 West Congress, Tucson, but the offices are at 270 North Church Street in Tucson, Arizona.

On April 7, 1960, PX T-3 advised SA [redacted] that the following are the officers of the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc.:

S. V. "BILL" BONANNO - President
[redacted] - Vice President
[redacted] - Vice President
PROSPECT MULE - Secretary
[redacted] - Treasurer

Signature cards on file for Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., with the Bank of Tucson, Tucson, Arizona, presently show S. V. BONANNO as president of this organization.

b6
b7C

Records of the Pima County Recorders Office of Tucson show that the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., is an Arizona corporation and that the organization has an authorized capitalization of \$300,000 and that the incorporators are [redacted] of Phoenix, [redacted] of Tucson, and [redacted] of Tucson.

On February 4, 1960, [redacted] was interviewed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY in Phoenix, Arizona, and stated that in the

PX 92172

summer of 1959 he was hired to operate the Phoenix Office of the First Insurance Company of Arizona and although BILL BONANNO's name did not appear on any of the documents showing ownership of that company, that BONANNO actually ran the organization and gave all orders and that there was no doubt in [] mind or any other of the employees' of the First Insurance Agency of Arizona as to who was the real operator of that company.

[] also advised that during his association with BONANNO in the First Insurance Agency that CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA frequently attended business meetings of employees of that organization and lent much to the conversation at those meetings.

b6
b7C

[] was interviewed by SA [] and SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ at Tucson, Arizona, on February 20, 1960, at which time he admitted that he was an incorporator of the First Insurance Agency but refused to discuss anything about it.

[] also advised that during meetings of the First Insurance Agency he over-heard that BATTAGLIA and BONANNO had some real estate interests in Palm Springs, California.

Records in the Recorder's Office, Pima County, Tucson, Arizona, show that BILL BONANNO was one of the incorporators with the Western United Real Estate and Investment Company on August 29, 1957, along with [] []

[], a Tucson accountant, handles the accounting affairs of JOSEPH BONANNO and PETE LICAVOLI.

[] is the attorney who represents JOSEPH BONANNO in Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

[] is a Tucson real estate broker who admittedly has handled real estate transactions for both JOSEPH BONANNO and PETE LICAVOLI.

On May 17, 1960, [] Tucson real estate broker, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY and SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ that he was putting together a real estate deal wherein a

PX 92-172

trust that owned a half section of Pinal County, Arizona, land was selling the property to a partnership composed of FRANK LA BRUZZO and the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc. LA BRUZZO is a brother of the subject's mother and the First Insurance Agency of Arizona, Inc., although operated by TONY SCRIVANO, is probably owned by the subject, [REDACTED] advised.

Records of the New Jersey Secretary of State show that SALVATORE BONANNO is Vice President and Treasurer of Airport Motel, Inc., in that state and that the President of that company is ANTHONY RIELA of 452 Carnegie Avenue, Newark, New Jersey.

Records of the Valley National Bank, Speedway - Swan Branch, Tucson, Arizona, show that the Western United Distributors maintain an account at that bank and that persons authorized to sign checks on the account are WILLIAM S. BONANNO, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

On March 28, 1960, PX T-4 advised SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ that BONANNO had taken over the operation of a restaurant known as the "Chicken Carousel", 5210 East Speedway Boulevard, and associated with BONANNO in this restaurant was [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] also known as [REDACTED].

An examination of bank records at the following banks indicate a pattern of operation by BONANNO that shows that he in the past has purchased cashier's checks at one bank with currency and will then immediately deposit the cashier's check in one of his accounts in one of the other banks. These records are confidential and can only be secured upon subpoena duces tecum from individuals mentioned below:

The Bank of Tucson - [REDACTED], Cashier

First National Bank of Arizona, Speedway Stone Branch - [REDACTED] Assistant Cashier and Operations Officer

Bank of Douglas, North Stone Branch - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Manager and Vice-President

b6
b7C

Valley National Bank, Campbell Grant Branch -
[REDACTED] Manager.

PX 92-172

Arizona Motor Vehicle Division records reflect that Arizona license AWB 250 belongs to a 1959 Oldsmobile registered to R & B Enterprises of Tucson, Arizona. Records of the First National Bank of Tucson show that that bank has a lien against this automobile with a chattel mortgage as security and that the note is signed by SALVATORE V. BONANNO for R & B Enterprises. The bank also has available a balance sheet signed by BONANNO as partner in R & B Enterprises, which balance sheet shows total assets for the company of \$55,868.97.

PX T-2 has advised that BONANNO has been in contact with [redacted] of Hollywood, California, who has been characterized by Los Angeles police as being identical with [redacted] who is the west coast liaison for [redacted], who operates a betting commission layoff office in Newport, Kentucky.

b6
b7C

On April 29, 1960, PX T-1 advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY and SA [redacted] that in the Fall of 1959 the subject and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA evidenced enthusiastic interest in backing a key club at Scottsdale, Arizona. However, informant advised neither of them has followed up the idea.

In interview with SA JOHN B. DUFFY and SA ROY W. REGER of the Phoenix Division, BONANNO has stated that he makes trips to Las Vegas, Nevada, where he stays at the Desert Inn Hotel and that the purpose of his trips are for pleasure.

In an interview with SA JOHN B. DUFFY in Tucson on February 10, 1960, which interview was precipitated by BONANNO, he at that time complained that law enforcement agencies in general are harrassing him and his father and he felt that it was time that they began to fight back. He stated that fighting back would be done in a legal manner but when asked to explain it about all he could say was that they would live exemplary lives so that they could not be further criticized.

BONANNO was described as follows:

Birthdate:
Birthplace:
Height:
Hair:

November 5, 1932
New York City, New York
6'3"
Wavy black, worn long.

PX 92-172

Eyes:	Brown
Complexion:	Smooth - olive
Build:	Medium
Weight:	210
Home Address:	875 W. Cresta Loma Drive, Tucson, Arizona
Peculiarities:	Left ear drum perforated, hard of hearing in left ear.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Phoenix, Arizona

September 7, 1960

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO,
also known as Salvatore V.
Bonanno, William V. Bonanno,
"Bill"
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference is made to the report of Special Agent
John B. Duffy at Phoenix on September 7, 1960.

Confidential informants PX T-1 through PX T-6
have furnished reliable information in the past.

This document is the property of the FBI. It is
loaned to you by the FBI and neither it nor its contents
are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

11/10/60

Director, FBI (92-4494)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONAINO, aka.
ANTI-RACKETEERING


Rerep SA John B. Duffy dated 10/13/60 at Phoenix.

You were instructed by Bureau airtel dated 8/25/60, captioned, "Criminal Intelligence Program," to submit criminal intelligence reports in suitable form for dissemination. The dissemination statement should be deleted from the above report, and the information on pages one and two regarding bank records should be given on an FD-302 and the person furnishing this information should be identified on the cover pages in accordance with instructions in the Special Agents' Handbook, Part I, page 36 A. Submit corrected pages for report at once.

Errors of form should be scored on responsible personnel.

MCB:mc
(4)

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Sandy _____



NOV 18 1960

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

EX-105
REC-98

92-4494-16

NOV 15 1960

12/6/60

airtel

To: SAC, Phoenix (92-172)
From: Director, FBI (92-4494)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, AKA,
ANTI-RACKETEERING


ReBulet dated 11/10/60.

FD-302 Form to be substituted for Pages 1 and 2
in report of SA John B. Duffy dated 10/13/60, at Phoenix,
requested in relet cannot be located in Bufiles.

If corrections have been submitted, advise date,
otherwise furnish immediately to the Bureau, Room 4710.

MCB:ibm
(4) Jm

REC-46



92-4494-17
19 DEC 7 1960

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

52 DEC 9 1960

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 12/7/60

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
(OO: Phoenix)

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix 11/10/60.

Enclosed herewith to the Bureau are three copies each of three corrected pages for report of SA JOHN B. DUFFY dated 10/13/60 at Phoenix, as requested in referenced letter. Two copies of the corrected pages are enclosed for the New York Division.

Information from the Bank of Commerce was furnished by [redacted] Executive Assistant of that bank.

b6
b7C

Phoenix copies corrected.

- 2 - Bureau (Enc.-9)
- 1 - New York (Enc.-6)
- 1 - Phoenix

JBD/sdg
(4)

9 ENCLOSURE
encl. detached and
added to reports -

92-4494-
NOT RECORDED
25 DEC 13 1960

CHINA & TEL.

62 DEC 16 1960

DEC 12 1960

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PHOENIX	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PHOENIX	DATE DEC 8 1960	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 10/13/60 - 12/5/60
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka		REPORT MADE BY SA JOHN B. DUFFY	TYPED BY sdg
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI-RACKETEERING	

REFERENCES

Report of SA JOHN B. DUFFY dated 10/13/60,
at Phoenix;
Phoenix airtel to New York dated 11/21/60.

- P -

LEADSCLEVELAND DIVISIONAT CLEVELAND, OHIO:

Will determine the background of [redacted]
whose uncle (FNU) [redacted] is the owner of a large trucking
business in the Cleveland area, and whose father, (FNU)
[redacted] either presently owns or at one time owned a
horse race track in the Cleveland area.

b6
b7C

APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE: 3 - Bureau (92-4494) 2 - Cleveland 2 - New York (92-1586) 3 - San Francisco 3 - Phoenix (92-172) <i>Copy sent to Crim Div Dept</i> <i>0-6-D 12/15/60</i>		92-4494-118	REC-30
		2 DEC 12 1960	EX-105
		CRIM. DIV.	

CRIM. DIV.
EX-105

51 DEC 19 1960 28

PX 92-172

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK:

Will determine the local and long distance telephone calls emanating from the subject's room at the Hotel Waldorf Astoria between October 30 and November 8, 1960.

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA:

Will review the application for [redacted] at the State of California, Department of Insurance, 1182 Market Street.

AT SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA:

Determine the identity and background of the licensees for California licenses NCK 456, NGM 050, and RCV 699.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA:

Will determine the background on [redacted].

AT NOGALES, SONORA, MEXICO:

Will interview [redacted]

and [redacted]

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA:

Will secure background on [redacted] on the Agricultural Investment Company, 120 West Osborn Street.

Will interview Phoenix real estate agents [redacted] [redacted] for information they might have concerning the subject's investments.

PX 92-172

ADMINISTRATIVE

It should be noted that a check of the subject's telephone calls in the past has reflected long distance calls to [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], all of Nogales, Sonora.

IDENTITY OF INFORMANTS

PX T-1 is [REDACTED]
PX T-2 is [REDACTED]
PX T-3 is [REDACTED]
PX T-4 is a check of the long distance calls which have emanated from subject's office in the past at 8 North Tucson Boulevard.
PX T-5 is a highly confidential source.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

C*

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA JOHN B. DUFFY
Date: DEC 8 1960

Office: PHOENIX

Field Office File #: PX 92-172

Bureau File #: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

Arizona Corporation Commission's investigation of the subject's company still pending. Subject on 11/29/60 was in Los Angeles, California, but the purpose of trip unknown. Subject has made business connection with the Agricultural Investment Company of Phoenix and with [redacted] of Tucson. Subject in New York City between 10/31/60 and 11/8/60. Offices at 8 North Tucson Boulevard, Tucson, have been closed. Nothing additional developed as to subject's association with MICKEY COHEN. Further background on [redacted] set out. [redacted] of Nogales, Sonora, is a "go between" with the Governor of Sonora. [redacted] is a Nogales, Sonora attorney, and [redacted] is a reputable Nogales, Sonora businessman. Subject has an interest in a silver mine in the State of Chihuahua, Mexico.

b6
b7C

- P -

DETAILS: AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA:

On December 6, 1960, [redacted] of the Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that the State's investigation of the subject's company was still in a pending status.

On November 29, 1960, PX T-1 advised SA DUFFY that on that date the subject was in Los Angeles, California but that the purpose of his trip was unknown.

PX 92-172

Informant further advised on that date that the subject and CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA had on several occasions in the recent past been in contact with [redacted] of an organization known as the Agricultural Investment Company located at 120 West Osborn, Phoenix, Arizona. Informant stated he was of the opinion that the subject was making some kind of investments into the Agricultural Investment Company which apparently is a concern that loans money at rates of interest higher than banks charge to farmers who need marginal type loans.

Informant stated that [redacted] came to Arizona from the Cleveland, Ohio area and that [redacted] father, either at present or in the past has owned and operated a horse race track in the Cleveland area, and that [redacted] has an uncle who owns a large trucking concern in the Cleveland area, and which uncle has in the past reputed to have acted as a front for loans out of the Teamsters Union funds in the Cleveland area.

b6
b7C

On November 16, 1960, PX T-1 advised that the subject and JOSEPH HOOTNER had registered into the Waldorf Astoria Hotel in New York on October 31, 1960 and to informant's knowledge had remained in that hotel at least through November 5, 1960.

The New York Division on November 8, 1960, advised that the subject checked out of that hotel on November 8, 1960, at which time he was accompanied by one Miss [redacted] of [redacted] Tucson, who had arrived at the hotel on November 6, 1960. The New York Division advised that [redacted] had Room 14B between November 6 and 8, 1960 and the subject occupied Room 14X between October 30 and November 8, 1960.

On October 21, 1960, PX T-2 advised SA [redacted] that the offices utilized by the subject at 8 North Tucson Boulevard had been closed down and the telephone lines had been cut off and that the furniture had been moved out.

b6
b7C

On October 19, 1960, PX T-3, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that the subject, along with JOSEPH HOOTNER came to Phoenix on October 19, 1960, along with a Tucson resident, [redacted] and that while they were in Phoenix they were looking for investments. Informant stated he understood that the money for these investments was probably coming from [redacted] Associates in New York City through the connection HOOTNER has with that organization.

PX 92-172

Informant stated that BONANNO on that date also contacted Phoenix real estate agents [redacted] and [redacted]

On November 2, 1960, the Los Angeles Division advised as follows:

No additional information has been developed which will assist in determining the reason of a contact between [redacted] and BONANNO.

With reference to [redacted], the records of Los Angeles County reflect a fictitious Firm Name Certificate executed May 21, 1960, in the name of Tercan Enterprises, 16317 South New Hampshire Avenue, Gardena. This certificate lists the above firm as engaged in advertising, business and property management, insurance and commercial photography. The firm is composed of only one individual, [redacted] Gardena, California, who was represented by attorneys [redacted], 8811 South Western Avenue, Los Angeles.

The records of the California State Insurance Commissions Branch Office, 909 South Broadway, Los Angeles, as reviewed by SA [redacted] on October 28, 1960, reflected that [redacted] has been issued two licenses to sell insurance by the State of California, numbered A82915 and I82915, authorizing him to engage in the sale of life as well as casualty insurance programs.

This branch office does not retain applications for insurance licenses which are referred to as Forms 411 and 421, but these executed forms are available at the Department of Insurance, State of California, 1182 Market Street, San Francisco 2, California.

The records of the Gardena Police Department, Gardena, California, contain no pertinent information on [redacted] and he is unknown to established sources in that area.

On October 28, 1960, Deputy Sheriff [redacted] [redacted] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, advised SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ that he had observed the following California license plates in front of the home of [redacted] Tucson, it being noted that [redacted] is the fiscal agent for the subject's Tucson Food

PX 92-172

Distributing Company:

California license NCK 456
California license NGM 050
California license RCV 699

PX T-4 on October 12, 1960 and previous dates, advised SAs JOHN B. DUFFY and [redacted] that the subject has been in contact with [redacted] and [redacted], all of Nogales, Sonora, Mexico.

Fernando
On October 12, 1960, Sergeant [redacted] of the Arizona Highway Patrol at Nogales, Arizona, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that [redacted] is a "go between" for Northern Arizona residents who desire to make contact with the Governor of Sonora at Hermosillo. He stated that [redacted] maintains offices at 18 B, Dinamaura.

Mr. [redacted] further advised that [redacted] was a prominent Nogales, Sonora businessman of good reputation and he maintained offices at 19 Maravilla Harusa, Nogales, Sonora. Mexico

Mr. [redacted] further advised that [redacted] was a reputable attorney with offices at Edificio del Estado 15.

Nogales, Sonora, Mexico
On the same date, Customs Agents [redacted] and [redacted] verified the information concerning these individuals as given by Mr. [redacted].

On November 2, 1960, PX T-2 advised SA [redacted] that he understood that most of BONANNO's contacts with other Tucson individuals were being made at the Chicken Carrousel Restaurant on East Speedway in Tucson.

On November 19 and 20, 1960, PX T-5 advised SAs JOHN B. DUFFY and [redacted] that BONANNO along with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JOSEPH HOOTNER, JOHN LOUIS BATTAGLIA, and NICK AVENETTI were interested in a silver mine somewhere in the State of Chihuahua, Mexico.

On November 29, 1960, PX T-1 advised SA DUFFY this mine was located at Penos Altos, Chihuahua and was not yet in operation, but that it was actually a mine, mineral leases of which were in the name of AVENETTI and that a Mexican corporation had been formed by the above named individuals to

PX 92-172

put the mine in operation, but that to date adequate financing had not yet been secured.

F B I

Date: 12/9/60

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.,
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Re Bureau airtel to Phoenix dated 12/6/60.

Substituted pages in FD-302 Form forwarded to the
Bureau on 12/7/60.

REC-39

92-4474-19
6 DEC 12 1960

EX 109

3 - Bureau
1 - PhoenixJBD/skt
(4)

C C - Wick

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

50 DEC 20 1960

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 10/13/60	Investigative Period 8/17-10/10/60
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.		Report made by JOHN B. DUFFY	Typed By: lss
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI-RACKETEERING	

REFERENCE: Report of SA JOHN B. DUFFY dated 8/17/60, at Phoenix.
Phoenix letter to New York dated 9/10/60.

- P -

LEADS

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK

Will determine, if possible, whv [redacted]
Internal Revenue Service, advised [redacted] to look
up WILLIAM S. BONANNO upon [redacted] arrival in Tucson.

AT FOREST HILLS, NEW YORK

Will secure background on [redacted]

Approved Copies made:	Special Agent In Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
③ - Bureau (92-4494) 2 - New York (92-1586) 3 - Phoenix (92-172) let. to Rq 11/10/60 copy sent to Crim Div Dep 0-6-D 11/11/60 copy in 4710 62 DEC 20 1960		92-4494-20	REC-47 EX-131
		16 OCT 17 1960	

CRIM. DIV.
STAT. SECT.

PX 92-172

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA

Will interview [REDACTED] for any information concerning subject's investments.

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will recontact [REDACTED] Arizona Corporation Commission, for the results of the State of Arizona investigation concerning subject's activities. b6 b7C

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

Information at the Bank of Commerce Newark, New Jersey, was received from [REDACTED] Executive Assistant of that bank.

IDENTITY OF INFORMANTS

PX T-1 is [REDACTED]

PX T-2 is PCI [REDACTED]

PX T-3 is [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

- B* -

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA JOHN B. DUFFY
Date: 10/13/60

Office: PHOENIX

Field Office File No.: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis:

No disbursements to subject by Airport Motel; however, on 6/10/60, a \$3,000 check was made payable to subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, on which payment was later stopped by ANTHONY RIELA. JOHN BATTAGLIA and [redacted] described the August 4th meeting at Tucson as a meeting to discuss real estate deals. Subject has been counseling recently with his father's attorney, [redacted] concerning subject's public utterances. No record [redacted] Los Angeles PD or SO. Background on [redacted] [redacted] set out. Subject in contact with Los Angeles bookmaker [redacted]. First Insurance Agency of Arizona now has offices at 8 North Tucson Boulevard, Tucson and will undergo investigation by the State of Arizona. [redacted] now manager of Tucson Food Distributors Company. [redacted] formerly of Forest Hills, New York, now associating with subject at Tucson.

b6
b7C

- P -

DETAILS:

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 7/25/60

Records of the Bank of Commerce, Lyons Avenue and Bergen Street, Newark, New Jersey, reflected that a check in the amount of \$3,000.00 dated June 10, 1960, payable to JOSEPH BONANNO, was drawn on the account of the Airport Motel by ANTHONY P. RIELA. This check was numbered 5383, had been endorsed by BONANNO, and when it was sent through for payment, payment was stopped by RIELA on June 28, 1960. The check was then returned by the bank on July 13, 1960.

The above information can only be produced upon issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted] Executive Assistant, Bank of Commerce, Newark, New Jersey.

b6
b7C

On 7/14/60 at Newark, New Jersey File # NK 92-778
PX 92-172
by SA [redacted] /jtm Date dictated 7/19/60

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

On August 8, 1960, Sergeant [redacted] Tucson Police Department, advised SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ that his department had received a communication from the Los Angeles Police Department, which department had interviewed JOHN BATTAGLIA and [redacted] upon their arrival at the Los Angeles Airport, August 4, 1960.

[redacted] stated that BATTAGLIA and [redacted] told the Los Angeles Police that the reason for the meeting at Tucson that day was to discuss real estate investments and that present at the meeting in addition to individuals mentioned in referenced report of SA DUFFY, were [redacted] a Tucson real estate broker, and [redacted] a real estate investor, 18 East Alameda Street, Tucson.

b6
b7C

On August 17, 1960, PX T-1 advised SA DUFFY that the meeting at the Tideland Hotel was for discussing ways and means of raising money to engage in speculative land buying in Arizona.

PX T-1 further advised that nothing would come of this meeting because none of these individuals can get together with any of the other ones and that all of them are coming to dislike BILL BONANNO because of his "big shot" attitude. Informant further advised that JOSEPH BONANNO is keeping a close watch on the money that BILL BONANNO is spending these days.

On August 10, 1960, PX T-2 advised SA NISCHWITZ that in the past few days, BILL BONANNO had been signing many legal documents in the office of his father's attorney, [redacted] and that during the same period, [redacted] had been lecturing subject, apparently at the request of subject's father, as to the legal implications of some of the public utterances that subject had been making and in fact was encouraging subject to talk less frequently in public concerning the Italian people.

b6
b7C

On August 25, 1960, the Los Angeles Division advised as follows:

[redacted] Rialto, California, was interviewed by SA [redacted] on June 10, 1960, and advised that he was the driver of the cab which picked up a passenger at the Beverly Hilton Hotel from Room 315 on the morning of June 4, 1960. [redacted] recalled his passenger was a young female, approximately 30 years of age, 5'3" tall, 113

PX 92-172

pounds, medium build, brunette hair, curly, worn shoulder length, very well dressed and very attractive. [] further recalled that this passenger asked him what the cost to the intersection of Arch Drive and Ventura Boulevard in Studio City and when told it would be \$3.50 to \$4.00, she said "they gave me \$10.00 and it's your's to get me to my car as fast as you can." [] recalled that this passenger was not familiar with the area of her destination and stated that she lived near Beverly Boulevard and Western Avenue in Los Angeles. [] drove her to the requested intersection where the passenger had apparently parked her personal car, described as a blue and white Ford, 1957 or 1959 model and he could furnish no further information, although it was [] impression that she may have been a prostitute.

b6
b7C

The records of the Los Angeles Police Department and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office as reviewed by SE [] on June 15, 1960, were found to contain no record of [] who was identified as a date which BONANNO had June 3, 1960. *C.D.P.*

C. APPROV

The records of the County License Bureau, Los Angeles County, California, reviewed by SE [] on June 16, 1960, contained no record of the Ter-Can Enterprises.

The records of Dun and Bradstreet caused to be searched by SE [] on June 16, 1960, contained no record of the Ter-Can Enterprises.

E. APPROV

The records of the Retail Merchants Credit Association of Los Angeles, were caused to be reviewed by SE [] on June 20, 1960, and reflected that [] has been in their files since 1951 and who in February, 1959, was [] years of age, described as native born, Selective Service Number [] who formerly resided at [] Los Angeles, California and in February, 1959, was residing at [] in Gardena, California, having resided there for approximately one year.

b6
b7C

With reference to employment, [] formerly was associated with [] Brothers, 8810 Western Avenue, Los Angeles for four years and subsequently with [] Brothers, Salu & Malone, Inc., 8811 South Western Avenue, Los Angeles, California where he occupied the position of [] No additional information was noted at this time which would reflect the reason of BONANNO's or SCRIVANO's contacts with him.

PX 92-172

With reference to telephone calls made from BONANNO and SCRIVANO at the Beverly Hilton Hotel, the following information has been developed:

GL 4-2225 is listed to [redacted]
[redacted] Pacific Palisades, California;

GR 4-1863 is listed to [redacted]
[redacted] West Los Angeles, California

The records of the City License Bureau of Los Angeles, as checked by SE [redacted] on June 13, 1960, contained no record of Rudy's Custom Top Shop, 5776 Melrose, Los Angeles.

The records of the Los Angeles Water and Power Company, as reviewed by SE [redacted] reflect that Rudy's Custom Top Shop has had service at 5776 Melrose since November 30, 1953, and the service was signed for by one [redacted] who listed a credit reference as the Bank of America, 8th and Vermont and his home address as [redacted], Montebello, California.

On July 13, 1960, SAs WILLIAM JOHN NOLAN and [redacted] proceeded to the International Airport, Los Angeles and observed BONANNO alight from TWA Flight 305 at 10:33 p.m. Shortly after arrival, he approached a car parked in front of the airport at the curb and spoke with a female sitting in the car and subsequently departed in this car described as a late model, four door Cadillac, sedan, bearing California license UAB 000, with an unknown man driving and the above female and BONANNO as passengers. The Cadillac proceeded to the Caribbean Restaurant, 5249 West Century Boulevard where all occupants entered. The above vehicle is registered, according to the records of the Department of Motor Vehicles, to [redacted] Hollywood. *Call*

The records of the Los Angeles Office reflect that [redacted] Los Angeles, was arrested by the Los Angeles Police Department on December 7, 1951, on a charge of bookmaking and was indicted by the Federal Grand Jury for violating the wagering tax laws and found guilty on July 7, 1952, receiving three years probation.

The Street Address Telephone Directory lists the following telephones at 4380 Lankershim:

Tele-Sound Service, PO 3-4756, TR 7-7681, ST 6-3956.

PX 92-172

The records of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office reflect that [redacted], California CIT [redacted] FBI [redacted], described as born in Michigan in [redacted] brown hair, blue eyes, 5' 2", was arrested in 1949 and 1951, a total of five arrests, on suspicion of bookmaking and on January 23, 1952 received a sentence of two years probation and a fine of \$250.00. On December 21, 1959, [redacted] was arrested for petty theft, Los Angeles Police Department Number 1386926 and was fined \$50.00 on March 1, 1960.

b6
b7C

Records of the Los Angeles Police Department further reflect that [redacted] was employed as a salesman by Senah Company, 3301 Beverly Boulevard for some time and lived at his father-in-law's and [redacted] who lived on [redacted] Street, Los Angeles, and in [redacted] North Hollywood at which time he was self-employed in the radio and television field and listed his date of birth as [redacted] in Michigan.

On August 25, 1960, PX T-3 advised SA NISCHWITZ that as of that date the First Insurance Agency of Arizona had moved to new offices on the second floor at 8 North Tucson Boulevard, Tucson, Arizona.

The following investigation was conducted by SA GEORGE C. BRIGHT at Flagstaff, Arizona:

Efforts to locate [redacted], Insurance Agent, [redacted], Flagstaff, on July 22, 1960, proved unproductive. It was determined through [redacted], Secretary, that [redacted] had gone to Phoenix on business.

On September 12, 1960, [redacted] was contacted and he advised that he was unable to furnish any additional information regarding investments on the part of subject than previously reported. He pointed out that one [redacted], Wholesale Distributor for Texaco Oil Company, Flagstaff, Arizona may possibly be able to furnish information regarding subject's investments, as he was acquainted with subject at time he was doing business in Flagstaff.

b6
b7C

The following investigation was conducted by SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ.

On September 6, 1960, Captain [redacted], Pima County Sheriff's Office, Civil Division, contacted SA NISCHWITZ and advised that the Civil Division's docket contained information reflecting that the Tucson Food Company belonging to the subject

PX 92-172

had been the subject of a court judgement case against it for \$3,154.45. A review of the Civil Division's docket indicated that on August 29, 1960, the McGarvey-Atwood Coffee Company had sued the Tucson Food Distributors Company, Case #62897, for non-payment. Captain [] stated that according to the current ledger this amount of money was awarded to the coffee company and the Tucson Food Distributors Company was ordered to pay. He stated further that in view of the fact that he had not received an execution order, he assumed that the judgment against the Tucson Food Distributors Company had been paid and settled. b6 b7C

Captain [] pointed out that there was also pending in the docket three other cases wherein plaintiff complained that the Tucson Food Distributors Company had failed to make certain payments. The docket reflected in the Superior Court the following cases and complaints were pending:

Case Number 64412 showed that the Foster Company, Inc. filed a complaint against the Tucson Food Distributors Company and was being represented by the legal firm of [] and [] of Tucson.

Case Number 64376, Coast Maid Paper Products Company vs. Tucson Food Distributors Company, represented by the [] and [] legal firm.

Case Number 64331, Sweetheart Cup Corporation vs. the Tucson Food Distributors Company, also represented by [] and []

It is noted that Wolfson and Brooks represent the plaintiffs in the above actions and each time that services made of papers to the Tucson Food Distributors Company, it reflects that [] is the manager of the Tucson Food Distributors Company.

Captain [] stated that in the event he ever receives an execution order to confiscate some of the materials belonging to the Tucson Food Distributors Company, he would immediately notify the FBI of this fact. b6 b7C b7D

On September 20, 1960, PX T-3 advised SA [] that one [], formerly of Forest Hills, New York, had arrived in Tucson during the recent months and that he was presently free on bond in Tucson on a local check charge.

PX 92-172

Local bondsman reported to have received money for cash bond in most recent case from JOSEPH BONANNO, aka. [] told Tucson local authorities that he is related by marriage to an Internal Revenue man in New York, one [] (phonetic) and that the latter advised him when leaving New York for Tucson to look up WILLIAM S. BONANNO on arriving Tucson and BONANNO could help him get lined up with a job.

Most recent arrangements made by BONANNO for [] according to information given by [] to local officers is that BONANNO owns some lots on East Speedway in Tucson on which he plans to build a store building in which he plans to open a florist shop and have CAPA operate this establishment.

b6
b7C
b7D

[] presently employed by []
[] Telephone []
[] which company handles window displays - advertising, display materials - background paper, artificial plastic plants and flowers.

On October 7, 1960, [] Director of Securities Division, Arizona Corporation Commission, advised SA JOHN B. DUFFY that his organization had no background on the corporation known as the First Insurance Agency of Arizona. He pointed out that there had been no occasion to investigate this organization from a standpoint of fact to date but that he had planned on immediately undertaking an investigation of the corporation within his jurisdiction.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI (Bufile-92-4494)

DATE: 1/26/61

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

Attention: Investigative Division

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING

☐ Domestic Intelligence Division

☒ Investigative Division

Name: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Address: 875 Cresta Loma, Tucson, Arizona

Type of Mail: First class and parcel post

Type of Cover: Return address only


Period Covered: 30 days subsequent to date of approval

Purpose of Cover: To determine subject's activities and connections

Justification: (Comment on necessity, desirability, productivity, possibility of embarrassment to Bureau.
If necessary continue on extra page.)

BONANNO is the leader of the hoodlum group in Tucson, Arizona, known as the BONANNO-BATTAGLIA group and it is imperative that all of his connections and contacts be known if the Bureau is to properly carry out its activities in the Criminal Intelligence field.

Approved 2/1/61



Subject to your assurance that
no possibility of embarrassment
to the Bureau exists.

If authorized: 57 FEB 3 1961
☒ FD-115 will be directed to Postmaster

Tucson, Arizona

☐ FD-115a will be directed to Postal Inspector: (name)

2 - Bureau
JBD/mdc

MAIL ROOM ☐

(name)

(address)

(name)

(address)

NOT RECORDED

10 JAN 30 1961

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 1/26/61	Investigative Period 12/5/60 - 1/22/61
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka		Report made by SA JOHN B. DUFFY	Typed By: MDC
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI-RACKETEERING	

Synopsis:

REFERENCES

Reports of SA JOHN B. DUFFY, dated 12/8/60 and 10/13/60, at Phoenix.

-P-

LEADS

THE NEW YORK DIVISION

AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK

*Will continue efforts to identify [redacted] allegedly an Internal Revenue employee and possible associate of the subject.

b6
b7C

Approved <i>C. J. Burke</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: <i>1 cc destroyed 9/28/62</i> ③ - Bureau (92-4494) 2 - New York (92-1586) 2 - Newark (92-778) 2 - San Francisco (92-340) 3 - Phoenix (92-172) <i>Copy sent to Crim Div Dept 0-6-7</i>		92-4494-21	REC-46
		JAN 30 1961	

2/13/61

Copy in 4710-30
51 FEB 14 1961

PX 92-172

THE NEWARK DIVISION

AT NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

Will identify the subscriber and background through New Jersey telephone number DEL 2-3144.

THE SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Will contact Mr. [REDACTED] concerning confidential information in his files about [REDACTED].

THE PHOENIX DIVISION

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA

1. Will determine background on [REDACTED].
2. Will determine subject's connection with the address 115 West Thurber Street.
3. Will determine the identity of Tucson phone subscriber East 6-2494.

b6
b7C
b7D

IDENTITY OF INFORMANTS

PX T-1 is [REDACTED] Internal Revenue Service.

PX T-2 is PCI [REDACTED]

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:
Date:SA JOHN B. DUFFY
1/26/61

Office: PHOENIX

Field Office File No.:

PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character:

ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject reported to be gambling at the Rancho Diablo near Tucson, Arizona, and is also reported to have operated a football pool on the University of Arizona campus in the fall of 1960. [redacted] now occupying home near that of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, JR. Subject refuses to talk concerning any knowledge of [redacted] and [redacted] being sought on UFAP - Murder charges. Subject's associate, TONY SCRIVANO, also refuses to discuss the case. Tucson Food Distributors, Inc. owes \$1700 to their New York insurance agent. Hotel bill of subject and [redacted] in New York paid for by JOSEPH HOOTNER. Subject reported to have invested in the Chicken Carousel Restaurant that is now out of business. Background on relative [redacted] and [redacted] set out. [redacted] a real estate broker, has unsuccessfully attempted to sell land to the subject. Subject is suspect in the assault of [redacted], a Tucson hotel manager.

b6
b7c

-P-

PX 92-172

DETAILS:

On November 28, 1960, Captain [REDACTED] Pima County Sheriff's Office, Tucson, Arizona, advised SA ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ that he had determined that intermittent gambling was being conducted at the Rancho Diablo, west of Tucson, and that the subject, among others, had been noted from time to time as having entered this place. [REDACTED] stated that he had particularly seen subject in company with [REDACTED], TONY SCRIVANO, and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that in checking background of the last three individuals, he determined that they had Arizona State Real Estate licenses and that all of them gave their address as 115 West Thurber Street, Tucson. AD 12

b6
b7C

On December 8, 1960, PX T-1 advised SA [REDACTED] that he had received information that the subject was reported to have been operating a football pool on the campus of the University of Arizona during the 1960 football season.

By observation on December 14, 1960, SAs [REDACTED] and ROBERT T. NISCHWITZ determined that subject's mistress, [REDACTED], had moved from her apartment at [REDACTED] to a house at [REDACTED], which house is in the immediate vicinity of the home of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA.

On January 10, 1961, subject was interviewed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY in an attempt to determine what information he might have concerning either the whereabouts or background of [REDACTED] who are being sought by the Phoenix Division on charges of unlawful flight to avoid prosecution - murder, robbery and assault. The only answer that BONANNO would give when questioned about these individuals was "see my lawyer." He was also asked concerning the whereabouts of his associate TONY SCRIVANO and he replied that as far as he knew, TONY was at home.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

On January 20, 1961, Detective [redacted] of the Tucson Police Department, advised SA [redacted] on the previous evening, that he had determined that SCRIVANO had returned to his home and that Tucson detectives had attempted to question SCRIVANO concerning [redacted] and [redacted] and that he refused to discuss the matter.

On January 29, 1961, the New York Division advised as follows:

Mr. [redacted] owner, [redacted] and Sons Insurance, 111 John Street, New York City, advised SA [redacted] on November 5, 1960, that his insurance company formerly carried the insurance for the Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., 270 North Church Street, Tucson, Arizona, however, on September 1, 1960, all policies were cancelled. Mr. [redacted] stated that WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO was recommended to him by his friend and lawyer, Mr. [redacted].

He advised that he has never met any of the BONANNO family but knows of JOSEPH BONANNO as being a participant in the Apalachian Meeting which was held in Upstate New York.

Mr. [redacted] stated that Tucson Food Distributors, Inc., had three policies through his company with Lloyds of London. The first policy was a fire policy which began on April 21, 1960 and expired on September 1, 1960, for \$40,000.00. This policy had an earned premium of \$294.76. The second policy was for comprehensive liability which commenced on April 4, 1960, expiring September 1, 1960, with an earned premium of \$82.59. The third policy, according to [redacted], was a safeguard burglary policy which commenced on April 5, 1960 and expired on September 1, 1960, with an earned premium of \$35.42.

Mr. [redacted] stated that to date, he has not received any payment for the above earned premiums and estimated that BONANNO owes him approximately \$1700.00.

PX 92-172

Mr. [REDACTED], American Express Company, 46 Trinity Place, New York City, made available information to SA [REDACTED] on November 25, 1960, regarding subject's credit card number 002-024-365-3.

Mr. [REDACTED], Assistant Manager, Waldorf Astoria Hotel, 49th Street and Park Avenue, New York City, advised SA [REDACTED] on November 8, 1960, that the subject registered at that hotel on October 30, 1960 and departed on November 8, 1960 at approximately 7:30 AM.

b6
b7C

Mr. [REDACTED] stated that an individual identified as Miss [REDACTED] arrived at the hotel on November 6, 1960 and was given Room 14B, which is a connecting room to 14X, in which the subject was living.

He stated that BONANNO gave the address of P. O. Box 1424, Tucson, Arizona, and [REDACTED] gave the address of 930 North 7th Avenue, Tucson, Arizona.

Mr. [REDACTED] stated that the subject and [REDACTED] hotel bill was paid by one JOSEPH H. HOOTNER on a Carte Blanche credit card 947-918-950-9.

Mrs. [REDACTED], Credit Department, Waldorf Astoria Hotel, advised SA [REDACTED] on December 1, 1960, that WILLIAM S. BONANNO in Room 14X made the following long distance telephone calls:

b6
b7C

<u>Date</u>	<u>Number Called</u>
10/30/60	Tucson, Arizona MA 3-1194
10/30/60	Del New Jersey 2-3144
11/4/60	MA 3-1194
11/7/60	Tucson, Arizona EA 6-2494 (to a [REDACTED])

On December 30, 1960, PX T-2 advised SA [REDACTED] that he had determined that several months ago, BONANNO

PX 92-172

had put money into the restaurant known as the Chicken Carousel, which was headed by [REDACTED], but that this venture had folded and the restaurant closed.

On December 29, 1960, the San Francisco Division furnished the following information:

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED]:

b6
b7C

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Mr. [REDACTED] Assistant Chief, License Division, State of California, Department of Insurance, 1182 Market Street, made available the license card record for [REDACTED].

The license record card for fire and casualty general insurance license No. 82915, revealed that [REDACTED] was given a license on February 4, 1952, which he held as an individual agent until February 25, 1952, on which date it was canceled.

b6
b7C

On February 25, 1952, he was given a license to sell general insurance with the firm of [REDACTED] of Los Angeles, up to November 10, 1952, when the card was marked dissolved. This license was then canceled. The card further reflects that on October 5, 1953, [REDACTED] was given a license to sell general insurance as an individual insurance agent and this license was canceled on April 9, 1958. The card reflects that on January 4, 1960, he was deleted from this firm's license, and on January 5, 1960, he was issued another license as an individual insurance salesman. This license is presently in effect.

The card reflected that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were members of the [REDACTED] Brothers. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were the other members of the firm, for which [REDACTED] formerly worked.

b6
b7C

The life insurance license, which bears the same number 82915, was originally issued July 27, 1954, to [REDACTED] as an individual insurance agent and was in effect to September 15, 1958, when it was canceled.

PX 92-172

On May 28, 1958, [] was granted a license in connection with the above named firm and he was connected with this firm until July 26, 1960, under the provisions of the life insurance license.

On July 27, 1960, he was issued a life insurance license as an individual agent and his license is in effect at the present time. His office address was given as 8811 South Weslin Avenue, Los Angeles.

Mr. [] advised that Forms 411 and 421 are forms executed by the insurance company to the State of California, requesting that certain individuals be granted licenses to sell insurance for them as a representative of the insurance company.

Form 411-1 refers to [] applicant file for the Occidental Life Insurance Company of California. Form 421-1 refers to the application for [] submitted by the New York Life Insurance Company in October, 1960.

Information contained in these applications, as well as any information contained in the application submitted by [] for his insurance license is strictly confidential and any information appearing therein can not be released unless so approved by the Commissioner of the Department of Insurance for the State of California.

Mr. [] advised that the card records for [] contained no information concerning the firm by the name of Tergan Enterprises. He stated that the cards which contain very little detailed history of the individual, reflected that on August 25, 1954, a stop was placed against the card indicating that some sort of inquiry was being conducted by the insurance department. The card was further marked that he should be taken off of the stop notice list on October 24, 1954.

He stated that it was indicated that a record may have been received from CII in Sacramento, and that an

PX 92-172

inquiry was made by the supervising inspector, [redacted] at Los Angeles.

The card reflects that no disciplinary action was taken and [redacted] was cleared for securing insurance licenses in the State of California.

b6
b7C

On January 11, 1961, the Cleveland Division advised that [redacted] comes from a well known Cleveland area family and that other than the fact that he is a "chaser of women," he is never known to be in any kind of criminal trouble. Records of the Cleveland Police Department were negative concerning him.

On January 18, 1961, [redacted] was interviewed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY at his Phoenix home, [redacted]. On this occasion, [redacted] identified himself as a real estate broker. He stated he knew the subject and had, on several occasions, discussed possible investments with BONANNO, but that BONANNO, always acting interested in real estate, had never become definite at all in discussing any land that [redacted] had to sell. [redacted] stated that he felt that the subject had "a big shot complex" and really knew very little about the investment business, but liked to impress people.

b6
b7C

On November 28, 1960, Deputy Sheriff [redacted] of the Pima County Sheriff's Office at Tucson, advised SA [redacted] that on that date, [redacted], Manager of the Tideland Motel in Tucson, had been jumped by two assailants early that morning when he got out of his car near his home. [redacted] beat the assailants off and followed them when they took off in their car. He gave chase but gave up the chase when they started shooting back at him. [redacted] states that [redacted] is unable to identify his assailants. [redacted] further stated, however, that he considered BONANNO a suspect in this since [redacted] had just recently fired [redacted] from her job as a cocktail waitress at the Tideland Motel.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Phoenix, Arizona
January 26, 1961

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Reference

Report of SA JOHN B. DUFFY, dated 1/26/61, at Phoenix.

All sources (except any listed below) used in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

F B I

Date: 2/1/61

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM S. BONANNO, aka
ARBONANNO, WILLIAM SALVATORE
RE: [REDACTED] ASSOCIATES

It should be noted that information received in the past from Phoenix informants [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] indicated the possibility that [REDACTED] ASSOCIATES is a mortgage loan outfit handling "hoodlum" money. It should be noted also that [REDACTED] was in Tucson 12/6/60 to 12/9/60, leaving the latter date by American Airlines jet flight 66 for New York. His hotel bill while in Tucson at the Desert Inn was charged to the account of "BILL" BONANNO.

For the information of New York, the following information was obtained 1/30/61 from an informant in Tucson, whose existence must not be divulged outside this Bureau, and information from this informant must be handled in such a manner that it cannot be traced to this source.

[REDACTED] furnished information re subject BONANNO placing a person-to-person telephone call at 2:24 PM from Tucson to "JOE" at New York telephone number Judson 6-6272. Just prior to placing this call, BONANNO tried to call person-to-person to "JOHN" and told whoever answered to leave word for "JOHN" that his brother was calling. The number given

- 3 - Bureau (92-4494)
- 2 - Los Angeles (92-685)
- 2 - New York (92-1586)
- 5 - Phoenix
 - (1 - 92-152)
 - (1 - 92-170)
 - (1 - 92-170-B)

KFJ
(12)

FEB 14 1961

Wick

MCT 46
EST-X3
REC-5092-4494-22
13 FEB 3 1961Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

PX 92-172

given the operator in placing the call was not clear enough for informant to get but sounded like a number which had been called many times in the past from Tucson to talk with JOHN BATTAGLIA, aka JOHN BENNETT, brother of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA.

Subject BONANNO, in talking with the "JOE" at above New York telephone number, said he had returned from the coast Saturday, (1/28/61) and had talked with "JOHN" on the coast on Friday, (1/27/61). BONANNO talked about "JOHN" having talked to the "people" on the coast and the latter was going to bring in some finance outfit. He also mentioned the name "Security First National" in connection with his conversation about some "deal" on the coast. BONANNO also asked "JOE" if he could put off his trip "out here" until next week because BONANNO said he had more or less been committed to return to the coast this week but if "JOE" could put off his trip till the week of 2/5/61 then BONANNO would also wait till then so they could meet. BONANNO also said he had another man in California who wants "two million" and apparently in answer to a question from "JOE" BONANNO said "yes they are industrial and involves rebuilding". BONANNO told "JOE" to leave "next week" open in his plans and maybe they can meet someplace and also make a party out of it. He also said "yes bring her along".

BONANNO continued by saying, "yes, [] sent me the thing for the Desert Inn but it wasn't complete". "It included copies of the lease between [] and [] but it is important too that I get copies of the financial statements of [] and [] (both phonetic)". BONANNO said, [] sent in a big brown envelope but did he put scotch tape on it"? Then he said, "OK I was just checking". BONANNO also said that [] had sent him a "package" but that all BONANNO got was the appraisal and he needs the other papers.

b6
b7C

It should be noted that [] is undoubtedly [] who is in the business with []. The names [] and [] and the DESERT INN "deal" refer to [] of the New Desert Inn Hotel in Tucson and the "deal" refers to attempts on the part of [] to get the place refinanced.

U.S.A.

AKIZ

PX 92-172

The information that they have been trying to get the operation refinanced has been received previously from [redacted]

b2
b7D

It was also apparent from BONANNO's conversation that he was being very careful in what he said. At one point his conversation sounded as if "JOE" must have asked him something about whether his telephone was "tapped" because BONANNO said no they just got new phones and everything was OK.

At another point in BONANNO's conversation he told "JOE" he had arranged to have the tickets sent to "JOE" from "out there". The general conversation sounded as if BONANNO has been trying to make some deals for financing but they are of such a nature that it will be "necessary for 'JOE'" to come out and look them over.

For the additional information of the Bureau and New York, SA [redacted] ascertained on 1/27/61 from a confidential source in one of the banks in Tucson that BONANNO and [redacted] are jointly involved in a large loan application made to that bank, the details of which the bank is compiling for SA [redacted]

Los Angeles, at American Airlines, will discreetly endeavor to determine if BONANNO purchased airline tickets during week of 1/22/61 for a round trip from New York to Los Angeles for use of [redacted]. Also check for travel of BONANNO from Los Angeles to Tucson on 1/28/61. Inasmuch as he has used this airline mostly in the past, no leads are set out to contact any other airline offices.

b6
b7C

New York will make further inquiries as to the operations of [redacted] ASSOCIATES inasmuch as the above information indicates a definite connection with subject BONANNO. If possible, New York will try to develop a source to furnish information re [redacted] travels to the Southwest and west coast so investigative efforts to keep up on subject BONANNO's travels can be coordinated with information re travel of associates.

94146

2-10-61

Airtel

To: SACs Phoenix (92-172)
New York (92-1586)
Los Angeles (92-685)

From: Director, FBI (92-4494)

WILLIAM S. BONANNO
AR

Reurtele 2-6-61 and LA Tel 2-10-61 captioned "Criminal Intelligence Program," involving a meeting in Los Angeles and the affairs of [redacted] Associates, et al.

As this investigative phase arises from the activities of William S. Bonanno, future communications should bear the Bonanno caption. This is desirable in order to have a more specific file as an aid to supervision and coordination of the investigation.

CLG:ma
(9)

EX 10

REC-20

92-4494-23

19 FEB 13 1961

MAILED 5
FEB 10 1961
COMM-FBI

59 FEB 15 1961

Olson _____
Mohr _____
Arsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Gram _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 10 1961

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Parsons _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. DeLoach _____
Mr. Malone _____
Mr. McGuire _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Trotter _____
Mr. Evans _____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Ingram _____
Miss Gandy _____

URGENT 2-10-61 1-08 PM JLW

TO DIRECTOR 7 92-4494 AND SACS LOS ANGELES 92-791 AND PHOENIX 92-15

FROM SAC NEW YORK 92-1586 2P

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO AKA, AR. RE LOS ANGELES TEL FEB. TEN,
SIXTYONE, CAPTIONED "CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM, AR". DUN AND

BRADSTREET RECORDS, NYC, REFLECT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] STARTED AS PARTNERS THE [REDACTED] ASSOCIATES, [REDACTED] FIFTH
AVENUE, NYC, IN SEPTEMBER, FIFTYNINE. THIS ORGANIZATION ARRANGES FOR
MORTGAGE FINANCING AND ALSO ACTS AS INSURANCE BROKERS, INCOME IS
DERIVED ON BOTH COMMISSION AND RETAINER BASIS. ACCORDING TO SOURCE,

[REDACTED] IS MARRIED AND NATIVE BORN. FORMER EMPLOYMENT BERGLAND -
CORKLAND MORTGAGE BROKERS., INTER-COUNTY TITLE COMPANY., TITLE

INSURANCE., VIRGINIA LAWYERS TITLE CO. AND SECURITY TITLE CO. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ADVISED SOURCE THAT THROUGH HIS CONTACTS THE PARTNERSHIP HAD
BEEN RECEIVING REQUESTS FOR MORTGAGE FINANCING, AND THE PARTNERSHIP,
ACTING AS BROKERS, HAD BEEN ARRANGING THIS FINANCING THROUGH
INSTALLATIONAL AND PROFIT INVESTORS. NY INDICES REFLECT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] INTERVIEWED BY SAS OF NYO RE CASE ENTITLED "CRASH
NATIONAL AIRLINES, DC SIX B, BOLIVIA, NC., JANUARY SIX, NINETEEN
SIXTY, DAMV" [REDACTED] ADVISED THAT ALL OF HIS DEALINGS WITH [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WHO WAS A PRIME SUSPECT IN DESTRUCTION OF THE AIRCRAFT, IN-

END PAGE ONE

59 FEB 15 1961

EX 10

CRM 1/10/61

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PAGE TWO

VOLVED REAL ESTATE MORTGAGE LOANS WHERE [] OFFERED TO OBTAIN
FINANCIAL BACKINGS. [] STATED IN ADDITION TO REAL ESTATE DEALS
WITH [] HE ALSO MET [] AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS IN NICARAGUA
JANUARY THIRTEEN, FIFTY EIGHT, IN AN EFFORT TO NEGOTIATE A TWENTY
FIVE MILLION DOLLAR LOAN THAT THE COUNTRY HAD REQUESTED. LOAN WAS
NEVER CONSUMMATED. CREDIT, CRIMINAL NY NEGATIVE. [] RESIDES []
[] GARDEN CITY, LONG ISLAND, NY, TELEPHONE NUMBER
[]

b6
b7C

END

NY R 7 WA RA M

2/16/61

Airtel

TO: SAC, Los Angeles
FROM: Director, FBI
WILLIAM S. BONANNO
AR

Reurtel 2/13/61.

You should continue your efforts to identify individuals in contact with subject and his associates while subject has been in your area the past several days. Attempt to determine actual purpose of his visit to Los Angeles. Furnish Bureau summary airtel of results your investigation to date. You should keep in mind recommendation for establishment of highly confidential sources when gatherings of this nature can be anticipated. Hotels, travel lodges, etc., should not be overlooked in considering this technique.

1 - Phoenix

TRD:rap
(5)

Tolson _____
Parsons _____
Mohr _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 19
FEB 16 1961
COMM-FBI

REC-43
EX-114

92-4494-25

19 FEB 16 1961

62 FEB 21 1961

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 14 1961

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Ingram	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

URGENT 2/14/61 12-05 PM TDM

TO DIRECTOR 3 J FBI /92-4494/, SACS, LOS ANGELES /92-791/,
AND PHOENIX /92-15/

FROM SAC, NEW YORK /92-1586/

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO AKA, AR. RELATEL, FEB. ELEVEN LAST, CAPTIONED
CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM, AR. PRETEXT INQUIRY AT RESIDENCE, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], [REDACTED], GARDEN CITY, NY, AND [REDACTED]
ASSOCIATES, [REDACTED] FIFTH AVE., NYC, REFLECTED [REDACTED] PRESENTLY
ON BUSINESS TRIP TO WEST COAST, AND DATE OF RETURN TO NYC UNKNOWN. FEB 17 1961

END

NY 52 FEB 23 1961 HOWD FOR TWO PLS

EX-113

CRIM INTEL

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 13 1961

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Ingram	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

URGENT 2-13-61

8-13PM KS

TO DIRECTOR, FBI 92-9494 SAC, PHOENIX 92-172
FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES 92-685 1P

WILLIAM S. BONANNO, AR. RMYTEL TO BUREAU TWO ELEVEN LAST

AND TO PHOENIX TWO TWELVE LAST, BOTH BEING CAPTIONED QUOTE
CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM, AR UNQUOTE. LA SOURCES ADVISE
BONANNO AND TWO OTHER INDIVIDUALS REGISTERED TRAVEL LODGE
MOTEL ON TWO EIGHT LAST TO STAY THRU TWO TWELVE LAST. BONANNO
DEPARTED TWO ELEVEN LAST. JOHN BATTAGLIA AND [] OBSERVED
AT PEJON THIS DATE. LANDLORD OF PROPERTY AT PEJON LOCATION
CONTACTED AND ADVISED PROPERTY RENTED TO PEJON IN LATE NOVEMBER
OR DECEMBER ON THREE YEAR LEASE, AND IS OBTAINING PAPERS
REFLECTING TRANSACTION, TO BE AVAILABLE TWO FOURTEEN NEXT.
MORE OUT OF STATE UNITS OBSERVED THIS DATE AS WELL AS NEW CALIF
LICENSES AND LEADS BEING SET OUT BY AIRTEL FOR DETERMINATION
OF OWNERS. BONANNO POSSESSES TRAVEL LODGE CREDIT CARD
ONE SEVEN THREE FOUR FOUR AND PHONE NUMBERS CONTACTED FROM
BONANNO-S ROOM BEING CHECKED. INVESTIGATION CONTINUING.
CORRECT LINE 2 WORD 3 SHD BE PHOENIX

PX OK FBI PX JD

END AND ACK PPS
TU DISC 52 FEB 23 1961

WA 11-17 PM OK FBI WA JHA

EX-113

REC-55

FEB 17 1961

CRIME INDEX

11-Stopfile

b6
b7c

OFFICE MEMORANDUM - UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

To: Director, FBI

Date: 2/18/61

From: SAC, Salt Lake City (92-379)

Subject: *82* *89* *Q* WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Bufile # -----

Due to the opening of the Las Vegas Office, the following changes in the above case have been made:

0.11
A. Location of File

- (XX) Entire file sent to Las Vegas herewith.
() File sent to Las Vegas, except one copy of the following serials.
() File retained in Salt Lake City but one copy of following serials sent to Las Vegas.

Report of SA _____
Dated _____ at _____

Report of SA _____
Dated _____ at _____

Report of SA _____
Dated _____ at _____

Report of SA _____
Dated _____ at _____

B. Office of Origin

- () Salt Lake City
() Las Vegas
(XX) Other Office as shown in file PX

C. Status, SU Office

- () Pending
(XX) RUC

- 1* - Bureau
1 - Las Vegas (Encl.s 1 (92-215)
1 - Salt Lake City (66-1671)
1 - Phoenix (92-172)
1 - _____
1 - _____

bb
(4)

92-4494-
NOT RECORDED

FEB 23 1961

CRIM/INTEL

62 FEB 27 1961

F B I

Date: 2/23/61

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIR TEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (92-685)

RE: WILLIAM S. ^PBONANNO, aka
AR

Re Phoenix tel to Los Angeles, 2/2/61.
Bureau airtel to Los Angeles, 2/16/61, captioned
"WILLIAM S. BONANNO; AR."
Phoenix tel to the Director, 2/6/61, captioned
"CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM, PHOENIX DIVISION."

[redacted], New York City,
registered at the Beverly-Wilshire Hotel 2/8/61 through
2/11/61. [redacted] observed at the Pejon Construction Company,
1818 West Third Street, Los Angeles, frequently during
visit.

On 2/10/61 [redacted] telephonically contacted a
Mr. [redacted] at Sunnyvale, California, at either AL 3-7060 or
OL 3-7060; and also called in Sunnyvale CH 5-2520 or
possibly CH 5-2820 or CH 5-2020.

[redacted] recently of Tucson, Arizona, and
reportedly engaged in assisting CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA
in book making activities, also regularly seen at Pejon
Construction Company.

- ② Bureau
2- Phoenix
2- San Francisco
2- Los Angeles
(1-92-791)

TLD:gmm
(8)

WICK

EX 103
REC-992-4494 28
12 FEB 25 1961

CRIM/INTEL

Approved: Wick

Sent _____ M Per _____

63 MAR 1 1961

Special Agent in Charge

b6
b7c

LA 92-685

Dun and Bradstreet, Los Angeles, reports Pejon Construction Company, Inc., established in late 1960, has the following officers:

[redacted] President
[redacted] Vice-President
[redacted] Secretary-Treasurer

Opening financial statement of corporation on December 5, 1960, showed cash of \$12,000.00 and capital stock of \$12,000.00. All outstanding capital stock being owned by [redacted]

The company operates as a general building contractor specializing in aluminum sidings and panels with [redacted] being the responsible officer.

b6
b7C

[redacted] born in Chicago, [redacted] is a graduate of UCLA with a Master's Degree in Accounting and formerly employed as a real estate agent in Palm Springs, California. [redacted], a local architect and builder, born in Los Angeles, [redacted] had been active as a building contractor in Los Angeles since 1945. [redacted] described as secretary to [redacted] not active financially in this business.

JOHN BATTAGLIA, brother of CHARLES, regularly observed at construction company and maintains office in company space.

Corporate Division, Los Angeles County Clerk, reflects that this company incorporated 11/28/60 with the following directors:

PETE TENNER, 1709 North Fuller, Hollywood, California.

SHIRLEY POTASH, 1280 North Laurel Avenue.

Attorney for corporation, LOUIS THOMAS HILLER, 6399 Wilshire.

Pejon Construction Company maintains bank account with Bank of America, 11th and Figueroa Branch, opened on 12/5/60 with a deposit of \$10,250.31 with [redacted]

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

identified as President and [redacted] as Secretary. Account is extremely active and balance as of 2/7/61 was \$3,943.49. Review of checks drawn on this account currently being made and pertinent payees being identified.

Owner of property occupied by Pejon reflects a three-year lease executed by JOHN BENNETT, alias of JOHN BATTAGLIA, and [redacted] and that company expended considerable cash in furnishing office space.

[redacted] interviewed by Agents 2/17/61 in connection with another matter, advised that he is a salesman for Pejon Construction Company, which business, according to him, is engaged in the selling of aluminum siding and that consumers frequently arrange their financing through the major aluminum companies, such as Reynolds Aluminum. [redacted] advised that [redacted] is in charge of operations; did not mention BATTAGLIA as being connected with the company.

Long distance telephone calls charged to Pejon Construction Company being checked.

SALVATORE W. BONANNO, 1847 West Elm, Tucson, driving an Arizona Cadillac, license CWH 004, guest at the Travel Lodge Motel, 7370 Sunset Boulevard, registered 2/8/61, leaving 2/12/61, and occupied Room 22.

Sources advised two other individuals stayed with BONANNO at motel, listed phonetically as BATTALIA and [redacted]. It is noted that CHARLES BATTAGLIA also was observed at Pejon Construction Company during BONANNO's visit and that [redacted] was observed at the motel. Possibly these individuals were the two individuals staying with BONANNO.

LA 92-685

Telephone charges to BONANNO's room at Hollywood Travel Lodge reflect calls to Tucson, Arizona, on 2/12/61, to EA 7-4127 and HO 4-5074, and EA 7-8456 to [REDACTED] and also to MA 4-0337 to BATTAGLIA.

Subscribers to other local Los Angeles phones being determined.

b6
b7C

Manager of motel advised that BONANNO has been a guest on previous occasions at this establishment, having been referred to them by [REDACTED] owner of Mimi's Kitchen, Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles, and that BONANNO has Travel Lodge credit card No. 17344.

Identification of owners of out of state automobiles observed at Pejon Construction Company reflects many individuals involved in home improvement business in various sections of the country.

Investigation continuing to determine activities at Pejon Construction Company and to identify additional contacts of BONANNO and [REDACTED] while in Los Angeles.

With reference to [REDACTED] air travel from New York to Los Angeles, Mr. [REDACTED], American Airlines, advised that a round-trip ticket was purchased for Mr. [REDACTED], New York telephone No. JU 6-6272, on 1/31/61, which was paid for by check in the amount of \$399.20, drawn on the Pejon Construction Company.

b6
b7C

LEAD

SAN FRANCISCO

AT SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA: Will determine identity of subscriber to above Sunnyvale telephone numbers.

3/8/61

AIRTEL

To: SAC, Los Angeles
From: Director, FBI
Subject: WILLIAM S. ^QBONANNO, AKA JUNE
AR


ReBuairtel 3/7/61.

Suggest for sake of clarity that future correspondence concerning proposed source be handled in a case of which your office is origin. Consideration should be given to conducting investigation of JOHN BATTAGLIA who, if still maintaining desk space at Pejon Construction Company, may be representing the interests of BONANNO, CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, and others.

TRD:pas

(4)

Tolson _____
Parsons _____
Mohr _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
Gonrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____



EX 107

REC-50

92-4494 - 29
MAR 13 1961

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

6 MAR 15 1961

UNITED STATES

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 3/8/61

FROM : SAC, LOS ANGELES (92-685)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI-RACKETEERING

OO: Phoenix

Re Phoenix teletype to Director, dated 2/6/61, captioned "CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM, PHOENIX DIVISION"; Bureau airtel to Los Angeles, dated 2/16/61, and Los Angeles airtel to Bureau, dated 2/23/61, captioned "WILLIAM S. BONANNO, aka., AR".

Current investigation in this matter is predicated on information set out in Phoenix teletype to Director, dated 2/6/61 to the effect that [redacted] advised that WILLIAM S. BONANNO, CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, together with ANTHONY PAUL SCRIVANO and [redacted], had several conversations about matters pertaining to unknown deals which they were negotiating. BONANNO or BATTAGLIA on 2/1/61 called one [redacted] at Los Angeles at telephone OL 1-2140 at which time the comment was made that "we" will be out there next Tuesday or maybe Monday. [redacted] was advised by the caller that he had talked with [redacted] and the other conversation indicated that BONANNO had arranged

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

- P.
2 - Bureau (92-4494)
3 - Phoenix (Encl. 1)
 (1 - C. BATTAGLIA)
2 - Albany
1 - Baltimore (Info)
2 - Chicago
2 - Cincinnati
2 - Cleveland
2 - Dallas
2 - Detroit
2 - Houston
2 - Jacksonville
2 - Kansas City
2 - Las Vegas
1 - Miami (Info)

- 2 - Milwaukee
2 - Minneapolis
2 - Newark
2 - New Haven
2 - New York (Encl. 1)
2 - Oklahoma City
1 - Philadelphia (Info)
2 - Pittsburgh
2 - San Antonio
2 - San Francisco
2 - Springfield
4 - Los Angeles (92-685)
 (1 - 92-791)
 (1 - 92-430)
 (1 - 92-484)

TLD/seb
(52)

JUN 1 1972

EX 104

6 MAR 13 1961

50 APR 4 1961

92-4494-30
REC-11
6 MAR 13 1961
6 MAR 13 1961

LA 92-685

with [] to buy a round trip ticket for [] from New York to Los Angeles via American Airlines out of New York. According to this source, [] was reminded that [] had previously said he needed two or three men and that the caller, believed to be BONANNO, wanted to send him two, one from Tucson and a fellow named [] who is presently in the South.

Source further advised that on 2/2/61 TONY SCRIVANO arranged through a Tucson travel agent to purchase airline tickets for [] in Tucson and the travel agent was to send an issue wire to Miami, Florida. Phoenix advised that [] is originally from Warren, Ohio and for several years had been residing in the vicinity of Wooster, Massachusetts and is reportedly a gambler and tavern operator. Several months ago [] came to Tucson, and is reported to be assisting CHARLES BATTAGLIA, BONANNO, and others in the operation of a "bookie" in the Tucson area. []

On 1/30/61 the Phoenix source advised that BONANNO called [] of [] Associates in New York City and advised [] that he, BONANNO, has a man in California who needs two million, but it is the kind of deal that [] should look over himself and should come out to the West Coast the week of 2/6/61. BONANNO further told [] "we" will be all out from "here", meaning Tucson, to meet together on the coast when [] comes out.

Los Angeles telephone number OL 1-2140 is subscribed to by the Pejon Construction Company, (PCC), 8118 West 3rd Street, Los Angeles, which according to the records of Dun and Bradstreet, Inc., of Los Angeles, was organized in late 1960 with the following officers:

BORN [] in [] President
CHICAGO, ILL. []
[] Vice-President BORN [] LOS ANGELES, CALIF.
[] BATTAGLIA
[] Secretary-Treasurer [] is
married to JOHN BATTAGLIA, having been married on 6/6/56.
MRS. []

The business is engaged in general building contracting, specializing in aluminum siding and patio

with [] being the responsible managing officer. The company was incorporated on 11/28/60 with authorized capital of 2500 shares of \$10.00 par value common stock. The Dun and Bradstreet report reflect that [] stated that the corporation showed cash of \$12,000 and capital stock of \$12,000; all the outstanding stock being owned by him. [] was born in Chicago in []; was in the U. S. Army from 1942 to 1946; attended the University of California at Los Angeles, from which he graduated with a Masters Degree in Accounting. He had previously been employed as a real estate agent in Palm Springs, California from 1956 to 1960.

b6
b7C

[] was born in Los Angeles in [] and has been active as an architect and building contractor at 442 North La Cienega Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, since 1945.

Mr. [] Los Angeles, a building contractor and son of the owner of the property which houses PCC, advised that PCC has a three year lease on the space now occupied by them and that [] and JOHN BENNETT were the individuals who signed the lease.

JOHN BENNETT is an alias of JOHN BATTAGLIA, brother of CHARLES BATTAGLIA. JOHN BATTAGLIA, FBI #4441855, according to the records of the Los Angeles Police Department, is known as a muscleman, con-man, and bookie and is a known associate of major hoodlums in the Los Angeles area. *copy*

b6
b7C

[] advised SA [] that it was his impression that the many individuals in and out of PCC were salesmen selling aluminum siding and that he had noticed that special phone booths had been built on the premises which he gathered were to be utilized by female employees soliciting business. Mr. [] advised on 2/23/61 that aluminum siding which had been stored in the rear of the construction company's offices had been moved and that the space now appeared to be more of a recreation center and that pool tables and ping pong tables had been installed. Mr. [] further advised that

LA 92-685

there appeared to be more laborers in the vicinity than in the past and he opined that they were engaged in the installation of siding as he had observed them come to the office, apparently to pick up orders and leave in trucks.

On 2/17/61, [redacted] was interviewed by Agents of the FBI in connection with a separate investigation and he advised he is a salesman for PCC which is engaged in the selling of aluminum siding and that customers frequently arrange their financing through the major aluminum company such as Reynolds Aluminum. [redacted] advised that [redacted] is in charge of operations and while he was not asked, he did not volunteer any information concerning BATTAGLIA's capacity in the corporation.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

On 2/13/61 [redacted] advised that there are five unlisted telephone numbers at the PCC and that the following long-distance calls were charged to the company:

12/29/60

San Bernardino, California
TU 8-36107

1/15/61

Dallas, Texas
RT 5-6589
[redacted]

1/5/61

Detroit, Michigan
TR 3-1350
[redacted] (ph)

1/23/61

Detroit, Michigan
TR 3-1350
[redacted] (ph)

1/26/61

New York City, New York
JU 6-6272
[redacted]

The following numbers were dialed directly:

LA 92-685

12/29/60

Las Vegas, Nevada
DU 4-2085

1/3/61

Las Vegas, Nevada
DU 4-2085

1/10/61

Ontario, California
YU 6-7422

1/10/61

Ontario, California
MA 4-3478

1/23/61

Las Vegas, Nevada
DU 4-2085

1/26/61

Tucson, Arizona
MA 4-0337

12/13/60

Chicago, Illinois
DI 2-1142
[REDACTED]

12/16/60

Minneapolis, Minnesota
TA 5-4148
[REDACTED]

12/20/60

Minneapolis, Minnesota
TA 5-4148
[REDACTED]

12/21/60

Bayside, New York
BA 9-7143
[REDACTED]

12/22/60

Collect call from Houston
RI 8-9689
[REDACTED]

12/22/60

San Bernardino, California
TU 9-4949

12/28/60

Collect call from Compton,
California UN 4-9142

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

12/28/60

Palm Springs, California
FA 4-3141
[redacted]

12/28/60

San Bernardino, California
TU 9-9169
[redacted] of the
[redacted] Company
595 "E" Street

12/29/60

Las Vegas, Nevada
DU 2-4000
[redacted] at
Flamingo Hotel

12/29/60

Tulsa, Oklahoma
RI 2-0002
[redacted]

12/29/60

San Bernardino, California
TU 8-36107
(three calls)

12/30/60

Weehawken, New Jersey
UN 9-3573
[redacted]

12/30/60

San Bernardino, California
TU 4-8839

12/30/60

San Bernardino, California
TU 8-4417
Credit Department

12/30/60

San Bernardino, California
TU 9-4411

12/30/60

San Bernardino, California
TU 8-36107

1/3/61

Collect call from Compton,
California. UN 4-9117
[redacted]

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

1/3/61

Collect call from Newport Beach,
California, OR 3-4860
[redacted]

b6
b7C

12/10/60

Pomona, California
NA 6-3608

12/14/60

Pomona, California
NA 6-3608

12/20/60

Minneapolis, Minnesota
TA 5-4148

12/27/60

Ontario, California
YU 6-4895

12/29/60

Oakland, California
GL 1-9984

12/29/60

Alameda, California
ID 3-0479

1/3/61

Garden Grove, California
LE 9-6768

1/3/61

Banning, California
VI 5-2978 [redacted]

copy
On 2/17/61 [redacted] Bank of America, (Figueroa and 11th Branch), Los Angeles, advised that [redacted] of Brand Motors, Los Angeles, said he was sending a friend over to open an account in the name of Pejon Construction Company, Inc., and he gave the name of the man he was sending. Later, however, a different individual than the one previously mentioned, showed up to open the account, namely [redacted] Mr. [redacted] stated that to his knowledge PCC is not dealing with any FHA financing, but the sales, he understands, are mainly financed by allied building credits and various aluminum companies.

b6
b7C

The records of the Retail Merchants Credit Association of Los Angeles, as checked by SE [redacted]

LA 92-685


on 10/9/58, determined that [] is employed as [] of Brand Motors, 2691 Crenshaw Boulevard, Los Angeles. BRAND MOTORS has been, in the opinion of the Los Angeles Police Department, according to Lt. [] possibly involved in bookmaking operations over the years and it is known that at one time [] FBI [] top hoodlum of the Los Angeles Division, had been a frequent visitor at Brand Motors.

b6
b7C

Mr. [] made available to SAs [] and [] the records of the Bank of America, Figueroa and 11th Branch, Los Angeles, which reflected the following information:

LA 92-685
TLD:els

Bank of America (BA)(16-236) Branch 352
Commercial Account No. 0-192, open 12/5/60
Pejon Construction Company, Inc.
8118 West 3rd Street, Los Angeles, California
Telephone OL 1-2140



b6
b7c

<u>Date</u>	<u>Bank Drawn On</u>	<u>Deposit</u>	<u>Transit No.</u>
12/5/60	BA - Wilshire-Sweetzer	\$10,250.31	16-329
12/19/60	Community Bank, Burbank	850.00	90-1313
12/19/60	FNB - Vernon	2,000.00	90-878
12/21/60	BA, Pico - La Cienega	910.00	16-320
12/21/60	SFNB - Huntington Park	2,000.00	90-903
12/21/60	Union Bank, Beverly Hills	2,340.00	90-1876
12/28/60	Currency	1,292.00	
12/28/60	BA, 11th & Figueroa	208.00	16-236
12/29/60	BA, Soto - Hostetter	2,998.98	16-216
12/30/60	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	<u>2,117.22</u>	16-291
		<u>\$24,966.51</u>	
1/3/61	BA, Soto-Hostetter	\$ 1,808.45	16-216
1/3/61	BA, Los Angeles Main Office	7,700.00	16-5

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>Date</u>	<u>Bank Drawn On</u>	<u>Deposit</u>	<u>Transit No.</u>
1/9/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	\$ 2,431.09	16-291
1/11/61	Currency	900.00	
1/11/61	BA, 11th & Figueroa	100.00	16-236
1/11/61	BA, North Hollywood	380.00	90-1200
1/13/61	Currency	1,700.00	
1/13/61	BA, 11th and Figueroa	1,000.00	16-236
1/13/61	BA, South Pasadena	2,940.00	90-1043
1/16/61	Currency	650.00	
1/16/61	BA, 11th & Figueroa	150.00	16-236
1/17/61	South Main State Bank - Houston	50.00	35-89
1/17/61	CNB, Sepulveda - National	600.00	16-343
1/17/61	Currency	1,200.00	
1/19/61	BA, Lamo	3,220.00	16-5
1/19/61	BA, North Hollywood	2,450.00	90-1200
1/24/61	BA, Pico - Sycamore	350.00	16-214
1/24/61	Union Bank, Beverly Hills	650.00	90-1876
1/24/61	CNB, Sepulveda - National	250.00	16-343
1/24/61	CNB, Sepulveda - National	600.00	16-343

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>Date</u>	<u>Bank Drawn On</u>	<u>Deposit</u>	<u>Transit No.</u>
1/24/61	SFNB, 5th & Bixel	\$ 5,000.00	16-356
1/25/61	BA, Lamo	3,000.00	16-5
1/26/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	3,365.53	16-291
1/27/61	BA, Wilshire - Burnside	50.00	16-303
1/27/61	BA, Fontana	1,800.00	90-1173
1/27/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	3,480.00	16-291
1/27/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	3,777.61	16-291
1/27/61	BA, Lamo	2,190.00	16-5
1/27/61	Union Bank, 8th & Hill	3,003.00	16-77
1/31/61	Currency and Coin	300.47	
1/31/61	BA, Lamo	3,325.00	16-5
1/31/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	<u>2,518.64</u>	16-291
		<u>\$60,939.79</u>	
2/1/61	California Bank, El Sereno	\$ 1,600.00	16-168
2/2/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	3,729.76	16-291
2/2/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	3,290.00	16-291

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>Date</u>	<u>Bank Drawn On</u>	<u>Deposit</u>	<u>Transit No.</u>
2/3/61	BA, Lamo	\$ 3,280.00	16-5
2/3/61	BA, Lamo	2,980.00	16-5
2/7/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	2,940.00	16-291
2/8/61	BA, Soto - Hostetter	3,131.22	16-216
2/8/61	BA, Soto - Hostetter	2,290.92	16-216
2/9/61	SFNB, Broadway - Olympic	617.73	16-262
2/9/61	Bank of Commerce - Hamtramck, Michigan	400.00	74-1115
2/9/61	Union Bank, 8th & Hill	55.00	16-77
2/9/61	BA, La Brea - Rosewood	75.27	16-188
2/9/61	BA, 11th and Figueroa	200.00	16-236
2/10/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	1,851.86	16-291
2/10/61	BA, Wilshire - Mariposa	2,240.96	16-291
2/10/61	BA, Soto - Hostetter	3,886.04	16-216
2/10/61	BA, Soto - Hostetter	2,849.27	16-216
2/13/61	Currency	40.00	
2/13/61	BA, 11th & Figueroa	100.00	16.236
2/13/61	BA, Western - 87th Street	<u>1,100.00</u>	16-341
		<u>\$ 36,658.03</u>	
Total Deposits 12/5/60 - 2/13/61 inc.		<u>\$122,564.33</u>	

LA 92-685
TLD:els

During this period analysis of deposits and some of the checks revealed the following as to source of the funds:

Currency and coin \$ 3,182.47

Koverlum Aluminum Builders
Supply Company, 722 Clover
Street, Los Angeles, California,
Telephone CA 5-2241, Account
#3474-20, at BA, (Wilshire -
Mariposa) 31,742.67

Allied Building Credits, Inc.,
3109 Wilshire Boulevard, Los
Angeles, California. Purchase
Expense Account. Telephone
DU 8-6111. At BA, Main Office 25,695.00

Aluminum Credit Corporation
2808 East 12th Street,
Los Angeles, California
Telephone AN 9-1123
At BA, Soto - Hostetter 19,964.88

Check Drawn on BA - (Wilshire -
Sweetzer) on account in name of
 (Special
Trust Account), Atty., 6399
Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles,
California 10,250.31

Checks drawn on BA (Pico - La Cienega),
Joe Rudnick Sporting Goods, 410 North
Canon Drive, Beverly Hills, California
Telephone CR 1-6501 910.00

b6
b7c

LA 92-685
TLD:els

Checks drawn on BA (North
Hollywood) account in name of

[REDACTED]
Sun Valley, California,
Telephone PO 5-4797; Stanley
7-2535. Attorney in fact for

[REDACTED] Sun Valley, California

\$ 2,830.00

Check drawn on Security National
Bank (Broadway - Olympic) on
account May Finance Company,
500 West Washington Boulevard,
Los Angeles, California, payable
to [REDACTED]. Notation on
check, "Auto - Furn loan -
1213"

617.73

Check drawn on Security National
Bank (Huntington Park) on
account name of Sherwin Williams
Paint Company, 6115 Pacific Boulevard,
Huntington Park, California

2,000.00

Cashiers Check #37737. 1/26/61
purchased by [REDACTED] payable
to [REDACTED] or
[REDACTED] Investments, 3230 Earlmor
Drive, Los Angeles, California

3,003.00








\$100,196.06

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

Examination of current checks available on
February 14 - 15, 1961 is summarized as follows:

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
695	2/9		\$ 10.00	Sherrys BA-324 (Laurel - Sunset)
718	2/10		100.00	
645	2/6		13.44	 North Hollywood PO 2-9949
677	2/8	Cash - Materials	12.50	
689	2/9		25.00	Gilbert Hotel - R. 201
694	2/9		250.00	Canvon Room 
719	2/10		100.00	
700	2/10		186.00	 Cashed BA -352 (Figueroa - 11th)
725	2/10		300.00	Deposit BA - 324
727	2/10		50.00	
709	2/10		400.00	Cashed BA - 339 (LaBrea - Rosewood)
701	2/10	The Stage Delicatesson	64.46	

b6
b7c

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
683	2/8	<div></div> - Materials	\$ 10.89	<div></div> Long Beach HE 7-5384
760	2/10	<div></div>	200.00	<div></div> WE 4-0958
698	2/9		225.00	<div></div> - 5508 Cashed BA-324 (Laurel - Sunset)
724	2/10		100.00	<div></div> L.B.
712	2/10	<div></div>	150.00	<div></div> - Negotiated Califorr Bank, 3rd and La Cienega
649	2/6		17.24	<div></div> Sherman Oaks, ST 4-6504.
664	2/6	<div></div> - Payroll	13.34	<div></div>
766	2/10	<div></div>	87.45	#50613
663	2/6		25.80	<div></div> Notary Public
661	2/6		5.76	<div></div> AX 4-5310

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
631	2/6	Scottys Iron Works	\$ 295.80	[REDACTED] BA 636 (Wilmington)
549	1/30	[REDACTED] - Payroll	11.52	[REDACTED] Tax Liquors
536	1/30	[REDACTED] - Payroll	9.60	[REDACTED] Sherman Oaks ST 4-3864
529	1/30	[REDACTED] #12657 - [REDACTED]	8.00	Westward Ho Brentwood
452	1/23	[REDACTED] - Payroll	31.30	[REDACTED] North Hollywood PO 9-1256.
551	1/30	[REDACTED] - Payroll	17.98	[REDACTED] Negotiated City National Bank, Beverly Hills.
552	1/30	[REDACTED] - Payroll	16.49	[REDACTED] Negotiated BA-134 (Sunset - Wetherly)
504	1/27	[REDACTED]	100.00	Negotiated BA 396
556	1/31	[REDACTED] - Labor	83.16	
541	1/30	[REDACTED] Payroll	37.50	[REDACTED] Woodland Hills, DI 0-0284, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
497	1/27	[REDACTED]	\$ 300.00	Negotiated BA-339 (LaBrea- Rosewood)
527	1/29	[REDACTED] Labor	269.38	[REDACTED] State of Ohio Chauffeurs license Y82034: issued 4/4/60
533	1/30	[REDACTED]	150.00	[REDACTED] [REDACTED], Pomona
274	1/10	[REDACTED]	33.40	[REDACTED]
548	1/30	[REDACTED] Payroll	11.52	[REDACTED] L.A. 5, Calif. Calif. D/L D891254; SFNB ID BR #113
565	2/1	[REDACTED] Labor	146.53	[REDACTED] [REDACTED], Long Beach Calif. #K62396
522	1/29	[REDACTED] Labor & Material	106.94	
539	1/30	[REDACTED] Payroll	39.10	Circus Liquors
503	1/27	[REDACTED]	36.00	The Exchange National Bank of Chicago - Lanite Corp.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
451	1/23	[REDACTED] - Payroll	\$ 4.31	[REDACTED] L.A. 48
355	1/16	[REDACTED] - Payroll	4.31	
483	1/26	[REDACTED]	900.00	Pay to 1st Western Bank and Trust Company
540	1/30	[REDACTED] - Payroll	14.08	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Pac. Tube ID #13036
544	1/30	[REDACTED] - Payroll	12.85	HO 5-3166
531	1/30	Consumer Credit Clearance #6383	21.50	
574	2/2	[REDACTED] - Labor	59.40	[REDACTED] cashed BA-369 (S. Hollywood)
609	2/3	[REDACTED]	50.00	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] Comm. D/L 230115204
545	1/30	[REDACTED] - Payroll	23.42	[REDACTED] Negotiated BA-134 (Sunset Wetherly)

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
564	1/30	[] - Payroll	\$ 113.03	[] Gen. Del., [] Calif. OP. F 771547
577	2/2	[] - Labor	99.00	[] Pomona, Calif.
528	1/30	[]	95.00	Deposit BA-383 (Canoga Park)
572	2/1	[]	65.26	Deposit BA-217 (Burbank) Sash and door manu- facturing
591	2/3	[]	150.00	Cashed BA-380 (Wilshire - Sweetzer), WE 9-8482; []
598	2/3	[]	150.00	[] [] AP 616731 cashed BA-309 []
599	2/3	[]	300.00	[] DL Z988219

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
568	2/1	<div></div>	\$ 300.00	May Finance Co., FL-9821/ 21st
600	2/3		202.95	Cashed BA-352 (Figueroa - 11th).
558	1/31		200.00	Cashed BA-203 (Hawthorne) Sands Motel, Imperial Hwy., Inglewood; Sears ID, Kans: City, Mo. Shoppers Chg. Srv., 336 West 36, Kansas Cit; Cities Service Oil, 1626-1766
597.	2/3	<div></div>	400.00	Cashed BA-380 (Wilshire - Sweetzer) 6950 Coldwater Canyon, N.H.
559	1/31	American Airlines, Inc.	399.20	For <div></div>
571	2/1	<div></div>	300.00	Cashed BA-380 (Wilshire - Sweetzer)

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS NOTATIONS</u>
532	1/30	The Dozar Company on account	\$ 500.00	Dozar Desk Company, Dozar Desk and Safe Company
569	1/31	[REDACTED]	500.00	Negotiated Citizens Nat'l Bank (Vernon)
478	1/26	[REDACTED]	500.00	Deposit Account 01-50-082-6 Pacific State Bank, Hawthorne
553	1/30	Interstate Leasing Systems 1961 Thunderbird rental	416.00	First and last months' rent fc for a year. Deposit Community Bank, Huntington Park
595	2/3	[REDACTED] - Adv. Labor	50.00	Cashed BA-352 (Figueroa - 11t
580	2/2	[REDACTED]	20.00	[REDACTED] [REDACTED], L.A. 16
576	2/2	[REDACTED]	671.70	[REDACTED] (See CK. 712)
615	2/3	[REDACTED]	150.00	Cashed BA-380 (Wilshire - Sweetzer) Account No. 0-7151.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
526	1/29	Morris Plan Co.	\$ 150.00	The Morris Plan Company of Calif., Ontario Calif. - account of [REDACTED]
535	1/30	Coldwell Banker & Co. #129972	150.47	Mortgage trustee account
617	2/3	[REDACTED]	150.00	Negotiated Security Nat'l. Bank, Hollywood- Highland
583	2/2	[REDACTED]	150.00	World Wide Enterprises, negotiated Union Bank 200, (Bev. Hills).
575	2/2	[REDACTED] - Labor	267.19	[REDACTED]
614	2/3	[REDACTED]	250.00	[REDACTED]
621	2/3	[REDACTED]	249.28	[REDACTED] Paramount ME 4-3195
616	2/3	[REDACTED]	200.00	Union Bank -200 (Bev. Hills)
586	2/3	[REDACTED]	750.00	Texas A913365 AMCO 452 500 4802, cashed BA- 380 (Wilshire - Sweetzer)

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
573	2/1	[REDACTED]	\$ 500.00	[REDACTED] VE 9-4018 DL 377532
590	2/3	[REDACTED]	500.00	
602	2/3	[REDACTED]	500.00	Negotiated BA-324 (Laurel- Sunset)
632	2/6	[REDACTED]	10.00	Cashed BA-360 (La-Brea-Adams) [REDACTED]
420	1/20	[REDACTED] - gift	7.19	Endorsement illegible
635	2/6	[REDACTED]	250.00	Negotiated BA-360 (LaBrea - Adams)
628	2/6	[REDACTED] - Labor	108.90	Cashed BA-442 (Bellflower)
605	2/3	[REDACTED] - Labor	65.83	[REDACTED]
603	2/3	[REDACTED]	175.00	Havenhurst Lanai

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
596	2/3	Auto Club of Southern California	\$ 155.00	5031411 UUY 71' 5031412 SFH 94' 5031413 RXA 13'
584	2/2	[REDACTED]	150.00	Negotiated Union Bank - 302 (Panorama City)
534	1/30	Seymour Kern Company #816	150.00	Pay to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] nego- tiated Calif. Bank (3rd & La Cienega).
640	2/6	[REDACTED]	200.00	Negotiated BA-314 (Atl. - Whittier)
496	1/27	[REDACTED]	200.00	Cashed BA-339 (LaBrea - Rosewood)
601	2/3	[REDACTED]	200.00	[REDACTED]
582	2/2		1,190.00	Negotiated BA - 236 (Azusa)
587	2/3		1,000.00	Negotiated BA - 339 (La Brea - Rosewood)

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
585	2/3	[REDACTED]	\$ 750.00	[REDACTED] Negotiated Calif Bank (3rd & La Cienega)
648	2/6	[REDACTED]	500.00	[REDACTED] Chatsworth, Dr. [REDACTED] F475980 Cashed BA 311 (Wilshire - La Brea)
351	1/16	[REDACTED]	6.48	[REDACTED] OL 6-0857 [REDACTED] Studio Club
550	1/30	[REDACTED] - Payroll	5.76	[REDACTED] RE 4-2422. [REDACTED]
706	2/10	[REDACTED]	100.00	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] cashed BA 309 (7th & Westlake)
684	2/8	Cash	100.00	[REDACTED] L.A., Calif. D/L B1297531; Cal. Racquet Club ID A-101, cashed BA-324 (Laurel-Sunset)

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
634	2/6	[REDACTED]	\$ 25.00	D. L. W8765432 Mr. [REDACTED]
652	2/6	[REDACTED] Payroll	21.14	(Same as 541)
708	2/10	[REDACTED]	200.00	Negotiated BA-339 (La Brea- Rosewood)
680	2/8	Cash	200.00	[REDACTED] Cashed BA-352 (Figueroa - 11th)
688	2/9	[REDACTED] Labor & Material	115.08	Negotiated BA-300 (Inglewood)
685	2/8	[REDACTED]	271.61	[REDACTED] D.L. D 48429. Cashed BA-352 (Figueroa - 11th)
713	2/10	[REDACTED] &	224.00	Doric Mission Inn, Mission Hills; EM 5-637 C.D.L. F91183, Cashed BA - 339 (La Brea - Rosewood)

b6
b7c

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
669	2/7	<div></div> - Labor	\$ 201.80	<div></div> HE 7-5384, Oper #H 90099
716	2/10	<div></div>	200.00	Cashed BA-380 (Wilshire - Sweetzer)
715	2/10		500.00	(Same as 713)
691	2/9		325.00	Cashed BA-352 (Figueroa - Eleventh)
757	2/10	<div></div>	300.00	Negotiated BA - 380 (Wilshire - Sweetzer)
729	2/10		284.18	<div></div> , Los Angeles 29, cashed BA - 342 (Santa Monica - Vermont)
722	2/10		750.00	<div></div> Los Angeles 24, cashed BA - 339 (La Brea - Rosewood)
721	2/10	<div></div>	750.00	Negotiated BA-339 (La Brea - Rosewood)

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
681	2/8	Cash	\$ 730.27	Cashed BA - 352 (Figueroa - Eleventh)
714	2/10	<div></div>	500.00	<div></div> negotiated BA-339 (La Brea - Rosewood) for credit at BA-528 (Reseda)
679	2/8	Budget Finance - L-3664	1,000.00	Budget Finance Plan
723	2/10	<div></div>	1,000.00	Cashed BA-339 (La Brea - Rosewood), 445 North Croft
666	2/6	<div></div>	25.00	Clapkin's Shell Service
610	2/3	<div></div>	25.00	Three-Fifty Corp., 350 South La Cienega
667	2/6	<div></div>	25.00	
637	2/6	Cash	17.79	<div></div>
659	2/6	<div></div> - Payroll	32.08	Negotiated BA-360 (La Brea - Adams)

b6
b7c

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
660	2/6	[REDACTED] - Payroll	\$ 28.80	[REDACTED] Negotiated Security (Olympic- Beverly)
630	2/6	[REDACTED] - Labor	122.76	Temp. D/L AP66709
662	2/6	[REDACTED] - Payroll	51.79	[REDACTED]
642	2/6	[REDACTED]	50.00	
620	2/3	[REDACTED]	200.00	
613	2/3	G.M.A.C.	175.92	C 22 - 1693 W 10045
619	2/3	[REDACTED]	129.00	[REDACTED] Negotiated BA-246 (Wilshire - Robertson)
629	2/6	[REDACTED] - Labor	122.76	[REDACTED], L.B.
636	2/6	[REDACTED]	250.00	[REDACTED] Negotiated BA-246 (Wilshire - Robertson) for credit at BA-360 (LaBrea - Adams)

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
633	2/6	[REDACTED]	\$ 230.00	Cashed BA - 352 (Figueroa - 11th) [REDACTED] Calif. 06015-29808 - BA 100 (Inglewood)
658	2/6	[REDACTED] - Payroll	9.36	Cashed BA - 308 (Pico - La Cienega C - 3082 - 660)
604	2/3	[REDACTED]	325.00	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] nego- tiated Fidelity Bank, Beverly Hills, Calif.
656	2/6	[REDACTED] - Payroll	14.62	HO 531 - 66: [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]
651	2/6	[REDACTED] - Payroll	40.56	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] B-1160815
593	2/3	[REDACTED]	150.00	Negotiated Security Bank (El Monte)
678	2/8	Suncrest Liquor	118.00	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] Cashed BA-324 (Laurel - Sunset)

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
639	2/6	Vi-Cal Screens	\$1,223.83	Invoice #0295
657	2/6	<input type="text"/> - Payroll	10.80	<input type="text"/>
686	2/9	<input type="text"/> - Labor	201.46	
692	2/9	<input type="text"/>	250.00	<input type="text"/> (See #619)
693	2/9	Cash	6.00	Pay to BA-245 (Bev. Hills M.O.) Alert Agency
703	2/10	<input type="text"/>	35.00	<input type="text"/> Schwab's Phar- macy
704	2/10	<input type="text"/>	75.00	Cashed BA-350 (Slauson - Vermont) cml. 1-2655, BA - 345 (7th and Hoover).
707	2/10	<input type="text"/>	200.00	<input type="text"/> Canyon
711	2/10	<input type="text"/>	250.00	Cashed BA-350 (Slauson-Vermont) (See #599)

b6
b7c

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
717	2/10		\$ 300.00	Havenhurst Lanai (See #585)
755	2/10		1,250.00	Negotiated (90- 1605) California Bank, Crenshaw and 147th, Gardena
756	2/10		1,900.00	Negotiated BA-201 (El Monte), 12411 East Cypress, Covina; ED 2-7018 D.L. D727170
759	2/10		205.92	<div></div> , Pasadena, B 621 853
762	2/10		132.75	<div></div>
767	2/10		100.00	American Inter- National Electroni Corporation, <div></div>
769	2/11		50.00	Clapkin's Shell Service
772	2/13		100.00	Deposit Pejon Construction.

b6
b7C

LA 92-685
TLD:els

<u>CHECK NO.</u>	<u>DATE 1961</u>	<u>PAYEE & DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>ENDORSEMENTS & NOTATIONS</u>
780	2/13	<div></div>	\$ 300.00	Cashed BA - 308 (Pico - La Cienega Sunset Towers West, D. L. Wisc. 39942 b6 b7C
781	2/13		300.00	Cashed BA - 308 (Pico - La Cienega Sunset Towers West, Amer. Ex. Cred. 18-0481772

LA 92-685
TLD/seb

[redacted] arrived in Los Angeles at 12:08 a.m. on 2/3/61 from Miami, Florida via American Airlines flight 931. [redacted] was met by an unknown male driving a 1959 Ford, California license AUS 848, registered to [redacted] Beverly Hills, California, and was driven to the Tropicana Motel, 8585 Santa Monica Boulevard, Los Angeles.

b6
b7C

The files of the Los Angeles Division reflect that [redacted] residence was telephonically contacted by [redacted] a gambler and former top hoodlum in Las Vegas.

Later in the morning of 2/3/61 Agents of the Los Angeles Division observed [redacted] being picked up at the Tropicana Motel by a car registered to [redacted] and was taken to the PCC. Observations by Agents at the PCC reflected that [redacted] has regularly been observed as well as the car registered to [redacted] and its driver, believed to be [redacted].

b6
b7C

By teletype from Phoenix, dated 2/7/61, the Los Angeles Division was advised that BONANNO and an unidentified associate would leave for Los Angeles on the evening of 2/7/61 and will contact JOHN BATTAGLIA who in turn will meet [redacted] who plans to arrive in Los Angeles via American Airlines on 2/8/61 and while in Los Angeles BONANNO will introduce [redacted] to individuals who are interested in making some sort of a deal. Phoenix also advised that if conditions warranted, BONANNO and [redacted] would drive to San Jose, California, and contact other unknown individuals concerning business deals and mentioned a cannery that had to be built which apparently was to be located in San Jose, California.

Mr. [redacted] American Airlines Ticketing Agent, Hollywood-Roosevelt Hotel, Los Angeles, advised SA [redacted] on 2/7/61 that an unknown individual had purchased a round trip ticket for [redacted] telephone JU 6-6272, New York City, for travel between New York and Los Angeles. Mr. [redacted] recalled that this ticket was paid for by check drawn on the PCC, 8118 West 3rd Street, Los Angeles, California. Mr. [redacted] could not identify the

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

purchaser of the tickets, but it was his opinion that he was a messenger for the company.

On 2/10/61 in a teletype to the Director, the New York Office advised that Dun and Bradstreet records New York City, reflect that [redacted] and [redacted] have operated in partnership in the [redacted] Associates, [redacted] 5th Avenue, New York City, since 1959. This organization arranges for mortgage financing and also acts as insurance brokers. Income is derived on both commission and retainer basis. New York indices reflected that [redacted], when interviewed by Special Agents of the New York Office, in the case entitled "CRASH, NATIONAL AIRLINE, DC6B, Bolivia, N. C. 1/6/60, DAMV", that [redacted] advised that all of his dealings with [redacted] who was a prime suspect in the destruction of the aircraft, involved real estate mortgage loans where [redacted] offered to obtain financial backing. [redacted] stated that in addition to real estate deals with [redacted], he also had met [redacted] and other individuals in Nicaragua on 1/15/58 in an effort to negotiate a \$25,000,000.00 loan which that country had requested. The loan was never consummated.

b6
b7C

New York Office advised that there was no credit or criminal record other than the above concerning [redacted] who resides at [redacted] Garden City, Long Island, telephone Pioneer 1-4628.

By airtel to the Director, 2/1/61, the Phoenix Division advised that SA [redacted] Phoenix, ascertained on 1/27/61 from a confidential source in one of the banks in Tucson that BONANNO and [redacted] were jointly involved in a large loan application made at that bank.

b6
b7C

By teletype from New York to Los Angeles, the New York Division advised that [redacted] was identified boarding American Airlines flight #3 at 11:45 EST in New York City, en route to Los Angeles, traveling by himself.

[redacted] was observed by Special Agents of the Los Angeles Division arriving in Los Angeles at 2:15 p.m.

LA 92-685

on 2/8/61 via American Airlines and being met at the Los Angeles International Airport by JOHN BATTAGLIA driving a new Thunderbird convertible without plates. [redacted] was taken directly to the PCC where they remained until the early evening and subsequently was driven to the Beverly-Wilshire Hotel where he was seen to enter with luggage.

Relations with the Beverly-Wilshire Hotel, which enjoys a reputation of catering to the hoodlum element, did not permit additional coverage of [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] Beverly-Wilshire Hotel, 9500 Wilshire Boulevard, whose identity should be protected, advised SA [redacted], that [redacted] of 550 5th Avenue, New York City, registered at the hotel on 2/8/61 and departed on 2/11/61. During his stay the following phone calls were charged to [redacted] room:

2/10/61

Mr. [redacted]
Sunnyvale, California
AL 3-7060 or possibly
OL 3-7060

2/10/61

Sunnyvale, California
CH 5-2520 or possibly
CH 5-2820 or possibly
CH 5-2020
(San Francisco Division has been requested previously to identify subscribers to the above phones.)

In addition, local Los Angeles telephone calls were made on 2/11/61 to the following:

SP 6-1600
Pacific Southwest Airlines

CR 4-7881
Western Airlines, Reservations

LA 92-685

HO 9-0816
location of Mimi's Kitchen
7408 Sunset Boulevard
Los Angeles, California, which is
known to this Division as well as to
the Los Angeles PD as a known hoodlum
hangout.

HO 1-9851

CR 6-3181

Miss [] advised that the reservation at the hotel
for [] was made by Mr. [] at telephone OL 1-2140,
(PCC).

b6
b7C

Surveillance was maintained by Agents of the
Los Angeles Division in the vicinity of the PCC from 2/8/61
to the present and the following cars were observed either
parked in the area or driving to the address with its
occupants entering the business:

[] and [] were observed in a 1959
white Cadillac bearing Arizona license CWH 004 on 2/9/61.

The Phoenix Division advised that this car was
registered to WILLIAM S. BONANNO.

copy
[] and an individual identified as
CHARLES BATTAGLIA were observed having lunch at a restaurant
on 2/9/61 in the vicinity of PCC. Two males driving
a 1959 white Thunderbird with Maryland plates KK3204,
were observed departing PCC and proceeded to Sunset Tower
Apartments, Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles.

b6
b7C

By teletype dated 2/10/61, the Baltimore Division
advised that this license is registered to []
[] Bethesda, Maryland. Bethesda
City Directory did not identify [] or occupant of this
address.

The Washington, D. C. Credit Bureau, covering
the Metropolitan area, including Baltimore, had no information

LA 92-685

regarding [redacted], but did have reference to one [redacted] employed for the past [redacted] years as a salesman for the Eagle Contracting Corporation, successor to the Eagle Home Improvement Company, 4926 St. Elmo Avenue, Bethesda. There was no record or notation of [redacted] at the Montgomery County Police Department covering Baltimore and no record identifiable with [redacted] is in the Baltimore indices.

b6
b7C

On the morning of 2/10/61 a black Cadillac bearing Illinois license HP 2942, was observed at the PCC which, according to the records of the State of Illinois, Office of the Secretary of State, was issued to [redacted] Chicago, Illinois. This source further advised that General Motors Acceptance Corporation, 5930 North Linda Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, holds a lien against this car in an amount in excess of \$5,000.00, and that also a lien in excess of \$900.00 is held in favor of the North Central Finance Company, 4833 North Broadway, Chicago, Illinois.

In the early morning of 2/10/61 [redacted] arrived at the PCC in a car bearing California license PMD 850, which according to the California Department of Motor Vehicles, is registered to [redacted] Los Angeles, California.

Regularly observed at the PCC was a De Soto bearing Minnesota license 4U9685, which according to the Minneapolis Division is registered to [redacted] North Minneapolis. No information concerning [redacted] is available in the Minneapolis indices.

b6
b7C

A car bearing Texas plates 1151 was also regularly observed at PCC, which, according to San Antonio Division, is listed in the Motor Vehicle Department, Texas Highway Patrol, for a 1960 Ford, registered to [redacted] Houston. Two other Texas cars, one bearing Texas license TD9495, the second RJ3893, seen frequently at PCC during [redacted] visit to Los Angeles, are registered to [redacted] Houston, Texas, and a 1960 Cadillac registered to [redacted]

BORN [redacted] N.Y.

LA 92-685

[redacted] [redacted] Houston. ~~TEXAS~~

The Houston Division advised that [redacted] also known as [redacted] and [redacted] was involved in an investigation in that Division relative to an FHA violation, wherein Washington Field was office of origin. In connection with this matter, on 9/10/58 [redacted] declined to be placed under oath, to answer any questions concerning his own activities or concerning the activities of anyone else who might have been associated with him in any manner. He refused to furnish handwriting specimens or photographs, and in addition denied any knowledge of one of the subjects in the case who was a fugitive. [redacted] HOUSTON, TEXAS

b6
b7C

[redacted] is described as a white, male, born [redacted] Albany, New York and listed his occupation as salesman with the Kraft Construction Company in Houston, having formerly been occupied as a bartender and gambling dealer in New York City, among other occupations.

The Houston Division further advised that one [redacted] with an alias of [redacted] according to an Identification Record in that office showed him apparently to be in the custody of the U. S. Marshal, Houston on 12/7/58 for willful failure to file income tax return, however, the disposition was not shown.

On 8/6/59 according to the Houston Division, information was received from a loan agency that city, to the effect that [redacted] also known as [redacted]

[redacted] had used the names of [redacted] relative to FHA selling and had represented himself as a representative of the Aluminum Company of America, however no investigation was conducted by the Houston Division in this matter.

b6
b7C

On 1/14/59, according to the Houston Division, Assistant Chief Deputy [redacted] Harris County Sheriff's Office, had conducted an investigation regarding [redacted] swindle charges and at the same time had investigated [redacted] Houston, who had been working for the Gale Construction Company, Houston, Texas.

LA 92-685

With reference to [redacted] (supra), on 12/30/59, investigation was conducted by the Houston Division relative to his activities and possible violations of FHAM. [redacted] had at one time sold FHA paper direct to Kraft Construction Company, Gale Builders and Certified Construction Company.

b6
b7C

This investigation had been predicated upon information received from the Director, Compliance Division, HHFA on 9/1/59 and on 5/13/60 the United States Attorney's Office in Houston declined prosecution of [redacted] inasmuch as there was insufficient evidence to sustain successful prosecution. [redacted] born [redacted] in New York City and admitted having received a suspended sentence in New York City for disorderly conduct about 1954.

Also observed at PCC was an automobile bearing Connecticut license [redacted] which is registered to a 1961 Oldsmobile Holiday Sedan in the name of [redacted] Bridgeport, Connecticut.

According to the New Haven Division, it has no identifiable record in its indices concerning [redacted]

b6
b7C

A car bearing Pennsylvania license [redacted] is registered, according to the Philadelphia Division, to [redacted] Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. There is no record in the Philadelphia Office concerning [redacted].

Ohio license [redacted]

The Cincinnati Division on 2/10/61 advised that this car is registered to [redacted] Cincinnati, for a 1955 Dodge. There is no record in the Cincinnati Police Department of [redacted].

The Cincinnati Credit Bureau revealed that [redacted] is self-employed as a home improvement contractor and probably identical to [redacted] born [redacted] in Allardt, Tennessee who was apprehended as a deserter in Cincinnati on 2/24/60. [redacted] is identical with [redacted], a former PCI, Cincinnati, who was

b6
b7C
b7D

CH 10

LA 92-685

terminated in 1953 because of non-productivity.

Kansas licence [redacted]

The Kansas City Division advised this car is registered to a 1957 Cadillac Coupe to [redacted] Overland Park, Kansas. [redacted] is not listed in appropriate telephone or city directories and there is no record of him in the Kansas City indices.

Wisconsin license [redacted]

Milwaukee Division advised that Wisconsin license M25656 is listed to a 1960 white Cadillac convertible, registered to [redacted] Waukesha, Wisconsin, which is the address of the Altex Corporation, manufacturers of aluminum siding. Inquiries at that address reflect that [redacted] is not employed at that company, but is well acquainted with the owners. [redacted] bought this car from Spencer Motor Company, Waukesha, claiming to be employed as a siding salesman for the Union Improvement Corporation, 3704 North Teutonia Avenue, Milwaukee, and resides at [redacted] Chicago, Illinois. His car was being financed through the Chicago National Bank.

By pretext telephone call, the Milwaukee Division determined that [redacted] was believed to be working in Beverly Hills, California as an aluminum siding salesman.

[redacted] seller of the automobile to [redacted] advised that [redacted] was well acquainted with Chicago operators of the Altex Corporation of which [redacted] and [redacted] are [redacted] and [redacted] all of Chicago, Illinois and that one [redacted] also has an interest in the Altex Corporation and resides at [redacted] Chicago, Illinois. The Waukesha Sheriff's Office advised the above individuals are well known hoodlums in Chicago.

[redacted] not known to Waukesha Police Department, Sheriff's Office or Credit Bureau.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

By teletype dated 2/13/61 the Chicago Division advised there was no information in the Chicago Indices concerning the Altex Corporation, its owners, or [redacted] however, that [redacted] and [redacted] are apparently connected with [redacted] Market, 49311 South Ashland, Chicago. One PETER GRANATA, Republican Ward, Committeeman of the 1st Ward, Chicago, is not known to be identical to this investigation, according to the Chicago Division, who is close associate of several Chicago top hoodlums, including MURRAY HUMPHREY, GUS ALEX, FRANKIE FERRARO, also formerly Illinois State Representative and resides at 1025 South May Street, Chicago, Illinois. GRANATA is a member of the so-called "Westside bloc" which is cooperative with the Chicago hoodlum element. I!!

b6
b7C

[redacted] possibly brother of PETER GRANATA.

Illinois licence [redacted]

According to the Springfield Division, Illinois license [redacted] is listed to a 1960 Ford, two door, registered to [redacted] Palatine, Illinois.

By teletype dated 2/13/61, the Chicago Division advised that this address is the address of Encyclopedia Britannica and a pretext telephone call to that company indicated that [redacted] was employed there and was presently on the road...

Indices and records of the Palatine Police Department were negative concerning [redacted]

b6
b7C

New Jersey license [redacted]

According to the Newark Division license [redacted] is registered to a 1961 white Imperial, convertible to [redacted] Colonia, New Jersey.

Newark indices are negative concerning [redacted]

LA 92-685

It will be noted that [redacted] received a check drawn on the Pejon account dated 2/3/61 in the amount of \$1,000.00 which was negotiated at the Bank of America, La Brea and Rosewood Branch, Los Angeles. It is further noted that on 1/27/61 one [redacted] received a check again drawn on the PCC account in the amount of \$300.00 which also was negotiated at the same branch bank.

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

Arizona license [redacted]

Phoenix Division advised by teletype dated 2/10/61 that this plate is listed to a 1955 Ford, registered to [redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona and that the Phoenix indices were negative concerning him.

Ohio license [redacted]

Cincinnati Division advised by teletype dated 2/11/61 that this plate is listed to one [redacted] Cleveland, Ohio, on a 1955 Chevrolet truck.

b6
b7C

By teletype dated 2/11/61, the Cleveland Division advised its indices are negative concerning [redacted] but the Cleveland City Directory reflects the Maywood Hotel, [redacted] and [redacted] Trucking Company all located at 14405 Saranac Road, Cleveland, Ohio.

A pretext call to this hotel reflected [redacted] did live there, but he moved leaving no forwarding address.

Mrs. [redacted] indicated [redacted] is a trucker, and occasionally has stopped buy to pick up his mail at that address.

Texas license [redacted]

b6
b7C

San Antonio Division advised that this is issued to a 1958 Ford, sedan, registered to [redacted] Houston, Texas.

San Antonio indices were negative and by teletype dated 2/11/61 the Houston Division advised that Mrs. [redacted] nee [redacted] also known as [redacted] Houston, Texas, was interviewed by Bureau Agents in October, 1958 in a ITSMV and WSTA matter in which Savannah was office of origin. No additional pertinent information was available through Houston's indices.

LA 92-685

Kansas license [redacted]

The Kansas City Office advised by teletype dated 2/11/61, this car is registered to [redacted] [redacted] Turner, Kansas for a 1959 Plymouth, four door and there is no record of [redacted] in the Kansas City indices.

b6
b7C

In addition, the following interstate cars have been observed at PCC and are not yet identified:

Texas

SP 1076
JT 802

Illinois

CW 1013
3241519
FA 022
665506
GS 3402

Wisconsin

E96733

New York

9N2708

Florida

114045


Ohio

KW 779


The following California cars have been identified as being present in the vicinity of PCC since 2/9/61:

LA 92-685

License Number



NLB 061



Type of Car

1949 Ford, Club
Coupe

1959 Cadillac
Sedan, DeVille

1956 Chevrolet
Convertible

1957 Ford Town
Victoria, Fairlane

1958 Plymouth
Convertible, coupe

1958 Cadillac
Two-door, Coupe
DeVille

1959 Cadillac
Sedan, DeVille


1959 Cadillac, Two-
door, Convertible

1956 Cadillac
El Dorado,
Bearritz

1954 Ford,
Victoria


Registered Owner


Los Angeles



Beverly Hills


Sherman Oaks

Western Surgical
Supply
661 Burlington
Los Angeles



Los Angeles


Los Angeles


Los Angeles


Los Angeles


Canoga Park


Los Angeles

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

<u>License Number</u>	<u>Type of Car</u>	<u>Registered Owner</u>	
	1960 Ford, four door sedan		
		Los Angeles	
	1959 Oldsmobile Convertible, Coupe		
		Los Angeles	
	1959 Ford Galaxie		b6 b7C
		Los Angeles	
	1958 Chevrolet Two-door Convertible		
		Inglewood	
	1960 Ford, Two-door Club Victoria		
	Los Angeles		
1960 Dodge, Two door sedan			
	Chatsworth		
1952 Chevrolet Truck			
	Pomona		
1960 Comet, Two door Sedan			b6 b7C
	Whittier		
1959 Plymouth, Station Wagon			
	Van Nuys		
1957 Oldsmobile Holiday Coupe			
	Canoga Park		

LA 92-685

Licence Number

UVY 913

Type of Car

1959 Oldsmobile
Holiday Sedan

1960 Cadillac
Convertible

1960 Cadillac
Four-door Town
Victoria

1960 Rambler,
Four-door Sedan

1956 Buick, Four-
door Riveria Sedan

1958 Ford, Two-
door Sedan

1960 Oldsmobile
Super

1956 Buick, Four-
door Riveria

1958 Ford, Station
Wagon

1959 Cadillac
Two-door
Convertible

Registered Owner

Ventura

Los Angeles

Los Angeles

Monterey Park

Los Angeles

North Hollywood

Ohio Aluminum Supply
2808 East 12th St.
Los Angeles

San Pedro

Long Beach

Los Angeles

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

The files of the Los Angeles PD and Los Angeles SO, checked by SA JESSE S. STRIDER revealed no identifiable information concerning the above, however did determine the following which are possibly identical with some of the above subscribers:

MILTON BEIL, aka., Milton Bell
FBI #2914265, LAPD #51901-B

Arrested 8/6/42 at 2334 Crenshaw Boulevard,
Apartment 104, for taking bets over phone.

Arrested 3/17/43 at 601 Mariposa for playing
craps.

Described as male, caucasian, 33 years in 8/6/42,
5'8½", 156 pounds, black hair, brown eyes, born in Ohio. APPROX 1909
FPC 15 M 13T OM 5
I 1R IOI 4

JACOB BECKER
FBI #4851466, LAPD #104313

B. APPROX. 1903

each Arrested 5/8/47 at 8113 Kenyon Avenue for
Grand Theft, merchandise (bicycle parts).

Described as male, caucasian, dark brown hair,
brown eyes, 5'9", 204 pounds, age 44 years, 5/8/47;
tattoos 1-19-17 USA, right forearm; Div. #1, left shoulder.
FPC 17 O 25 IOM 13
L 32 MOI 15

WILLIAM FENSKE, aka., William Ervin Falk
FBI #1764044, LAPD # B-21144

B. APPROX. 1895

Arrested 6/12/27 4522 Bismarck, N. Dakota,
for suspicion of Grand Larceny and sentenced to three years.

Arrested 5/15/39, 6719 Santa Barbara for peddling
without a license.

Arrested 3/17/41 B-21144 Leslie-1 Suspicion Mann
Act, released 3/19/41.

LA 92-685

41
1941/5
Described as black hair, blue eyes, 5'5", 130 pounds, 46 years in 1941.

FPC 14 M 9R 000 18
L 22 T01 13

[redacted]
[redacted]
Address [redacted]

LOS ANGELES, 1941

Arrested 10/14/55.

Described as female, Negro, born [redacted] 5'5½", 132 pounds, black hair, brown eyes.

FPC 10 S 1 U II 00 17
S I U II 00 10

b6
b7C

[redacted]
[redacted]
U. APPROX [redacted]

Numerous arrests, Michigan, Iowa, Los Angeles.

Arrested 12/4/52 as a Drunk at Los Angeles.

Resides [redacted]

Described as caucasian, [redacted] years in 1952, 5'10", 175 pounds, black hair, brown eyes, occupation salesman.

5/2/53 released to U. S. Marshal for return to Washington, D. C.

FPC 8 1 Aa 7
1 Aat 3

b6
b7C

[redacted]
[redacted]
Arrested 2/16/59 as a transient laborer - loitering.

Described as male, Negro, born [redacted] at Arkansas, 5'7", 155 pounds, black hair, brown eyes, SSN [redacted]

LA 92-685

FPC 11 0 6U 11
0 23 T14

[redacted], aka., Junior Bob Mills, [redacted]
[redacted] [redacted]

Traffic Warrant - Bakersfield, 3/9/59. *adp*

b6
b7C

With reference to [redacted] registered owner of 1959 Cadillac, convertible, California license PWN 913, it is noted that [redacted] LAPD [redacted] has been in the past, subject of *adp* WSTA investigations in the Los Angeles Office and commencing 5/17/55 had been sentenced to serve five years in Mc Neill Island.

In addition the following California cars have been observed at FCC and checks with the Los Angeles Police Department are still in the process of being completed:

<u>Licence Number</u>	<u>Type of Car</u>	<u>Registered Owner</u>
[redacted]	1956 Chevrolet Station Wagon	[redacted] Malibu
	1959 Chevrolet Impala, Two-door	[redacted] Pasadena
	1956 Chevrolet, Convertible, Coupe	[redacted] Sherman Oaks
	1959 Oldsmobile, Sedan, Super Holiday	[redacted] Beverly Hills
	1957 Ford Station Wagon	[redacted] Carmichael

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

License Number

Type of Car

1954 Chrysler
Four-door sedan
Windsor

1953 Chevrolet
Four-door sedan

1954 Cadillac
Sedan

1959 Plymouth
Station Wagon

1961 Ford, Two-
Door Sedan

1948 Chevrolet
Special, Coupe

1954 Chevrolet
Truck

1960 Lincoln
Continental

1959 Chevrolet
Truck

1961 Pontiac
Four-door Sedan
Ventura

Registered Owner

Santa Ana

Long Beach

Santa Monica

Van Nuys

Los Angeles

Sepulveda

Lakewood

Los Angeles

Burbank

Los Angeles

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

<u>License Number</u>	<u>Type of Car</u>	<u>Registered Owner</u>
<div></div>	1951 Pontiac Four-door Sedan	<div></div> Los Angeles
TJT 494	1960 Chevrolet Delux Coupe	Hav a Kar Ecomony Car Rental Inc 8545 Sunset Blvd. Los Angeles
<div></div>	1952 Plymouth Coupe, Convertible	<div></div> Los Angeles
	1960 Ford, Two- Door Sedan	<div></div> Glendale
	1955 Oldsmobile Four-Door Sedan	<div></div> Los Angeles
	1958 Edsel, Four- Door Hardtop	<div></div> Pacoima
RWN 744	1959 Cadillac Two-Door, Convertible	Interstate Aluminum 1631 South La Cienega Los Angeles
<div></div>	1960 Continental Four-Door, Lincoln	<div></div> Los Angeles
	1957 Ford, Fairlane Club Sedan	<div></div> Los Angeles
<div></div>	1960 Chevrolet, Four-Door Sedan	<div></div> Los Angeles

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

<u>License Number</u>	<u>Type of Car</u>	<u>Registered Owner</u>
<div></div>	1958 Chrysler Four-Door Sedan, Windsor	<div></div> Beverly Hills
	1960 Chevrolet Truck	<div></div> Los Angeles
	1956 Lincoln Convertible, Coupe	<div></div> Los Angeles
	1957 Plymouth Club Sedan, Plaza	<div></div> Glendale
	1950 Pontiac, Four-Door Sedan	<div></div> Los Angeles
	1959 Cadillac Convertible	<div></div> Los Angeles, Calif.

With reference to , PCI on 10/3/60 advised that he is a bookmaker in business for himself and was recently served with a subpoena to appear before the Federal Grand Jury, Los Angeles. PCI stated that he did not know what the case concerned, but commented that would go to jail rather than furnish information to law enforcement about a friend.

On 11/28/60 advised SA GEORGE E. BLAND that was working for JOHN BATTAGLIA as a bookmaker.

On 2/10/61 was observed by Special Agents departing the PCC offices, being driven by Cadillac and was driven to the vicinity of 7370 Sunset Boulevard, where he was observed to enter

LA 92-685

Room 22 of the Travel Lodge Motel.

On this occasion the car being utilized by WILLIAM S. BONANNO bearing Arizona license CWH 004, was parked in the motel parking lot.

By pretext telephone call it was determined that BONANNO was registered in Room 22 and efforts were made to obtain adjacent space by Bureau personnel, but no space was available.

On 2/13/61 [] of the Travel Lodge Motel, 7370 Sunset Boulevard, advised SA DONOVAN that SALVATORE W. BONANNO of 1874 East Elm, Tucson, Arizona, driving a Cadillac, Arizona license CWH 004, had arrived on 2/8/61 and paid for space through 2/12/61. Mr. [] advised that BONANNO was traveling on a Travel Lodge Credit Card, #17344 and that while two other individuals were sharing the room with BONANNO, they had not registered, but from telephone calls for the room during BONANNO's stay, believed that the two men were phonetically named BATTALIA and []. Possibly the two men would have been CHARLES BATTAGLIA and []. Mr. [] stated that BONANNO has stayed at this motel on other occasions, indicating that he had been referred to this motel by "SOL" of Mimi's Kitchen on Sunset Boulevard, previously mentioned as a hangout of known hoodlums.

b6
b7C

Mr. [] advised that the following telephone calls were made from Room 22 during BONANNO's stay at the motel. All calls were made on 2/12/61:

PO 1-9161, which [] advised SA [] on 2/14/61, is registered to [] North Hollywood.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

HO 4-5474, non-published phone, according to [] registered to [] which is the home address of [].

FA 1-6908, non-published phone which [] advised is subscribed to by [] is []

LA 92-685

associated with Tercan Enterprises, 16317 South New Hampshire Avenue, Gardena, according to the records of Los Angeles County Fictitious Firm Name File. This certificate listed the Tercan Enterprises as being engaged in advertising, business and property management, insurance and commercial photography.

The records of the Gardena Police Department, Gardena, California, contain no pertinent information concerning [REDACTED], however, it is noted that [REDACTED] has been in contact with BONANNO on some of BONANNO's previous visits to the Los Angeles area.

With reference to association between BONANNO, [REDACTED] and BATTAGLIA, it is noted that on 8/4/60, [REDACTED] arrived at the Los Angeles International Airport from Tucson, Arizona accompanied by JOHN BATTAGLIA at which time both were interviewed by members of the Los Angeles Police Department who had been alerted by a telephone call from Chief of Police GARMIRE of Tucson, Arizona, of their pending arrival. On this occasion, [REDACTED] told the police that he was introduced a few weeks ago to BATTAGLIA who was using the name JOHN BENNETT and that he had left Los Angeles on the morning of 8/4/60 for Tucson where he met with real estate broker [REDACTED], Tucson and [REDACTED] of the J & M Cattle and Investment Corporation, Inc., 1816 East Alameda, Tucson, for the purpose of discussing a sub-division near Holbrook, Arizona.

b6
b7C

Investigation is continuing in the Los Angeles Office to determine additional background of contacts believed to be pertinent at the PCC and the Bureau and Phoenix will be advised.

The following California cars which are newly registered and not as yet registered in the files of DMV, Los Angeles:

VJN 353, R88995, VJG 788, KVE 941.

Enclosed for the assistance of New York and Phoenix Divisions is a copy of photograph of [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

taken at the International Airport, Los Angeles, California, on 2/8/61.

LEADS

ALBANY

AT ALBANY, NEW YORK Will determine registered owner of car bearing New York license plate 9N2708.

CHICAGO

AT PALATINE, ILLINOIS Will determine through established sources additional background information regarding

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED].

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 1. Will determine pertinent background through established sources, of [REDACTED], DI 2-1142.

2. Will determine additional background information through criminal checks regarding [REDACTED]
[REDACTED].

CINCINNATI

AT CINCINNATI, OHIO 1. Will furnish Los Angeles additional information regarding [REDACTED] his reliability, and opinion whether contact with reference to Pejon by the Los Angeles Office is warranted.

2. Will determine registered owner of car bearing Ohio license KW 779.

3. Will determine background information through established sources, of [REDACTED] Ohio Chauffeur's license Y820343.

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

CLEVELAND

AT CLEVELAND, OHIO Will determine through credit and criminal checks, additional background information concerning [redacted] formerly 14405 Saranac Road, Cleveland, (Maywood Hotel).

DALLAS

AT DALLAS, TEXAS Will establish background information through established sources concerning [redacted], telephone RI 5-6589.

DETROIT

AT DETROIT, MICHIGAN Will establish background information through established sources regarding [redacted] (ph), telephone TR 3-1350.

HOUSTON

AT HOUSTON, TEXAS 1. Will determine identity of [redacted] at telephone RI 8-9689, and furnish pertinent background.

2. Will determine additional background information concerning Mrs. [redacted], nee [redacted], aka., [redacted] Houston.

JACKSONVILLE

AT TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA Will determine registered owner of car bearing Florida license 114045.

KANSAS CITY

AT KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI Will determine background information through established sources, of [redacted] Overland Park. [redacted] has credit card from Sears, Kansas City as well as card from Shoppers Service, 336 West 36th Street, Kansas City.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

AT TURNER, MISSOURI Will establish background information through established sources, of [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

LAS VEGAS

AT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA Will determine the identity of subscriber to telephone DU 4-2085.

b6
b7C

2. Will attempt to determine identity of [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], telephonically contacted at the Flamingo Hotel,
DU 2-4000.

MILWAUKEE

AT MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN Will determine registered owner of car bearing Wisconsin license E96733

MINNEAPOLIS

AT MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 1. Will determine identity of subscriber and pertinent background information, of telephone TA 5-4148, where one "[REDACTED]" was called.

2. Will determine identity of subscriber through established sources, of telephone TA 5-4148.

3. Will determine through established sources background information concerning [REDACTED]
Logan Avenue.

NEWARK

b6
b7C

AT WEEHAWKEN, NEW JERSEY Will determine pertinent background information of subscriber to telephone UN 9-3573, where one [REDACTED] was contacted.

AT COLONIA, NEW JERSEY Will determine background information regarding [REDACTED].

LA 92-685

NEW HAVEN

AT BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT Will determine pertinent background of [redacted] Bridgeport.

NEW YORK

AT BAYSIDE, NEW YORK Will determine background information through established sources, of [redacted] Bayside, telephone BA 9-7143.

b6
b7C

OKLAHOMA CITY

AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA Will determine identity of subscriber, and establish background, through established sources, to telephone number RI 2-0002, where one [redacted] was contacted.

PHOENIX

AT TUCSON, ARIZONA Will identify subscriber and furnish pertinent background information to telephone MA 4-0337.

AT SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA Will determine pertinent background regarding [redacted]
[redacted]

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA Will evaluate the above information concerning the contacts at PCC based upon the knowledge of the activities of BONANNO and BATTAGLIA as well as [redacted]

b6
b7C

2. Will immediately furnish any information furnished through its informants which might assist in determining the activities of the BATTAGLIAS and BONANNO at PCC.

PITTSBURGH

AT PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA Will determine background information of [redacted] Perrysville Avenue.

LA 92-685

SAN ANTONIO

AT SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS Will determine the registered owners of cars bearing the following Texas license plates: SP 1076, SJ 802.

SAN FRANCISCO

AT ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA Will determine through established sources the identity of subscriber to telephone ID 3-0479.

AT OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA Will determine subscriber and pertinent background information of telephone number GL 1-9984.

AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA *As previously requested, determine identity of subscribers to telephone numbers in Sunnyvale, California.

SPRINGFIELD

AT SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS Will determine the identities of the registered owners of the following cars bearing Illinois license plates: 3241519, FA 022, 665506, GS 3402, CW 1013.

LOS ANGELES

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 1. Will continue to identify the contacts at the PCC and establish pertinent background concerning them.

2. Following the results of establishing background information, will consider the feasibility of establishing informant coverage at PCC.

3. Will maintain contact with the Travel Lodge Motel, 7370 Sunset Boulevard, and establish appropriate coverage of BONANNO's visits to Los Angeles.

LA 92-685

4. Will, through contacts with established sources, and informants, endeavor to determine the activities of JOHN BATTAGLIA at PCC.

It should be noted that individual cars as well as individuals observed at PCC may have been in contact with that company on legitimate business and that their presence there would not necessarily place them in contact with BONANNO or the BATTAGLIAS.

It is requested that those offices having leads in this case, furnish Los Angeles as well as Phoenix, the office of origin, with the results of their investigation.

Investigation in this matter is not being incorporated in report form at this time in view of the fact that the pertinence of individuals cannot be determined until requested leads are covered; at that time it will be left to the decision of the office of origin which portions of the investigation to incorporate in a subsequent report.

Phoenix Division has emphasized that information concerning the meeting of BONANNO, BATTAGLIA and [redacted] together with information regarding [redacted] coming to Los Angeles must be discreetly handled to protect Phoenix sources.

b6
b7C




LA 92-685

I N D E X

	<u>Pages</u>
AIRCO, INC.	53
ALEXANDER, HUGH & LORENTA	25
[REDACTED]	38, 39
ALLIED BUILDING CREDITS, INC.	13
ALUMINUM CREDIT CORPORATION	13
AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL ELECTRONICS CORP.	33
[REDACTED]	48
[REDACTED]	14
[REDACTED]	24
[REDACTED]	55
[REDACTED]	15
[REDACTED]	55
[REDACTED]	55
[REDACTED]	5
[REDACTED]	52
[REDACTED]	1
BATTAGLIA, CHARLES	1, 2, 38
BATTAGLIA, JOHN	2
[REDACTED]	45
[REDACTED]	48
[REDACTED]	7, 8
BECKER, JACOB	48
[REDACTED]	20
BELL, MILTON	47
BENNETT, JOHN	3, 30
[REDACTED]	18
[REDACTED]	54
[REDACTED]	16
[REDACTED]	16
[REDACTED]	54
[REDACTED]	18, 48
[REDACTED]	53
[REDACTED]	53
[REDACTED]	21, 32
[REDACTED]	39, 55
[REDACTED]	46


b6
b7C

LA 92-685



	<u>Pages</u>
BUTLER, JOHN & GLADYS	22
	19, 49
.	2
.	33
.	18, 25, 43
.	26
.	29
.	15
.	42
.	53
.	14
.	52
.	16
.	19
.	26, 33
.	33
.	55
.	56
.	16
.	33
	15
.	20
.	53
.	52
.	20
.	19
.	27, 28
.	20
FENSKE, WILLIAM	48
	54
.	48
.	16, 17, 22
.	47
.	31
.	23
.	4
.	19
.	29
.	34

b6
b7C

LA 92-685

	<u>Pages</u>
	47
	29, 39
	22
	19
	15, 25, 39
	54
GRANATA, PETER	42
	17, 19
	2
	54
	53
	30, 33
	30, 49
	39, 40
	17
	19
	6
	45
	14
INTERSTATE ALUMINUM	54
	15
	53
	16
	6
	33, 52
	20
	31
	13
	54
	15, 49, 52
	23
	47
	20
	21
	19
	17

b6
b7C



This image shows a full page of dot grid paper. It features a uniform grid of small black dots spaced evenly across the page. A solid black vertical line runs down the left side, creating a narrow margin. Another solid black horizontal line runs across the bottom, creating a footer margin. The rest of the page is covered by the dot grid pattern.

18
21
15, 31
47

43


26
47
28
24, 31, 32, 41
48
31
9

48
27
29
14


IV

LA 92-685

Pages



SCRIVANO, ANTHONY PAUL . . .



15,23

23

55

13

19

27

5

18

41

42,43

1

25

15

17

24

32

17

22,29

18

49

4

25

7

41

42

56

2

4

56

53

55

55

31

20

25,48


26

22

40

43

b6
b7C



--

49
6

30
30
6
37
52
54
28, 42
49
45
53
23

b6
b7C

34
23
39, 40, 41

Court Slips Young Bonanno a Four-Year Term

By NORMA ABRAMS

Salvatore (Bill) Bonanno, 37-year-old son of deposed Mafia overlord Joseph (Joe Bananas) Bonanno, was sentenced to four years in prison and fined \$10,000 yesterday on his Federal Court conviction for conspiracy, mail fraud and perjury in a credit card case.

Sentenced with him, to one year and a \$1,000 fine, was co-defendant Peter Notaro, 56, asserted bodyguard-chauffeur for the ailing Joe Bananas.

And in a separate Federal Court credit card case, Tino Barzic, 44, former manager of Jackie Gleason, Tommy Dorsey and Frank Sinatra, Jr., was put on probation for three years and fined \$1,500.

Tickets for Talent

Barzic was convicted of conspiring to use stolen credit cards to buy more than \$2,700 worth of airline tickets to ferry talent about the country.

In sentencing Bonanno, Judge Walter R. Mansfield castigated him for not taking advantage of the opportunities he had.

"You're not a product of the

ghetto," Mansfield declared. "You led a comfortable life, were well provided for. You could have gotten a job."

Said the judge: "Everything indicates a proclivity toward antisocial conduct."

Not Influenced

At the same time, the jurist said he was not influenced by the notoriety of Bonanno's father, former Cosa Nostra power whose empire has been eroded.

Notaro, said the jurist, posed a different set of circumstances. He played a relatively minor part although he did it willingly, Mansfield stated.

After the sentencing in Manhattan Federal Court, Assistant U.S. Attorney Walter M. Phillips asked that bail be revoked for the pair pending their appeal. He

charged that Bill Bonanno, following the acquittal of his father on another charge in Tucson last week, told the key government witness "your deal."

Nevertheless, Mansfield continued the pair in bail, Bonanno in \$15,000, Notaro in \$10,000. They were convicted Nov. 14 of conspiracy and 53 counts of mail fraud—involving the mailed billing for the credit card purchases. Bonanno was hit also with two perjury raps.

Restitution Ordered

They were charged with fraudulently using another man's Diners Club card to run up \$2,400 in bills on a coast-to-coast tour. Bonanno lives in San Jose, Calif., Notaro in Tucson.

Barzic was directed to make restitution on the 20 airline tickets involved in the other credit card case. He assertedly bought the tickets at 50% of their worth from a man who used stolen cards to purchase rides.

"I regret it deeply," said Barzic. "It'll never happen again."

Judge Marvin E. Frankel said he had received a number of letters in Barzic's behalf, including one from a "star client" who said he would continue to engage Barzic as his agent. The star was not identified.

Barzic, also known as Dante Caesar Barzottini, of Pittsfield, Mass., headed Tin-Bar Amusement Corp. here.

Barzic, also known as Dante Caesar Barzottini, of Pittsfield, Mass., headed Tin-Bar Amusement Corp. here.



Peter Notaro, Tino Barzic and Salvatore (Bill) Bonanno.

The Washington Post _____
Times Herald _____
The Washington Daily News _____
The Evening Star (Washington) _____
The Sunday Star (Washington) _____
Daily News (New York) _____
Sunday News (New York) _____
New York Post _____
The New York Times _____
The Sun (Baltimore) _____
The Daily World _____
The New Leader _____
The Wall Street Journal _____
The National Observer _____
People's World _____
Examiner (Washington) _____

Date MAR 10 1970

File 92-4474-A-
9, 98
NOT RECORDED
123 JUL 13 1970

58 JUL 1 1970

ORIGINAL FILED IN

92-7446-A

JURY QUESTIONS 6 IN MARIA SHOOTING

Brooklyn Inquiry Continues
in Disturbance of Jan. 28

By CHARLES GRUTZNER

A former candidate for justice of the peace on Long Island and the operator of a flower shop in Manhattan's "Little Italy" were questioned by a Brooklyn grand jury yesterday about a January shooting fray in the former Mafia family of Joseph (Joe Bananas) Bonanno. Sercho Tartamella of East Northport, who ran unsuccessfully for justice as a candidate of the Liberal party in the Town of Huntington in 1963, was a guest at the wedding last November of Kathleen Di Gregorio to Louis Coluccio.

The wedding, in the Huntington Town House, had as uninvited guests policemen and agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. They photographed several invited guests. The bride's father was Gasperino Di Gregorio. According to the police, he succeeded to the family leadership left vacant when Joseph Bonanno vanished in October, 1964, on the eve of his scheduled appearance before a Federal grand jury.

Choice as Leader

The choice of Di Gregorio was allegedly dictated by Steve Maggadino of Buffalo, a member of the Mafia's national council.

Maggadino and other known Mafia members were photographed by the police and F.B.I. agents as they arrived for the wedding last fall. Mr. Coluccio is a beauty culturist, and Mr. Tartamella is secretary of Local 155-A, Affiliated Journeymen, Hairdressers and Cosmetologists and Proprietors International Union, an unaffiliated group.

Chief Assistant District Attorney Elliott Golden and Assistant District Attorney Irving Seidman, who are presenting evidence to the Brooklyn jury, declined to say in what way the witnesses might be connected with the inquiry.

"We are questioning everyone who we believe may be able to shed any light on the Bonanno feud and the shooting," Mr. Seidman said.

More than 20 shots rang out in Front Street at about 11 o'clock that night. The police found seven abandoned firearms in the street, but no victims. They believe the skirmish was between supporters of Di Gregorio and those of Salvatore Bonanno, son of Joseph Bonanno.

Among other witnesses yesterday were Rosario Morale, florist, of 235 Elizabeth Street, and his brother, John Morale of Little Neck, L.I. The Morales are said to be related by marriage to Joseph Bonanno. Another witness was Frank Labruzzo, a brother-in-law of Bonanno.

Joseph Notaro and a witness whose identity could not be learned also testified.

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Salvatore Bonanno

The Washington Post and Times Herald _____
The Washington Daily News _____
The Evening Star _____
New York Herald Tribune _____
New York Journal-American _____
New York Daily News _____
New York Post _____
The New York Times C-30
The Baltimore Sun _____
The Worker _____
The New Leader _____
The Wall Street Journal _____
The National Observer _____
People's World _____
Date _____

92-1474-A-
NOT RECORDED
176 APR 21 1966

APR 14 1966

55 APR 21 1966

Skirmish in Brooklyn Exposed As a Three-Gang Banana Split

By WILLIAM FEDERICI and HENRY LEE

That ear-splitting but harmless shoot-out in the Ridgewood section of Brooklyn a month and a half ago was a three-way collision of ganglets seeking control of the mob formerly run by the missing Joseph (Joe Bananas) Bonnano, investigators disclosed yesterday.

With marksmanship that would have shamed a reasonably experienced den of Cub Scouts, the three groups let go with more than 20 shots from at least seven revolvers and a 12-gauge shotgun the night of Jan. 28 near 233 Troutman St.

However, the most serious injury seems to have been the severe fright suffered by Bananas' son, Salvatore (Bill) Bonnano, leader of one of the three ganglets.

Through the Window

At the time, neighbors talked vaguely of having heard fire-crackers popping in the street, but did go so far as to admit one shadowy figure fled through a private home, crashing right through a storm window in his panic. The shoot-and-run individual was young Bonanno, investigators now report.

According to both federal and local investigators, Steve Maggadino, the Costra Nostra boss of Buffalo, was instrumental in installing an in-law, Gasperino DiGregorio, as Joe Bananas' successor.

However, Bananas' son and his supporters and a third group opposed DiGregorio and each other.

Finally, the night of Jan. 28, a "peace meeting" was fixed for Troutman St., in the heart of Bananas' territory. The investigation indicates that DiGregorio's crowd and the third unit arrived in separate cars—both heavily armed.

When the two cars disgorged their troops, shooting erupted from fire escapes and doorways

in what was apparently an intended ambush by young Bonnano's troops.

The visitors fired back and the slugs ricocheted as wildly as ping-pong balls—and just as harmlessly. After a few minutes, the three ganglets fled in three directions.

Now that they have caught their breath, Brooklyn District Attorney Aaron E. Koota is calling all known and suspected participants before a grand jury, probably next week.

Subpenaed on Stretcher

To top names in Cosa Nostra to modest ones, he has issued 41 subpoenas. When attempts were made to serve DiGregorio at his home in West Babylon, L.I., relatives insisted he was not there.

However, the cops waited, and after a while a doctor and an ambulance showed up, and DiGregorio, reportedly suffering a heart attack, was wheeled in a stretcher to the ambulance. Enroute, the cops served him with the paper.

Joe Bananas disappeared Oct. 21, 1964, on the eve of a scheduled appearance before a federal racketeers grand jury. His lawyer, William Power Maloney, said two men kidnaped Bananas on Park Ave. after pegging a shot at Maloney.



Salvatore (Bill) Bonnano
Window shot in his way

Tolson _____
DeLoach _____
Mohr _____
Wick _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
Felt _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Wick
Engr
8/10/66
T-1
4

The Washington Post and Times Herald _____
The Washington Daily News _____
The Evening Star _____
New York Herald Tribune _____
New York Journal-American _____
New York Daily News _____
New York Post _____
The New York Times _____
The Baltimore Sun _____
The Worker _____
The New Leader _____
The Wall Street Journal _____
The National Observer _____
People's World _____
Date _____

file Salvatore
92-4494-A
file

MCT-18 179 917

MAR 11 1966

MAR 11 1966

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Belmont _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Casper _____
 Mr. Callahan _____
 Mr. Conrad _____
 Mr. Evans _____
 Mr. G. I. _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Sullivan _____
 Mr. Tavel _____
 Mr. Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Miss Holmes _____
 Miss Gandy _____

3-1721-... 3.8
 (Mount Clipping in Space Below)

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

PAGE 21

ARIZONA REPUBLIC

Phoenix, Arizona

Mrs. Bonanno Denies Taking Pill Overdose

SCOTTSDALE. — Mrs. Rosalie Bonanno, 27-year-old mother of three, denied that she had taken an overdose of sleeping pills at her home Friday.

A Scottsdale police report said Mrs. Bonanno, daughter-in-law of Giuseppe Bonanno, one-time New York gangland figure, took an overdose of barbituates.

Speaking from her hospital bed, Mrs. Bonanno said:

"I took one pill with water after putting my three small sons to bed; and the next thing I remember is that I woke up in the hospital.

"I HADN'T been feeling well and I'm highly sensitive to drugs; I took the pill to get some sleep and for no other reason."

Earlier, Scottsdale police said Mrs. Bonanno told them that she had taken a "bunch" of purple pills.

Mrs. Bonanno, who was reported in fair condition yesterday, said she expected to be discharged today. But hospital attendants said that she might possibly remain there a little longer.

Scottsdale police also quoted her

as saying she was having domestic problems. Yesterday, Mrs. Bonanno denied there was any rift between her and her husband.

Mrs. Bonanno said that her husband, Salvatore Bonanno, 30, found her unconscious when he arrived at their home, 6331 E. Calle del Paisano, during the early evening hours.

THE ASSOCIATED Press quoted Scottsdale police Capt. Richard J. Snider as saying that Bonanno forced his wife to swallow vinegar in an attempt to rid her of the barbituate, then took her to the hospital.

Bonanno, who reports his occupation as investments, was convicted in Maricopa County Superior Court of drawing on an account with insufficient funds in January 1962. He later made restitution.

Date: 5/13/63

Edition:

Author:

Editor:

Title:

Wm. Salvatore Bonanno

Character: AR

or BuFile 92-4494

Classification: 92-172

Submitting Office: PHOENIX

92-4494-A
 NOT RECORDED
 149 MAY 24 1963

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Belmont _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Callahan _____
 Mr. Conrad _____
 Mr. DeLoach _____
 Mr. Evans _____
 Mr. Malone _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Sullivan _____
 Mr. Tavel _____
 Mr. Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Miss Holmes _____
 Miss Gandy _____

Check Charge Plea Dropped By Bonanno

Salvatore Bonanno, 29, son of a long-time East Coast gangster, yesterday dropped his Supreme Court appeal of his bogus check writing conviction.

Young Bonanno paid \$2,248 in restitution and indicated he wants court permission to leave and re-enter Arizona.

A resident of 3517 E. Monterosa, Salvatore is the son of Joseph Bonanno, of Tucson, described in a California crime investigation report as a top-ranking underworld leader.

The college-educated Bonanno was convicted in January of writing an insufficient funds check. He appealed to the Supreme Court.

Yesterday Bonanno's attorney, Paul Primock, canceled that appeal. Bonanno was given three years probation.

Primock, minus his client, also paid the \$2,248 in restitution for the check. Restitution was part of the strict probation requirements placed on Bonanno by Judge W. E. Patterson who heard the case.

Other restrictions were that Bonanno not associate with known criminals and that he not leave the state without permission.

Primock yesterday asked Judge Ross F. Jones for that permission but Judge Jones referred him to Judge Patterson. Judge Patterson is retired but rules on occasional cases.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

page 18

The Arizona Republic

Phoenix, Arizona

Date: 6/7/62

Edition:

Author:

Editor: J. Edward Murray

Title: Salvatore Bonanno

Character: Px 92-172
Bufile 92-

Classification:

Submitting Office: Phoenix

83 JUN 25 1962 399

192-4494-17
NOT RECORDED
15 JUN 22 1962

Jury Costs To Be Paid By Bonanno

SALVATORE (Bill) Bonanno, son of a reputed one-time underworld leader, has to pay the cost of the superior court jury that found him guilty of a check charge.

This was a term of probation granted yesterday by Superior Court Judge W. E. Patterson to the 29-year-old, self-styled Phoenix real estate man.

THE JUDGE required the defendant to pay \$288 jury fees and \$20 for the cost of jurors' meals.

Judge Patterson also gave Bonanno 60 days to repay the Valley National Bank the \$1,930 it lost because his check was drawn on an insufficient bank account.

And the court ordered that Bonanno associate only with "good companions" during the three-year probation period.

Judge Patterson said he suspended sentence because young Bonanno had never been arrested before.

POLICE claim his father, Joe Bonanno of Tucson, was among 27 big-name racketeers attending the 1957 Apalachin, N.Y. crime conference.

Young Bonanno claimed the check was given with the understanding that it be held until he had money in his account to cover it, and that it was post-dated for that purpose.

He also said he had tried to repay the bank, and this was refused because the check had been turned over to the county attorney.

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Ingram	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

*9- Kelly
Bill*

Arizona Republic
Phoenix, Arizona
January 30, 1962

SALVATORE BONANNO
AR

Px File 92-172

92-4497

17-1111
NOT RECORDED
48 FEB 13 1962

Mr. Tolson _____
 Mr. Belmont _____
 Mr. Mohr _____
 Mr. Callahan _____
 Mr. Conrad _____
 Mr. DeLoach _____
 Mr. Evans _____
 Mr. Malone _____
 Mr. Rosen _____
 Mr. Sullivan _____
 Mr. Tavel _____
 Mr. Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Mr. Ingram _____
 Miss Gandy _____

Salvatore Bonanno Given Probation On Check Charge

Salvatore Bonanno, 29, manager of a Phoenix restaurant, was given three years' probation today in superior court, where he was convicted last week of drawing a check on an insufficient funds account.

Judge W. E. Patterson, a retired Yavapai County jurist who was called in to conduct the trial, said he would suspend sentence for three years because the defendant had no previous criminal record.

HE DIRECTED Bonanno to "use this opportunity" to make a good contribution to society.

Bonanno testified that he wrote a \$1,930 check on a San Francisco

bank and made it payable to the payroll account of the Romulus Steak House, on N. 16th Street near McDowell last Sept. 13.

He said he left the check on the desk of Anthony Vaccaro, owner of the restaurant, and that he had post-dated the check to Sept. 18. By that time, he said, he planned to borrow money on his home before the check reached the bank in San Francisco.

However, he did not raise the money and, after the restaurant closed in October, the Valley National Bank, which honored the check here, called upon police.

BONANNO ALSO WAS directed to make restitution for the check and to pay court costs. This amounted to a total of \$2,248.

The Phoenix Gazette
 Phoenix, Arizona
 January 29, 1962

SALVATORE BONANNO
 AR

Px File 92-172

Bufale 92-4497

57 FEB 13 1962

92-4474-A
 NOT RECORDED
 46 FEB 13 1962

Bill Bonanno Found Guilty Of Bad Check

SALVATORE (Bill) Bonanno, 28, son of a prohibition-era figure, Joe Bonanno, was convicted yesterday of drawing a \$1,930 check on an insufficient bank account.

The jury deliberated five hours after a 2½-day trial before Superior Judge W. E. Patterson. Bonanno will be sentenced at 9:30 a.m. Jan. 29. He was released on bond.

Prosecutors Walter F. Kessler and Douglas MacArthur charged Bonanno drew the check with intent to defraud last September while he was managing a Phoenix restaurant.

The usually jaunty Bonanno, a resident of Scottsdale, was smiling as he strode from the courtroom. He declined comment. His attorney, Paul Primock, said they will appeal.

Mr. Tolson_____
Mr. Belmont_____
Mr. Mohr_____
Mr. Callahan_____
Mr. Conrad_____
Mr. DeLoach_____
Mr. Evans_____
Mr. Malone_____
Mr. Rosen_____
Mr. Sullivan_____
Mr. Tavel_____
Mr. Trotter_____
Tele. Room_____
Mr. Ingram_____
Miss Gandy_____

THE ARIZONA REPUBLIC
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
January 25, 1962

SALVATORE V. BONANNO
AR

Px File 92-172

Buf file 92-4494

92-4494-A
NOT RECORDED
46 FEB 13 1962

57 FEB 13 1962

Sentencing Set Jan. 29 For Bonanno

Sentencing for Salvatore (Bill) Bonanno, 28, former manager of a Phoenix restaurant, has been set for Jan. 29 in superior court, following his conviction on a charge of drawing a \$1,930 check on an insufficient funds account.

AFTER HEARING evidence for 2 days in the trial, conducted by Judge W. E. Patterson, the jury deliberated for five hours before bringing in a verdict of guilty.



The prosecution, represented by Walter F. Kessler and Douglas MacArthur, contended Bonanno

BONANNO drew the check with intent to defraud last Sept. 13, when he was manager of the Romulus, a restaurant on 16th Street near McDowell.

Bonanno's attorney, Paul Primock, argued that, since Bonanno wrote the check payable to the Romulus payroll account and gave it to Tony Vaccaro, owner of the new closed restaurant, and knew there was no money in his bank account at the time, there was no intent to defraud.

ON THE WITNESS stand, Bonanno said he planned to put money in the bank to cover the check from a mortgage on his home, but admitted no mortgage ever had been issued.

The prosecution also brought out that the check, which was drawn on a San Francisco bank and deposited with the Valley National here, established credit so employees of the restaurant could be paid. The bank did not go to the police department until some six weeks after the check was deposited here. In the meantime, the restaurant went into bankruptcy.

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Ingram	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

The Phoenix Gazette
Phoenix, Arizona
January 25, 1962

SAVATORE BONANNO
AR

Px File 92-172

92-4494
NOT RECORDED
46 FEB 13 1962

57 FEB 13 1962

Defense Plea In Bonanno Case Denied

A motion for a directed verdict of acquittal in the trial of Salvatore (Bill) Bonanno, 28, charged with writing a \$1,930 check on an insufficient funds account, was denied today in superior court.

The motion was made by Paul Primock, one of Bonanno's attorneys, after the state rested its case. Primock argued the state had not linked Bonanno with the check.

Bonanno, of Scottsdale, allegedly gave the check to a bookkeeper for a restaurant Bonanno used to manage here. After the bookkeeper deposited the check to the restaurant's account—the prosecution claims he was ordered to do—the check was rejected by the bank. It was written on:

In selecting a jury yesterday, prospective jurors were asked by the defense if they had relatives on the city police force or with other governmental agencies.

Several months ago, Bonanno filed a \$100,000 suit against Police Chief Charles P. Thomas and a long list of officers who he charged had harassed him after he moved here from Tucson.

The case now is before the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals in San Francisco. In the action, Bonanno alleged his civil rights were violated, but the case was dismissed in the local district court.

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. _____	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

See Anderson

*9 - [Signature]
field*

The Phoenix Gazette
Phoenix, Arizona
January 23, 1962

SALVATORE V. BONANNO
AR.

Px File 92-172

Bureau 92-4474

172 4474-A
NOT RECORDED
16 FEB 13 1962

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Ingram	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Bonanno's Son Posts Jail Bond

SALVATORE (Bill) Bonanno was released on \$2,000 bail yesterday after being arrested by Phoenix police at 2 a.m. in Tucson on a felony bogus check charge.

The 28-year-old son of Joe Bonanno, prohibition area hoodlum, was arrested by city detectives at his father's home.

Bonanno was charged in a complaint filed before Justice of the Peace Charles Coppock.

He is accused of depositing a \$1,930 check, which turned out to be worthless, in the Valley National Bank. The check was written by Bonanno on a San Francisco bank, officers said.

Lt. Gordon Selby and Detectives James LaPonsle and Allan Roth made the trip to Tucson to make the arrest. Selby said Bonanno was accompanied at the time of the arrest by Anthony Vaccaro, owner of the Romulus Steak House at 1710 N. 16th St. Bonanno has been managing the Romulus for the past several months.

Shortly after his original arrival in Phoenix, Bonanno filed a \$100,000 civil rights suit against Police Chief Charles Thomas and several other policemen, claiming his civil rights had been violated by police harassment.

THE SUIT eventually was dismissed by Federal Judge Daye W. Ling. It since has been appealed to the Ninth U.S. Court of Appeals at San Francisco.

Bonanno was booked at 4:25 a.m. Four hours later he was transferred to county jail with several other prisoners, then released on bail.

Tucson officers reported they had not seen the elder Bonanno at his Tucson home for the past three months. They reported he boarded a plane for San Francisco the first part of August and hasn't been seen in Tucson since that time.

The Romulus was closed recently with no explanation offered by Bonanno nor Vaccaro as to why the night spot ceased operations.

Officers reported Bonanno told them the insufficient funds check was an oversight on the part of one of his former employees.

The harassment suit claimed Bonanno's rights were violated when Phoenix police officers inferred it would be better if he left town.

Bonanno has been living with his family at 4633 Purdue in Scottsdale.

192-4494-1
NOT RECORDED
184 OCT 31 1961

MR. J. EDWARD MURRAY, Mg. Ed.
THE ARIZONA REPUBLIC
Phoenix, Arizona, 10/20/61p 21

SALVATORE BONANNO

DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI
PX 92-472
OCT 31 1961

DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI
OCT 31 1961

56 OCT 31 1961

S. Bonnano Jailed Here For Check

By THURMAN JOHNS
Gazette Staff Writer

Salvatore Bonnano, 28-year-old son of prohibition era hoodlum Joe Bonnano, was booked in the city jail here this morning on a felony check charge.

Phoenix detectives armed with a warrant issued by Justice of the Peace Charles Coppock arrested the younger Bonnano as he walked from his father's house at 1847 E. Elm in Tucson shortly before 2 a.m. today.

Detective Lt. Gordon Selby and detectives Jim La Ponsie and Alan Roth, all of the special investigations squad, said they stepped out of the darkness to serve their warrant on the surprised Bonnano.

"WE HAD THE charge filed yesterday afternoon after receiving a complaint from the Valley National Bank that a \$1,930 check written by Bonnano in September on a San Francisco bank had bounced," Lt. Selby said.

Selby said Bonnano was accompanied by Tony Vaccaro, owner of the Romulus Steak House at 1710 N. 16th St., who announced last May 15 he had hired Bonnano to manage his night spot here.

Vaccaro was not detained.

Officers said they had no trouble making the arrest.

"YOU MIGHT SAY the atmosphere was congenial under the circumstances," Selby said.

Last April Bonnano filed a \$100,000 civil rights suit against Phoenix Police Chief Charles P. Thomas, Selby, and Lt. Leon Orr charging they had violated his civil rights when they suggested to him he would be happier if he did not settle in Phoenix.

After the suit was dismissed, Bonnano filed a similar suit and included 15 more police officers. At the time he claimed he was being "harassed" by the police department.

THIS SUIT was also dismissed by U.S. District Judge Daye W. Ling Sept. 20, but Bonnano has filed an appeal.

Selby said that after the warrant was issued officers staked out Bonnano's home at 4633 Purdue in Scottsdale but when the suspect failed to show they started checking his other haunts.

He said the Tucson police were not informed about the warrant until after the arrest was made.

"This was because we were pretty sure he would be at his father's house," the officer said.

AT 4:45 A.M., about 25 minutes after he was booked in the city jail, a bail bond representative appeared at police headquarters and attempted to put up the \$2,000 bond set by Coppock.

Since the warrant had already been turned over to the sheriff's office by police, the bondsman discovered he could not get Bonnano out of jail until around 8 a.m. when the usual routine of transfer of prisoners is made.

Deputy D. N. Arellanes said there were no men available in his office to conduct a special transfer of the prisoner.

- Mr. Tolson_____
- Mr. Belmont_____
- Mr. Mohr_____
- Mr. Callahan_____
- Mr. Conrad_____
- Mr. DeLoach_____
- Mr. Evans_____
- Mr. Malone_____
- Mr. Rosen_____
- Mr. Sullivan_____
- Mr. Tavel_____
- Mr. Trotter_____
- Tele. Room_____
- Mr. Ingram_____
- Miss Gandy_____

9-10-61
file

92-4494-A
NOV 21 1961
184 OCT 31 1961

MR. MASON WALSH, MG. ED.
PHOENIX GAZETTE
Phoenix, Ariz., 10/19/61

RE: SALVATORE BONANNO
AR

PX 92-172

✓ file 92-4494

66 NOV 14 1961

RECEIVED
NOV 14 1961
FBI



Salvatore (Bill) Bonnano (left) is escorted to jail by Detective Jim La Ponsie.

Handwritten: 2/1/61

Bill Bonanno Sues Phoenix, Police Chief For \$100,000

By JACK KARIE

SALVATORE. (Bill) Bonanno yesterday filed a \$100,000 damage suit against the City of Phoenix, Chief of Police Charles Thomas, and two detectives.

Bonanno, 27-year-old son of Giuseppe (Joe Bananas) Bonanno, reputed underworld kingpin, was present when attorneys filed his suit in U.S. District Court here. Also sought is an injunction restraining city police from harassing Bonanno.

The damage suit also names Lts. Gordon Selby and Leon Orr. Representing Bonanno are attorneys Dominic Frinzi of New York City and Milwaukee, Wis., and Joe Sobie of Tucson.

THE SUIT alleges young Bonanno's constitutional rights under the 14th amendment of the U.S. Constitution were violated when Thomas ordered his officers to tell Bonanno to get out of town.

It states that Thomas threatened to subject Bonanno to "continuous police harassment until such time as he would be forced to move from the City of Phoenix."

"That acting under direct orders of Chief of Police Thomas, Gordon Selby and Leon Orr, along with other members of the police department did on numerous occasions accost, interrogate,

and detain the plaintiff (Bonanno) in public places and in the presence of others thereby subjecting him to scorn, ridicule and humiliation; that pursuant to said orders police did unlawfully, without the consent and permission and without warrant or other legal process, search the person and motor vehicle of the defendant."

Bonanno has defied a police order to leave Phoenix issued April 22. He said he came here from his Tucson home to help a friend, Tony Vaccaro, refurbish the Ro-

mulus Restaurant, 1710 N. 16th St.

Frinzi claims he had a firsthand experience with Phoenix police at 16th Street and McDowell at 2:15 a.m. yesterday.

Frinzi said he was approached by a police officer wearing a tag with the name H. Jarrett. (Phoenix police rolls list a patrolman named Howard Jarrett.)

"The officer asked me questions which I refused to answer," Frinzi said. "He then told me about the strict vagrancy laws of Phoenix and hinted that I might be another undesirable character."

Frinzi said he told the officer: "As a citizen, I'm going to walk away from you as you have no right to deter me."

Hearing on the suit is set for 10 a.m. May 29 before U.S. District Judge Dave W. Ling.

BONANNO is in the wholesale food business (Tucson Food Distributors).

His father was among 23 persons charged with obstructing investigation of the Nov. 14, 1957, Apalachin, N.Y., meeting of persons reputedly connected with the underworld. He was convicted, but the conviction was reversed on appeal.

Joe Bonanno is reputed to be the No. 1 man in the Mafia; a world-wide crime syndicate.

In 1958, the Phoenix police hoodlum detail said information in its files showed Joe Bonanno had risen steadily in the underworld until he was considered most likely to succeed the alleged Mafia leader, Joe Profaci, of Brooklyn.

- Mr. Tolson.....
- Mr. Parsons.....
- Mr. Mohr.....
- Mr. Belmont.....
- Mr. Callahan.....
- Mr. Conrad.....
- Mr. DeLoach.....
- Mr. Evans.....
- Mr. Malone.....
- Mr. Rosen.....
- Mr. Tavel.....
- Mr. Trotter.....
- Mr. W.C. Sullivan.....
- Tele. Room.....
- Mr. Ingram.....
- Miss Gandy.....

J. Edward Murray, Mg.Ed.
ARIZONA REPUBLIC, Phoenix
Arizona, 5/4/61 - page 23

RE: SALVATORRE BONANNO
AR


PX 92-172

170-4494 A
NOT RECORDED
191 MAY 15 1961

58 MAY 16 1961

Handwritten: file

UNITED STATES COURT HOUSE



SUES FOR \$100,000—Salvatore (Bill) Bonanno, center, is flanked by his attorneys, Dominic Frinzi of New York City and Joseph Sobie of Tucson, after filing a \$100,000 damage suit against Phoenix and police chief, and plea for restraining order against city police. In suit, Bonanno states his constitutional rights were violated when he was ordered to leave Phoenix. Story, Page 23.

OT

11

See 7.1.61

Letter Raps Handling Of Bonanno

A letter of protest to the Phoenix police against action taken by the police department in connection with Salvatore (Bill) Bonanno, 29, of Tucson, has reportedly been drafted by the Northern Area of the American Civil Liberties Union.

Police Chief Charles P. Thomas, however, said he had received no official protest from the ACLU so far, and that none of the group has contacted the police department.

"No one has asked us our side of the story or requested any facts from us about the incident," the chief said.

HE POINTED OUT that Bonanno was not directly told to leave town but was asked to leave. The police department felt, he said, that Bonanno and his associates were an undesirable element.

Young Bonanno has never been convicted of a crime, but his father, Joe Bonanno, attended a meeting at Apalachin, N.Y., at which underworld leaders from across the nation were present. Harold Goldman, local attorney, told The Phoenix Gazette today that he was a member of the board of the ACLU and knew that a letter had been sent to a Phoenix newspaper protesting the police department's action.

HE SAID HE did not know the full contents of the letter. He did name the other members of the board.

The letter reportedly said, in part: "If the police have charges to bring against Mr. Bonanno, they should bring them; otherwise, he should be allowed the same privileges as any other citizen of the United States and Arizona."

Chief Thomas said he was conscious of everyone's civil rights and will give Bonanno and his friends "constant protection" while they are in Phoenix.

- Mr. Tolson_____
- Mr. Parsons_____
- Mr. Mohr_____
- Mr. Belmont_____
- Mr. Callahan_____
- Mr. Conrad_____
- Mr. DeLoach_____
- Mr. Evans_____
- Mr. Malone_____
- Mr. Rosen_____
- Mr. Tavel_____
- Mr. Trotter_____
- Mr. W.C.Sullivan_____
- Tele. Room_____
- Mr. Ingram_____
- Miss Gandy_____

Handwritten signatures and initials: "L. J. [unclear]", "RBE-1"

Mason Walsh, Mg. Ed
PHOENIX GAZETTE
Phoenix, Ariz, 4/27/61 p 2

RE: SALVATORRE BONANNO
AR

PX 92-172

MCT-14

12-4494 - H

NOT RECORDED
191 MAY 12 1961

Handwritten: "file"

62 MAY 17 1961

BT Young Bonanno Defies Phoenix Police Warning

PHOENIX, April 25 (AP)—Salvatore Bill Bonanno, 29, said Tuesday night he plans to build a home in Phoenix and dared police to "chase me out of town."

The defiant statement came a few hours after city police urged him strongly to leave town.

The son of Joe Bonanno, one of the 23 persons alleged to have attended the Nov. 14, 1959, meeting of underworld leaders at Apalachin, N. Y., Bonanno was upset by the clash.

"I have done no wrong and I don't intend to do anything wrong," he told newsmen. "I'm a citizen of this United States and I can go anywhere I want to in this country and nobody can tell me otherwise."

"Now they've got me to a point where I'm going to show them," he said. "I'm going to build a home right here in Phoenix. We'll see if they're able to chase me out of town."

Two detectives interrogated young Bonanno at an east-side restaurant. He told them he was there to help the

owner, Anthony Vaccaro, remodel the bar.

Police Chief Charles P. Thomas said the officers informed Bonanno that his presence in Phoenix, or that of his Tucson associates, was undesirable.

"We don't think they'll be happy here," Thomas said. "In fact I'm sure they'll be happier elsewhere."

He indicated that should Bonanno remain in Phoenix, detectives from the intelligence section will keep him under constant surveillance.

Bonanno threatened to seek a court injunction to keep police away from him.

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Ingram	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Staffer
[Signature]

Mr. Victor Thornton, Mg. Ed.
ARIZONA DAILY STAR, Tucson,
Arizona, 4/26/61 p 2-A

Will
RE: SALVATORRE BONANNO
AR
PX 92-172

463
57 MAY 10 1961

92-172-16
NOT RECORDED
191 MAY 10 1961
file

UNITED STATES GOV

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Belmont

DATE: May 7, 1962

FROM : C. A. Evans

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONNANO
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Tolson	_____
Belmont	_____
Mohr	_____
Callahan	_____
Conrad	_____
DeLoach	_____
Evans	_____
Malone	_____
Rosen	_____
Sullivan	_____
Tavel	_____
Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holmes	_____
Gandy	_____

In line with our disruptive program regarding organized criminal activity, our Phoenix Office has requested authority to anonymously mail a copy of a birth certificate and a newspaper article pertaining to the birth of a child on January 22, 1962, to Helga Marriane Reynholz, the subject's paramour. William Salvatore Bonnano is alleged to be the child's father. The mailings would be directed to the Bonnano and Profaci families.

He uses the name Schmidt.

William Salvatore Bonnano, the son of Joseph Bonnano who is a prominent underworld leader, is married to the niece of Joseph Profaci, leading New York City racketeer. Joseph Bonnano and Joseph Profaci have both been identified as members of the "commission."

William Salvatore Bonnano, 29 years old, presently resides in Tucson, Arizona, and has been involved in numerous unsuccessful business ventures.

The information regarding the birth of the child could cause a rift between the Profaci and Bonnano families, two of the most prominent underworld groups. This matter could be taken as a personal insult by Joseph Profaci in view of the close relationship existent in the Profaci family.

The Phoenix Office will be instructed as to the manner in which the newspaper article will be mailed with appropriate precautions taken to insure that the matter will in no way be identified with the Bureau. To preclude the possibility of linking the Bureau with the mailings, Phoenix is not being authorized to include copies of the birth certificate. Copies of the newspaper article will be sent to the subject's wife; Joseph Bonnano, the subject's father; and to Joseph Profaci, uncle of the subject's wife, with a provocative anonymous letter.

Attached for your information is a copy of the birth certificate and newspaper article mentioned above.

Enclosures

enc. attached to mail from Phoenix dated 4/15/62
JEK:mac
(6)

62 MAY 11 1962

MAY 10 1962

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

May 15, 1962

REC-48

Director, FBI (92-4494) - 82

ST
132

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING

Re Phoenix letter 5/11/62.

In view of the fact that additional copies of "The Phoenix Gazette" newspaper dated 1/22/62 are not available, it would not be appropriate to prepare unidentifiable copies of the article regarding the subject for anonymous mailing.

You should, however, mail the anonymous letters in accordance with instructions set forth by Bulet 5/9/62. However, the letters to Joseph Bonanno and Joseph Profaci should be amended to read as follows:

Joseph Bonanno - So Bill had a child by his German girl friend - A son acts just like his old man.

Joseph Profaci - Rosalie's husband had a child by his German girl friend - he's a rat like all Bonannos.

You must insure that all precautionary steps are taken, as outlined by Bulet 5/9/62.


2 - New York (92-1586)

NOTE: The Director approved anonymous mailings in Evans to Belmont memo dated 5/7/62, came caption. In view of the fact necessary copies of newspaper article were not available, the above action is being taken.

63-7616 - Disruption of Criminal Intelligence Program

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

JEK:mac
(7)



RECEIVED - FBI

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 63-7616-1

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 5/11/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)(P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR
OO:PHOENIX

ReBulet to Phoenix dated 5/9/62.

For the information of the Bureau, there were no additional copies of "The Phoenix Gazette" newspaper dated 1/22/62, available at the time the copy with the birth announcement was obtained.

Inquiry at "The Phoenix Gazette" revealed that the only presently available copy of this issue is maintained in the Archives at the State Capital Building in Phoenix.

The Bureau is requested to consider preparing unidentifiable copies of this article, and furnishing these copies to Phoenix for mailing at Tucson.

② - Bureau
2 - New York (92-1586)
1 - Phoenix
RWR/mdc
(5)

REC-48

92-4494-82

EX-132
SPECIFIC

MAY 22 1962

MAY 14 10 14 AM '62

FBI

UNITED STATES

MENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340) (P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: Phoenix

DATE: 5/18/62

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to Director, dated 4/10/62.

On April 30 and May 8, 1962, contact was had with [redacted]
Deputy Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, by
SA [redacted]. On the latter date, [redacted] advised that the
Appellee in the matter entitled SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs.
CHARLES THOMAS, ET AL, Appellee, CCA Number 17630, had been granted an
extension of time until June 4, 1962, to submit his brief in this matter.

b6
b7C

The San Francisco office will continue to follow this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)
2 - San Francisco
cc: 66-3705-143
DVE/db
(6)

REC-71

92-4494-83

EX-124

MAY 21 1962

FBI

62 MAY 25 1962
349

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

May 17, 1962

EX-114 REC-8
Director, FBI (92-4494) - 84

JUNE

**WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR**

Re your FD-142 dated 5/11/62.

You are authorized to activate misur at the San-Nic Importing Company, 719 East Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, which was installed at the time you conducted a survey.

Advise Bureau when source activated and symbol number assigned.

This authorization is for a 90-day period, effective at the time source activated. In the event you desire to continue this installation beyond the authorized period, submit your recommendations to reach the Bureau five days prior to the expiration of this authority.

Furnish the Bureau a weekly airtel summary of information furnished by this source.

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is under investigation by PX in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the 40 prime targets for early prosecutive action, who was allegedly a member of the "commission." The subject's wife is the niece of Joseph Profaci, leading New York City hoodlum.

PX Office reports it anticipates receiving intelligence information from misur as to subject's contacts, associates, and activities. The installations is in subject's office at an importing company. Subject's close associates, [redacted] and Joseph Baskin, also employed by this company, and office is probably used for discussion of their activities. Subject is considered an important figure by the PX office in view of his father and wife's relations. Interviews with the subject's business associates and regular contacts by PX have been unproductive. PX reports full security assured and is authorized to activate misur for a period of 90 days.

1 - Mr. J. D. Donohue

JEK:mac

(5)

MAIL ROOM

MAY 28 1962

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

b6
b7c

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

JUNE

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (Bufile: 92-4494) DATE: 5/11/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INSTALLATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

RE: Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka

Character of Case: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Field Office; PHOENIX

Symbol Number: [REDACTED]

Type of Surveillance: (~~TECHNICAL~~ Microphone)

~~CONF. INFT.~~

1. Name and address of subject:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
3517 East Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona

2. Location of technical operation:

719 East Madison, Phoenix, Arizona

3. Other technical surveillances on same subject:

None

4. Cost and manpower involved:

No cost or manpower in addition to that presently being utilized at technical plant in Phoenix Office

Transmission phone lines furnished gratis by Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Co.

5. Adequacy of security:

Full security.

① - Bureau (AM)
1 - Phoenix
RWR:vlc

Registered Mail
(2)

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
REC'D
EX-114

REC-8

92-4494-84

16 MAY 14 1962

INT. SEC.

SPEC. MAIL RM.

EXP. PROC.
b2

6. Type of case involved:

Anti-Racketeering - hoodlum activity

7. Connection or status of subject in the case:

Subject is son of JOSEPH BONANNO, National Top Hoodlum, and is married to [REDACTED], a niece of National Top Hoodlum JOSEPH PROFACI.

b6
b7C

8. Specific information being sought:

Intelligence information as to contacts, associates, and activities of subject, and information which would indicate violation of Federal anti-racketeering statutes.

9. Reasons for believing the specific information will be obtained by the technical surveillance:

Installation is in subject's office at San-Nic Importing Co., Inc., where business of company is transacted. Close associates of subject, [REDACTED] and JOE L. BASKIN also employed by this company, and office is probably used for discussion of their activities.

b6
b7C

10. Importance of case and subject:

Subject considered important due to close relationship with National Top Hoodlums, and frequent contacts with known hoodlums.

11. Possibilities of obtaining desired information by other means
(Explain in detail):

Subject has been very close-mouthed in discussion of activities with his personal acquaintances. It is believed that information available from this installation could not be obtained from other sources, as interviews with his business associates have been completely unproductive.

12. Risks of detection involved:

No risk involved.

13. Probable length of technical surveillance:

Indefinite

14. Request made for technical surveillance by any outside agency
(name specific official, title and agency):

None known

15. Remarks:

A survey was made at the location during the early morning of 5/11/62, at which time an excellent opportunity occurred for immediate installation. Inasmuch as such an opportunity might not have been available again in the immediate future, a microphone "mate" was installed in the office of the subject.

The Bureau is requested to grant immediate authority for the monitoring of this installation.

[redacted] number is being furnished for this installation.

b2

16. Recommendation of Assistant Director:

William Salvatore Bonanno is under investigation by PX in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the 40 prime targets for early prosecutive action, who was allegedly a member of the "commission." The subject's wife is the niece of Joseph Profaci, leading New York City hoodlum.

PX Office reports it anticipates receiving intelligence information from misur as to subject's contacts, associates, and activities. The installation is in subject's office at an importing company. Subject's close associates, [redacted] and Joseph Baskin, also employed by this company, and office is probably used for discussion of their activities. Subject is considered an important figure by the PX Office in view of his father and wife's relations. Interviews with the subject's business associates and regular contacts by PX have been unproductive. PX reports full security assured and is authorized to activate misur for a period of 90 days.

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 5/22/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka
 AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Bureau letters to Phoenix 5/9/62 and 5/15/62, and
 New York airtel to Phoenix 5/15/62.

On 5/22/62, anonymous letters were mailed at Tucson,
 Arizona, to JOSEPH PROFACI, 8863 15th Avenue, Brooklyn, New
 York; JOSEPH BONANNO, 1847 East Elm, Tucson, Arizona; and
 [REDACTED] Phoenix, Arizona, using
 text suggested in re Bulets.

New York advise Bureau any tangible results on basis
 of letter to PROFACI. Phoenix will report any tangible results
 on basis of letters to BONANNOS.

b6
b7C

REC-7

C.C. Wick

92-4494-85

EX-115
 3 - Bureau 2 - New York (92-1586)
 3 - Phoenix
 (1 - 92-235)
 (1 - 92-28) 11 JUN 1962

MAY 24 1962

RWR/skt
(8)

FBI

Approved: E. J. Boyle
 62 MAY 31 1962 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 5/22/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

JUNE

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

ReBulet to Phoenix 4/24/62.

Misur activated as of May 22, 1962, and being
designated as Ariz.

CONF. INFT.

EX-105 REC-34

92-4494-86

5 MAY 24 1962

2 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

JBD:lds
(3)

MAY 23 1962

5/23/62

airtel

To: SAC, Phoenix (67-8)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

From: Director, FBI (92-4494)

JUNE

SALVATORE V. BONANNO
AR

Reurlet 5/18/62 recommending letters of commendation for Special Agents of your office in connection with an installation of a misur.

Promptly furnish the Bureau specific details of the performance of each individual Special Agent you designate for a letter of commendation so that this matter may be properly evaluated at the Bureau.

Referenced letter should have been captioned "JUNE." Correct your copy of relet and score appropriate errors of form for responsible personnel.

Promptly advise Bureau when this source is activated and symbol number assigned.

NOTE: Relet recommends four SAs of PX Division for letters of commendation in connection with a misur installation, but does not set forth sufficient specific details of the action taken by each individual SA. PX instructed to furnish necessary details. PX also instructed relet should have been captioned "JUNE."

1 - Mr. Rufus Beaver (Room 4535)

JEK:mac
(5)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

EX-105

REC-16

25 MAY 25 1962

MAILED 19

MAY 23 1962

COMM-FBI

JUN 1 1962
MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

June 12, 1962

Director, FBI (92-4494) - *BB*

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.;

REGISTERED MAIL

AR

OO: Phoenix

Attached is the translation which you requested by ~~letter~~ airtel dated 5/28/62.

The contents thereof, where pertinent, must be reported under appropriate captions and afforded whatever investigative attention is necessary.

Disposition of the foreign language material submitted in this connection is set forth below:

Returned herewith.

1 - Mr. Evans (Attn.: Mr. McAndrews; sent direct with enclosure)

RAV:mjm

ENCLOSURE

51 JUN 13 1962

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

MAILED 10
JUN 12 1962
COMM-FBI

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

JUN 12 1962

JUN 12 1962

JUN 12 1962

[Handwritten signature]

SUMMARY FROM ITALIAN

The submitted material consists of an envelope and a valet service statement.

The envelope comes from stationery of the Hotel Excelsior of Rome, Italy. It is of a kind customarily used to preserve telephone messages for hotel guests. In other words, the slip of paper prepared upon receipt of a telephone call for a guest who is not in the house is inserted in this envelope which, in turn, is stamped by a time clock and placed in a pigeonhole corresponding to the guest's room. The clock stamp on the envelope indicates that a telephone call was received for Mr. Eonnano (sic) of Room 251/2 at 7:25 P.M. The month is indicated as February and the remainder of the stamp could not be restored.

The valet service statement is made out to Room No. 2.1 (possibly 251) and is dated August 23, 1956. The printed form of the statement is divided into two sections. The top section is reserved for ladies' garments and the bottom section is reserved for men's garments. According to an entry appearing on the top section of the statement, 4 dresses were pressed for a total charge of 3,200 Italian lire (approximately equivalent to \$5.30).

REV
7/11
SUMMARIZED BY:

June 11, 1962

mjm.

mjm

b6
b7C

B/
ENCLOSURE

92-4494-88

F B I

Date: 5/28/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

396459

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

Attention: FBI Laboratory

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.;
AR

OO: Phoenix

There are enclosed an envelope, addressed to BONANNO, and what appears to be a statement of some sort, from Hotel Excelsior, Rome, Italy, which were obtained from a trash cover on subject's home on 5/23/62.

The Laboratory is requested to attempt to restore and translate these items, following which they should be returned to Phoenix.

T-52747

ceo

5/31/62

~~COPY AND SPECIMENS RETAINED IN LAB.~~
~~FOR LAB ACTION AND REPORT~~

Let to Sac, Phoenix
with Encls (4)
RAV: [unclear]
6-12-62

EX - 102

REC- 23

92-4494-88

- 4 - Bureau (Encl. 2)
(1 - FBI Laboratory)
1 - Phoenix

RWR:JMK
(5)

MAY 31 1962

COPIES DESTROYED
JUN 2 1972

Approved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M

Per [Signature]

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

negatives

HOTEL EXCELSIOR

ROMA

Camera
Chambre

Room No. 2

Cognome
Surname
Nom

Stiratura Pressing Pressing Bar	Stiratura Pressing Raspatura Barbetta	PRELIE TOTAL TOTAL Lire
Calze - Socks Calzoncini - Briefs Camicie - Shirts Camicioni - Coats Cappotti - Jackets Camicie - Skirts Jupes - Röcke Sottovesti - Slips Combinazioni - Unterröcke Vestaglie - Dressinggowns Robes de Chambre - Morgenröcke Vestiti - Dresses Robes - Kleider		
Calzoncini - Briefs Pantaloni - Hosen Giacche - Coats Vestiti - Jackets Pancetti - Waistcoats Camicie - Shirts Camicioni - Coats Cappotti - Jackets		
		LIRE 3200

Consegnato
Delivered on

9348-56

Da ritornare il
To be returned on
A
Zurückgeben am

D-396459 JG Q2

FBI
LABORATORY

HOTEL EXCELSIO

MA

AVVISO DI COMUNICAZIONE TELEFONICA

TELEPHONE MESSAGE

ORE MINUTI

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4

FEB

SIN BONNANO

App.to N.

251/2

D-396459 JC Q1

FBI
LABORATORY

UNITED STATES

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 5/29/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Phoenix airtel to the Bureau dated 5/22/62.

Tangible results which might be attributed to the mailing of the anonymous letters described in reairtel were reported by [redacted] on 5/28/62, when subject talked with [redacted] and informed [redacted] that he has "Troubles" which involve her indirectly. He said he would talk with her later about these "Troubles".

b2
b6
b7C

- 2 - Bureau
- 2 - New York (92-1586)
- 3 - Phoenix
 - (1-92-172)
 - (1-92-172E)
 - (1-92-28)

RWR/clh
(7) SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 18 PM '62

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI

58 JUN 7 1962

EX-108

REC-31

92-4494-89

JUN 1 1962

MAILED

SF 92-131
HKM: cmr

Reference is made to the report of SA []
[] 4/16/62. at San Francisco, in the investigation
entitled "[]", aka; AR" in which
additional investigation is requested concerning the possible
interest of JOSEPH BONANNO in the trust fund established by
Mrs. [] and/or the GREENE
CATTLE COMPANY of Prescott, Arizona.

b6
b7C

Investigation is continuing concerning this matter
in an effort to determine whether or not [] may have
been the person mentioned as "ALONZO" in the telephone
conversation had by Mr. [] with [], of Evanston,
Illinois. Upon receipt of the results of interviews con-
ducted by the Phoenix Office and the Chicago Office concerning
this matter, consideration will be given to interviewing
[] as a part of the Bureau's program to interview
subjects of Anti-Racketeering investigations currently being
conducted.

If any of the information appearing in the
enclosures is used in a report, you are requested to
attribute to [] characterized as "a confidential
source who is familiar with the financial transactions
of [] JOSEPH BONANNO,"etc.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

F B I

Date: 5/29/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4484)
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172E) JUNE
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

Reurlet to Phoenix, 5/17/62.

Misur at San-Nic Importing Co., Inc., 719 East
Madison Street, Phoenix, Arizona, activated 5/22/62 and
assigned symbol number [redacted] Logs from this source
will be maintained in Phoenix file 92-172D, and communication
concerning this source will be maintained in Phoenix file
92-172E. ~~CONF. INFT.~~

Weekly airtel summaries of information furnished
by this source will be furnished on Fridays, commencing
June 1, 1962.

cc encls
(3) - Bureau
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 66-428)

RWR/vlc
(5)

EX-105
REC-3

92-4494-90

4 MAY 31 1962

Approved: *EJ Boyle*

JUN 2 1962

Special Agent in Charge

Sent *11:00*

M

INTL
Spec. Mail
11:00 AM

F B I

Date: 6/1/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
ATTENTION: FBI Laboratory

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO - Phoenix

Rerep of SA ROY W. REGER dated 5/10/62 at Phoenix.

Mrs. [redacted]
Los Angeles, California, who is owner of the house presently
occupied by [redacted], subject's paramour, at [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, was interviewed at Phoenix, Arizona on
5/21/62.

She advised that both [redacted] and subject signed
the lease for this house, using the names of BILL and [redacted]
[redacted] and that she has this lease in her safe deposit box
at a Los Angeles bank. She said she intends to return to
her home this coming week end, and would willingly loan
this lease to the FBI for handwriting comparison. She sug-
gested that she be contacted sometime the following week
at her home, during the early morning, and she would obtain
this lease. She advised that she cannot recall her home
phone number, but said she is listed in the phone book.

CHICAGO. Discontinue efforts to locate [redacted]

- 4 - Bureau
1 - Chicago (92-765)
2 - Los Angeles (92-685)
2 - Phoenix
RWR:bat
(9)

REC-31
92-4494-91
JUN 4 1962

JUN 4 1962

JUN 8 1962

LBI

8 JUN 11 1962

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

LOS ANGELES Contact [] obtain the lease signed by subject, and forward to the FBI Laboratory for comparison with subject's known handwriting on his fingerprint card. It is noted that Mrs. [] was interviewed in detail, and that complete details of this interview will be included in a subsequent report.

b6
b7C

FBI LABORATORY On receipt of the lease, the FBI Laboratory will compare the signature of BILL SCHMID with the known handwriting of subject from his fingerprint card Phoenix PD # 407344, and advise Phoenix the results of this comparison.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.

To: **FBI, Los Angeles (92-685)**

Date: **June 27, 1962**

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR**

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director

REC- 67
FBI File No.

Lab. No. **92-4494 - 92
D-396759 JC**

Examination requested by: **Los Angeles**

Reference: **Airtel 6/8/62**

Examination requested: **Document**

Remarks:

///// Specimen received; 6/11/62

Q1 Lease dated 1/17/62, signed "Bill Schmid"

Q2 Inventory list of personal property, second page
bearing signature "Bill Schmid"

Remarks:

No arrest records were located in the Identification
Division for persons named Bill Schmid or William Bonanno,
Phoenix, Arizona, PD#407344.

Specimens Q1 and Q2 are returned herewith to Los Angeles.
Photographs are retained for comparison with any additional
specimens which may be submitted.


A Laboratory report is not being submitted at this time.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

Enclosures (2) (Q1 and Q2)
1 - Phoenix (92-172)
WAC: CR (5)

JUN 29 1962

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐



*7614 (1)
-1529*

JUN 30 1962

Card Index advised

NR on William

Bonanno, William

Salvatore Bonanno,

or Bill Schmid

or Phoenix PD II

407344

RECORDED
6/12/62
dl

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

File # 92-4494 -92
Lab. # D-396759 JC

Examination requested by: FBI, Los Angeles (92-685)

Airtel 6/8/62

Examination requested: Document

Date received: 6/11/62

Result of Examination:

Examination by: *copy 6/25/62*
copy

Transmitted Form only:

*" No arrest records were located in the
Identification Division for persons named Bill
Schmid or William Bonanno, Phoenix, Arizona
PD # 407344.*

*Specimens Q1 and Q2 are returned herewith
to Los Angeles. Photographs are retained for comparison
with any additional specimens which may be submitted.*

*A Laboratory Specimens submitted for examination
report is not being submitted at this time.*

- Q1 Lease dated 1/17/62, signed "Bill Schmid"
- Q2 Inventory list of personal property, second page
bearing signature "Bill Schmid"

RETURN EVID
1 - Phoenix (92-172)

*Transmitted
6-27-62
NLC:ca*

F B I

Date: 6/8/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL (REGISTERED)
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (92-685) (P)
 RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
 AR
 OO: Phoenix

ATTENTION:
 FBI LABORATORY

396759

Re Phoenix airtel to Bureau 6/1/62.

On 6/8/62 Mrs. [redacted]
 [redacted], Los Angeles, telephone 735-7592, made
 available a lease reflecting leasing of property at
 [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, executed
 from the 17th day of January, 1962, signed by [redacted]
 [redacted] aka [redacted] and BILL SCHMID.

In addition, Mrs. [redacted] furnished an inven-
 tory list which also was signed by [redacted], aka
 [redacted] and BILL SCHMID.

Mrs. [redacted] stated that these documents were
 signed in her presence by [redacted], aka [redacted]
 and by an individual whom she identifies as BILL BONANNO
 using the name BILL SCHMID, supposed husband of [redacted].

Enclosed to the Bureau are the two above-
 described documents.

The Phoenix Division has requested that the FBI
 Laboratory compare the signatures of BILL SCHMID with the
 known handwriting of WILLIAM BONANNO which appears on a

- 4 - Bureau (Enc. - 2) (RM)
 1 - Phoenix (92-172) (AM) (info)
 1 - Los Angeles

TLD/alh
 (6)

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

Approved: _____

Sent _____

Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

E. G. Wick

b6
b7c

REC-105

JUN 11 1962

LA 92-685

fingerprint card under Phoenix PD Number 407344 and that the Phoenix Division be advised of the results of this comparison.

Following this comparison, the Bureau is requested to return to Los Angeles both the above-described letters *please* as well as the inventory appearing upon two pages of a document captioned "List of Personal Property at [redacted] [redacted]"

b6
b7C

RECORDED
6/12/62
dl

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka**
AR

File # **92-4494 - 92**
Lab. # **D-396759 JC**

Examination requested by: **FBI, Los Angeles (92-685)**

Airtel 6/8/62

Examination requested: **Document**

Date received: **6/11/62**

Result of Examination:

Examination by: **Collier**

Specimens submitted for examination

- Returned*
- Q1 Lease dated 1/17/62, signed "Bill Schmid"
 - Q2 Inventory list of personal property, second page bearing signature "Bill Schmid"

RETURN EVID
1 - Phoenix (92-172)

2 enclosures to Bureau to
go with LA airtel dated
6/8/62.

LA file 92-685
Bufile 92-4494



ENCLOSURE

92 - 4494 - 92

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

negatives

LIST OF PERSONAL PROPERTY AT

b6
b7c

LIVING ROOM:

Black "75 couch- with foam rubber mattress & two pillows
(2) black swedish modern chairs, two piece foam rubber cushions, large one 25" x 20", small one 17" x 10".
black 21" Admiral TV & outside ariel
Large lounging yellow plastic chair & footstool.
Large white lounging chair
Two wrought iron & marble tables (2), 40" x 18" and Round 18".
Wall mirror 50 x 32"
2 lamps, gold three way 38" tall, white three way 32" tall
Firescreen- two irons & firebasket
Upright ash tray 25" tall
Ceiling to floor & wall to wall green draperies, glass curtains on front window
2 throw pillows
2 Japanese pictures in mahoney pictures
30 the Inc, clopedia Americana & 2 large dictionaries.
4 piece wrought iron patio set
1 white lounge with green rubber pad
1 white chair & white footstool with green & green rubber pads
1 white round table.

BEDROOM, BLUE

wall to wall green carpet
wall to wall ceiling to floor blue draperies & cornice
four piece brown bedroom set;
able bed 80" x 54"
able dresser 35" x 62"
bedside chest 21" x 12"
Inset wall mirror 46" x 31"
Sealy box springs and mattress
Sep. mattress pad & sep. covers on same, 2 round pictures- 10" across
1 nylon round in blue bathroom
BEDROOM, PINK

wall to wall green carpet
wall to wall ceiling to floor pink chinty draperies & glass curtains to match.
1 brown bed, mattress & box springs
1 wall mirror 35" x 12"
1 scoop chair
1 black & white lounging chair
1-5 drawer chest
2 down pillows
1 double orange wall lamps
1 black telephone
2 small pictures
1 pink rubber wastebasket.

Q2 92-4494 JC

Lease

THIS INDENTURE, made this 17th day of January, 19 62
between [redacted], lessor..., and
[redacted], lessee....

WITNESSETH: That the said lessor..., in consideration of the covenants of the said lessee..., hereinafter set forth, do..... by these presents lease to the said lessee..... the following described property, to-wit:

Furnished Single residence known as and located at [redacted]
Phoenix, Arizona.

Lessee is to pay her own utilities.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the same to the said lessee....., from the ~~20th~~ 22nd day of January....., 19 62, to the ~~20th~~ 22nd day of January....., 19 63

And said lessee..., in consideration of the leasing the premises as above set forth, covenants and agrees with the lessor... to pay the said lessor..., as rent for the same, the sum of.....

One Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty and no/100 (\$1920.00) DOLLARS,

payable as follows, to-wit: Received herewith \$160.00, Another \$160 on January 30, 1962 which is to be the last months rent paid in advance, then on the 20th day of February and every successive 20th \$160.00 untill the lease is paid in full.

Lessor is to give lessee possession on or before Jan. 22, or to reimburse lessee for the delay at the rate of \$10.40 a day starting Jan. 23, 1962.

The said lessee... further covenant..s with the said lessors.., that at the expiration of time mentioned in this Lease, peaceable possession of the said premises shall be given to the lessor..., in as good condition as they now are, the usual wear, inevitable accidents and loss by fire excepted; and the said lessee... agree..s. not to let, underlet or assign the whole or any part of the said premises without written consent of said lessor....; and that upon the non-payment of the whole or any portion of the said rent at the time when the same is above promised to be paid, the said lessor... may, at their..... election, either distrain for said rent due, or declare this lease at an end, and recover possession as if the same was held by forcible detainer; the said lessee... hereby waiving any notice of such election or any demand for the possession of said premises.

The covenants herein shall extend to and be binding upon the heirs, executors and administrators of the parties to this Lease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said parties to these presents have hereunto set their hands the day and year first above written.

Signed and delivered in the presence of

[redacted]

x

AKA

[redacted]

[redacted]

[Signature]

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

Q1 92-4494 JC

KITCHEN

G.E. 6' refrigerator
G.E. stove 36" x 21"
round black laquer table
2 white wrought iron chairs with yellow plastic cushions
1 brown folding chair
4 piece canister set
1 nylon curtains at window & kitchen door
1 white door blind, 1 large bamboo shade
1 presto pressure cooker
4 frying pans, 1 with cover
4 sauce pans
1 collander
1 sifter
1 cookie pan
2 pie tins
6 piece knife set
1 rubber dishpan
1 stainless steelware (set of 6)
2 sets of glasses (set of 8) (set of 5)
one 7 piece knife set
one set of brown mixing bowls (5)
3 baking dishes
1 orange squeezer
4 piece orange plastic dinnerware
2 platters
3 piece echo flint serving set
1 rubber dustpan
1 large Hoover vacuum with 10 piece Att. kit
1 large folding ironing board
2 pink & 1 army blankets
sheets
pillow slips
towels
dish towels
1 red kitchen wall clock (electric)

CAR PORT:

1 bendix washer
1 electric edger with heavy duty 100 ft. cord
1 gas can

The undersigned lessee hereby receipts of this personal property for her use during the terms of the lease and will return & leave this property on the premises in good condition or pay the lessor for the same.

[Redacted Signature Box]

PROA

Beth Schumel

STATE OF ARIZONA,

County of *Mohave*

} ss.

On this the *17th* day of *January* 19*63*

b6
b7c

the undersigned Notary Public, *personally appeared* known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the person..... whose name..... subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged..... *She*..... executed the same for the purposes therein contained.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and

(My Commission expires *March 15, 1963*)

No.....

TRAP

Short Form

FORM

TO

Dated....., 19.....

STATE OF ARIZONA

County of..... } ss.

I hereby certify that the within instrument was filed and recorded at request of.....

Book
In Docket.....
on page.....

Witness my hand and official seal the day and year aforesaid.

County Recorder.

By
Deputy Recorder.

F B I

Date: 6/1/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4484)
 FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D) *92-4494*
 RE : WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka *FB 217 to 62-6260*
 AR *PX 6-12-62 per page*
 OO:PHOENIX

WEEKLY SUMMARY

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix, 5/17/62, and Phoenix airtel to Bureau, 5/29/62.

During the past week, reported valuable information regarding a new employee at San-Nic Importing Company, Phoenix, Arizona; contemplated visit to Tucson by who is subject of an AR case in San Francisco; a visit to the office of this company by ANGELO MORENO, who is also subject of an AR investigation in San Francisco; verification of information that has sold out his interest in this company; and other information of value concerning the activities, contacts and contemplated travel by the subject.

b2
b6
b7C

REC-106

92-4494-93

③ - Bureau
 2 - Phoenix (1 - 92-172D)(1 - 66-428)
 RWR/mdc
 (5)

JUN 4 1962

WICK
 60 JUN 14 1962

Approved: _____
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

F B I

Date: 6/8/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During past week, informant advised that [] left employment at San-Nic Importing Company in Phoenix. He advised that subject was in Los Angeles, California, on 6/4/62, and would return to Phoenix at noon that day.

Informant also advised that JOE PROFACI was very ill and desired /to see subject's wife [] who left Phoenix by plane for New York on 6/7/62.

Informant furnished information concerning contacts between subject and his father JOE BONANNO in Tucson, and with CHARLES BATTAGLIA in Tucson, also describing property owned by BATTAGLIA in Pinal County, Arizona, which property BATTAGLIA is attempting to sell.

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-172)
(1 - 92-172D)

RWR:JMK

(5)

JUN 20 1962

REC-72

25 JUN 11 1962

EX-114

Approved: Wick
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Informant located JOE BONANNO, whose whereabouts had been unknown for several days, at the office of San-Nic on 6/5/62. Informant also reported valuable information concerning travel plans, contacts, and additional associates of the subject.

F B I

Date: 6/15/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-⁴⁴⁹⁴~~4484~~) *722-111 6/15/62*

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D) *OK*

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant advised that the San-Nic Importing Company of Phoenix, where subject is employed, is having difficulty locating old records of the company which are needed by an accountant to prepare a Statement of Condition for the company. Informant has advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, has refused to sign an agreement, (probably a purchase agreement), until after a statement is prepared. All persons connected with the company had a conference with the accountant, in an effort to straighten this matter out, but were unsuccessful.

Informant advised that subject contacted SAL PROFACI in New York City and asked about his, (informant's) wife, and also asked, "Where's Dad"? Informant indicated that he would call PROFACI a short while later at the AIVEOLI Funeral Parlor on 65th Street.

Informant was also successful in establishing the

3 - Bureau (AM)
1 - Phoenix

REC-34

RWR:mss
(4)

EX-114

9 JUN 18 1962

Approved: _____

Sent _____ M Per _____

53 JUN 29 1962 Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 6/15/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

whereabouts of subject while a survey for a misur was being made at the home of subject's father in Tucson, Arizona. Informant has also furnished names of additional contacts and associates of subject, as well as information concerning property reportedly owned by CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA in Pinal County, Arizona.

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 6/18/62	Investigative Period 5/8/62 - 6/7/62
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: mss
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI RACKETEERING	

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 5/10/62, at Phoenix.

- P -

ENCLOSURES

TO THE BUREAU

Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

Approved Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: ③ - Bureau (92-4494) (Enclosures - 2) 1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (Info) 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info) 1 - San Diego (92-165) (Info) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172) (Info)	92-4494-96	REC-26
	15 JUN 20 1962	

1- Rpt 7/19/62
1-1541
STAT SECT.;

Property of FBI — This report is loaned to you by the FBI and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

PX 92-172

LEADS

LAS VEGAS, LOS ANGELES, MILWAUKEE, NEW YORK,
SAN DIEGO, & SAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONS: (INFORMATION)

One copy each of this report is being sent to the Las Vegas, Los Angeles, Milwaukee, New York, San Diego, and San Francisco Divisions, inasmuch as report contains information concerning associates and contacts of subject located in these Divisions.

Leads for other offices have been set out by airtel or teletype and are not being repeated in this report. Results of investigation conducted by other offices will be included in a subsequent report.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report subject's activities and contacts.

INFORMANTS

[redacted] is a highly confidential source contacted by SA ROY W. REGER on 5/11/62.

PX T-30 is [redacted]

PX T-31 is [redacted]

PX T-32 is [redacted]

Information from the files of the Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, was obtained from [redacted] Assistant Vice President and Manager of this bank.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

- B -

COVER PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE

On 5/8/62, Mr. [] Arizona Service Company, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that insofar as he has been able to determine, there are no trash pickups being made at San-Nic Importing Company, 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, Arizona. He said he will continue to follow this matter, and immediately advise if he is successful in obtaining the trash contract at this address.

b6
b7C

On 5/9/62, Mr. [] suggested contact with Inspector [] City of Phoenix Street Department; in an effort to force San-Nic Importing Company to contract for trash hauling.

On 5/9/62, Inspector [] City of Phoenix, advised that he would make inquiry at San-Nic Importing Company and attempt to determine who is hauling their trash.

On 5/9/62, mail covers were placed at Box 9344 and 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona. No information of value has been received from these covers to date.

On 5/22/62, anonymous letters, handprinted with pencil on commercially purchased stationery, were prepared and mailed at Tucson, Arizona, to the following individuals, using the following text:

JOSEPH BONANNO, 1847 E. Elm, Tucson, Arizona - "So Bill had a child by his German girl friend - A son acts just like his old man".

JOSEPH PROFACI, 8863 15th Avenue, Brooklyn, New York - "Rosalie's husband had a child by his German girl friend - he's a rat like all Bonannos".

ROSALIE BONANNO, 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona - "Can't your family control the snake you married? The boy is called William Salvatore".

- C* -

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER

Office: PHOENIX

Date: 6/18/62

File Number: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject continues to reside at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, and employed at San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, Phoenix, the stock of which company was recently purchased by JOSEPH BONANNO, subject's father. Company reported to be in financial trouble. Subject's wife recently left subject and started to New York, but after arriving at Chicago was persuaded by relatives to return to subject. Subject has made trips to Tucson, Arizona, San Francisco, California, and Ontario, California, during the past month. Subject was visited in Phoenix by ANGELO MARINO of San Jose, California. Subject continues association with paramour, [redacted] at Phoenix, and reportedly signed lease for house where [redacted] residing, using name of BILL SCHMID. JOE BASKIN, close associate of subject, interviewed and furnished no information of value. Subject granted extension of time to submit brief on suit vs. Phoenix Police Department. Arizona Supreme Court dismissed appeal of subject's conviction for bogus check, and subject has paid check and all court costs amounting to \$2,248.00.

b6
b7C

- P -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. NEIGHBORHOOD ACTIVITY
- II. EMPLOYMENT - SAN-NIC IMPORTING COMPANY, INC.,
719 E. MADISON, PHOENIX, ARIZONA
- III. TRAVEL
- IV. FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS AND DEBTS
- V. ASSOCIATES
 - A. aka.
 - B. JOE BASKIN
 - C. OTHER ASSOCIATES
- VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VS. PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT
- VII. APPEAL ON CONVICTION FOR BOGUS CHECK

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

DETAILS:

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

I. NEIGHBORHOOD ACTIVITY

On May 8, 1962, PX T-26 advised that he has had no recent contact with BILL BONANNO. He stated, however, that [redacted] an associate of BONANNO's, made numerous recent unsuccessful attempts to contact BONANNO, in an effort to get BONANNO to make delinquent payments on the Pontiac which [redacted] traded to BONANNO. BONANNO declined to return [redacted] calls, but on May 4, 1962, paid off the entire amount due on this car at General Motors Acceptance Corporation in Phoenix.

PX T-26 said that [redacted] had left numerous personal articles in this car, which he asked JOE BASKIN to obtain for him. BASKIN reportedly promised to do so several times, but has failed to do so. Informant described BONANNO as a complete "Deadbeat," who can not be trusted in anything.

Informant further said that within the past month, BILL's wife, [redacted] decided to separate from BILL, and left home with their children to return to her relatives in New York City. She got as far as Chicago, Illinois, where she ran out of money, and telephoned her relatives for money to continue her trip. They reportedly persuaded her to return to BILL, and wired her enough money for the return trip to Phoenix.

On May 8, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject returned to his home in his Pontiac about 7:00 P.M. this date. She also observed JOE BONANNO's car at subject's house at the time, and said this car had not been there more than half an hour.

On the morning of May 9, 1962, SA ROY W. REGER observed JOE BONANNO's car in the carport of subject's home.

On the afternoon of May 9, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] advised that JOE BONANNO's car was still parked at subject's house. She said she had not, however, seen JOE at the house.

On the evening of May 10, 1962, it was determined by personal observation that JOE BONANNO's car was no longer parked at subject's home.

On May 9, 1962, PX T-27 advised JOE BASKIN is receiving

PX 92-172

some of his mail at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, which is the residence of subject. Informant further advised that subject has received bills for service, in 1961, from Travelodge, San Diego, California, and from Ramada Inn, Phoenix, Arizona. These bills were sent to subject at 1847 E. Elm Street, Tucson, Arizona.

On May 14, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject's father, JOE BONANNO, was observed arriving at subject's home, in his Chevrolet, on the evening of May 13, 1962. During this same evening, several other cars, believed to belong to [redacted] were also observed at subject's home. JOE was believed to have left subject's home sometime during the night of May 13, 1962.

b6
b7C

On May 21, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that four cars were at subject's home on the evening of this date. Two of the cars were subject's cars, one was the car of [redacted], and one was a car being used by JOE BASKIN.

On May 23, 1962, PX T-27 advised that subject received a statement from the offices of Drs. [redacted] Missouri Avenue and 12th Street, Phoenix, Arizona. Informant advised that subject also had what appears to be a statement, mostly illegible, from Hotel Excelsior, Rome, Italy. This was forwarded to the FBI Laboratory for restoration and translation.

On May 29, 1962, Dr. [redacted] 12th Street and Missouri Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he is a Pediatrician, and has treated subject's children at his office. He said subject was referred to him by [redacted] who has been known by Dr. [redacted] as a patient and friend for many years. Dr. [redacted] said that he has never met subject, and that subject's wife always brings the children to the office.

b6
b7C

On May 29, 1962, PX T-27 advised that subject's wife had a portrait made at OLAN MILLS Studio in Phoenix recently.

II. EMPLOYMENT - SAN-NIC IMPORTING COMPANY,
INC., 719 E. MADISON, PHOENIX, ARIZONA

On May 11, 1962, PX T-29 advised that the San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, has three telephones in their office, with numbers 254-6281, 254-6282, and 254-3692. Informant stated that the following persons are on the payroll of this company:

[redacted]
S. V. BONANNO

[redacted]
J. L. BASKIN

and

[redacted], a nephew of [redacted]

Informant stated that this company has recently received numerous statements for past due bills, including statements from the California Cheese Company, San Jose, California, and the Grande Cheese Company in Wisconsin. Informant advised that this company is dealing mainly in Italian food products, which they wholesale to various stores, restaurants, and institutions in the Phoenix area.

Informant said that on April 9, 1962, [redacted] Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona, and statutory agent for this company, wrote a letter to JOE BONANNO at Tucson, Arizona, with which he enclosed a check for \$416.64, which he said was the unused portion of \$6,000.00 transmitted by BONANNO to [redacted]. In this letter, [redacted] said that in the event further creditors agree to settle, he would advise BONANNO.

On May 29, 1962, PX T-32 advised that the San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, Phoenix, Arizona, was formed several years ago by the [redacted] and [redacted] families, with [redacted] operating the business. He said that [redacted], who married a [redacted] girl, also had a small interest in this business, but is no longer connected with the business, and has been employed by the United States Post Office in Phoenix for several years.

He stated that the [redacted] family lost about \$20,000.00

PX 92-172

RWR:jph

when the Arizona Savings and Loan Association went into receivership and that they were also the main creditor in the Romulus Restaurant bankruptcy. As a result of this, and difficulty they were having in collecting outstanding obligations, mainly among various Pizza restaurants in Phoenix, the San-Nic Importing Company found itself in a poor financial condition.

Informant said that in view of the employment of WILLIAM BONANNO at the Romulus Restaurant, his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, felt some obligation to the [redacted] inasmuch as the bankruptcy of the Romulus was one of the main factors leading to the financial difficulties of San-Nic. BONANNO therefore arranged to purchase the entire stock of the San-Nic Importing Company from the [redacted] and [redacted], and presently has complete ownership of this company.

b6
b7C

Informant advised that [redacted] intends to resign from this company in the near future, following which it will be operated entirely by the BONANNOS.

Informant described WILLIAM BONANNO as a "Completely worthless individual," and was of the opinion that he will not be successful in the operation of this company, which job was given to him by his father, JOE BONANNO. He described JOE BONANNO as a "Gentleman," insofar as his dealings with him have been.

PX T-30 advised that subject continues employment with the San-Nic Importing Company, Inc., 719 East Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, and frequently discusses business problems of this company with his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at Tucson, Arizona. Subject has also had business contacts concerning this company with (FNU) [redacted] of Las Vegas, Nevada; The Grande Cheese Company, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin; and [redacted] at New York City, who has some interest in the company.

b6
b7C

Informant advised that on May 23, and 24, 1962, ANGELO MARINO of the California Cheese Company, San Jose, California, visited subject at the office of San-Nic and

PX 92-172

was accompanied on this trip by [] (LNU), staying at the Mountain Shadows Inn.

Informant stated that San-Nic hired a new female employee, [] (phonetic), who worked for only a few days and quit without notice because she became dissatisfied with her job.

Informant advised that the subject made a trip to California in connection with his business, returning to Phoenix by Bonanza Airlines on June 4, 1962.

Informant indicated that difficulty is being encountered in preparation of a financial statement of the company and that subject has been in contact with [] a Tucson attorney, concerning this difficulty.

Informant advised that subject talked with SALVATORE PROFACI at New York City, concerning the illness of JOE PROFACI, and arranged to have his wife, [] go to New York City to see her uncle, JOE.

Informant advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, was at the office of San-Nic on June 5, 1962, discussing business problems of the company and both subject and his father talked with [] at Ontario, California.

Informant stated that subject is continuing his association with [], contacts her frequently by telephone, and arranges to see her at periodic intervals.

Informant also advised that subject appeared undesirous of talking with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA of Tucson, Arizona, and that BATTAGLIA found it necessary to request JOE BASKIN to intercede with subject concerning the sale of property in Pinal County, Arizona.

Informant stated that subject has also continued a close association with ANTHONY SCRIVANO concerning insurance and property transactions and appears very friendly with SCRIVANO.

PX 92-172

Informant also said that subject has been in contact with his brother-in-law, Dr. [REDACTED], in California, concerning the illness of [REDACTED] mother at Tucson, Arizona.

b6
b7C

III. TRAVEL

On May 7, 1962, SA [] observed BILL BONANNO at the Tucson Airport, Tucson, Arizona, at 5:20 P.M. He was accompanied by his sister, [] and her husband, [], who departed from Tucson en route to San Francisco, California, on American Airlines Flight #667, at 5:40 P.M., using reservations previously made in the names of Mr. and Mrs. []. BONANNO's white Pontiac car was also observed by SA [] on the airport parking lot.

b6
b7C

On May 18, 1962, [], American Airlines, Adams Hotel, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that on May 17, 1962, BILL BONANNO came to the office and purchased a round trip, first class plane ticket to San Francisco, California. He made reservations from Phoenix on Flight #127, leaving Phoenix at 3:25 P.M., with return space open. He gave his local contact address as Post Office Box 13583, and telephone number ALpine 4-6281. This ticket was purchased with a check drawn on the San-Nic Importing Company, and signed by J. L. BASKIN.

It is noted that Post Office Box 13583 is the mailing address of San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, Arizona.

On June 4, 1962, at 12:12 P.M., SAS [] and ROY W. REGER observed subject leaving a Bonanza plane at the Sky Harbor Airport, Phoenix, Arizona, which had just arrived from Los Angeles, California. He was met at the airport by his wife, with their two children, and left the airport in his Falcon car.

On June 6, 1962, [], Reservations Manager, Bonanza Airlines, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject arrived in Phoenix, Arizona, at 12:12 P.M., June 4, 1962, on Bonanza Flight #312. Records of Bonanza indicated that subject boarded this flight at Ontario, California, purchasing a one-way ticket, and furnishing a local contact address of Travelodge, Ontario, California.

b6
b7C

On June 6, 1962, Mr. [], Trans World Airlines, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he had made a reservation for Mrs. WILLIAM BONANNO, and one four year old child, to leave Phoenix at 8:05 A.M., June 7, 1962, via Trans World Airlines (TWA) Flight #146, arriving at New York City 4:30 P.M., EDT. The local contact was listed as the San-Nic Importing Company, and no arrangements were made for return.

PX 92-172

On June 7, 1962, Mr. [REDACTED] TWA, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that Mrs. BONANNO paid cash for a one-way ticket to New York at the airport, and left Phoenix on Flight #146, after cancelling the reservation for her four year old son.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

IV. FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS AND DEBTS

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 5/16/62

Records of the Southern Arizona Bank, 313 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that on May 15, 1962, a check in the amount of \$2,000.00 was deposited to the account of San-Nic Importing Co., Incorporated. This check was undated, drawn on the Southern Arizona Bank, Campbell Avenue Office, Tucson, Arizona, payable to San-Nic Importing Co., and signed by JOE BONANNO. Above the signature is a handwritten notation "As Loan". The check was endorsed with a rubber stamp endorsement of San-Nic Importing Co., Inc., and above this endorsement is a handwritten notation, "Loan".

The above information can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted] Assistant Vice President and Manager of this bank.

b6
b7C

On 5/15/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172by SA ROY W. REGER/clh Date dictated 5/15/62

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 6/4/62

Records of the Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that on May 28, 1962, [REDACTED], attorney for American Credit Bureau, Phoenix, Arizona, contacted the manager of this bank with JOE BASKIN, concerning a garnishment on the bank account of San-Nic Importing Company in the amount of \$429.60 by the American Credit Bureau. The records indicate that this garnishment was released on May 28, 1962 on payment of this amount by BASKIN.

b6
b7C

The above information can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [REDACTED] Assistant Vice President and Manager, Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona.

On 5/28/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/clh Date dictated 5/28/62

Date June 7, 1962

Records of the Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that on June 1, 1962, JOE BASKIN cashed one of the \$500.00 cashier's checks previously obtained by him, at the bank, on May 2, 1962. Records further indicated that on June 4, 1962, BASKIN deposited the other two \$500.00 cashier's checks, previously obtained at the bank on May 2, 1962, to the account of San-Nic Importing Company.

b6
b7C

The above information can be produced only on the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Assistant Vice President and Manager of the Southern Arizona Bank, Phoenix, Arizona.

On 6/6/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER : mss Date dictated 6/6/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

V. ASSOCIATES

A. [redacted] aka. [redacted]

On May 10, 1962, [redacted] St. Joseph's Hospital, Phoenix, Arizona, advised from records that [redacted] was a maternity patient at this hospital from January 22, 1962, until January 25, 1962. The admission card revealed her address as [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, telephone ALpine 2-5250, and her husband as WILLIAM SCHMID, same address, self-employed as SCHMID Brokerage. The charge for hospital services amounted to \$143.40, which was paid, in cash, by WILLIAM SCHMID, on January 25, 1962. *mms*

b6
b7C

On May 10, 1962, PX T-23 advised that Phoenix telephone number ALpine 2-5250 was listed to [redacted] Phoenix, on January 22, 1962. This telephone was disconnected on January 24, 1962, and the final bill was sent to [redacted] at [redacted] Chicago 32, Illinois.

On May 11, 1962, Lieutenant [redacted] Phoenix Police Department, advised that during the early morning hours on this date, the Falcon car belonging to BILL BONANNO was observed at the residence of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona. He said that BILL was observed leaving this residence, and was unable to start this car. He thereafter borrowed [redacted] Falcon, and drove off, returning a short while later with an unknown individual in another car, who pushed BILL's car and got it started. BILL thereafter returned to his home about 2:00 A.M.

b6
b7C

On May 14, 1962, PX T-31 advised that the Tucson telephone numbers previously called from the telephone of [redacted] in Phoenix, are listed as follows:

624-0337 - Non-published telephone of CHARLES BATTAGLIA, 1938 W. Lester, Tucson, Arizona - listed in the name of CEE ESTES;

296-2366 - El Tanque Lanes, 6646 E. Tanque Verde Road, Tucson - responsible persons are [redacted]

On the afternoon of May 15, 1962, SA ROY W. REGER observed both [redacted] Falcon and subject's Falcon parked in the carport at [redacted] residence. [redacted] was observed leaving the residence in subject's car, and returning to the residence a

PX 92-172

short while later. Within a few minutes after her return, subject was observed leaving her residence and driving off in his car. He drove East on Cypress Street to Central Avenue, South on Central Avenue, and East on Palm Lane. The surveillance was then discontinued.

On May 31, 1962, PX T-23 advised that the following toll calls were charged to the telephone of [redacted] Phoenix telephone number 254-7845, during the past month: (It is noted that this is the telephone of [redacted] subject's paramour)

April 23, 1962 - To Tucson, Arizona, #297-1457, [redacted] talked 20 minutes;

April 24, 1962 - To San Manuel, Arizona, #385-4475, talked seven minutes;

April 26, 1962 - To Tucson, Arizona, #623-6805, talked ten minutes.

On May 31, 1962, Dr. [redacted], 926 E. McDowell Road, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he was the Obstetrician for [redacted], who used the name of [redacted]. His records indicated that she gave the name of her husband as WILLIAM SCHMID, a real estate broker for himself. Dr. [redacted] said he never met [redacted] husband, and she never discussed her husband with him.

b6
b7C

Date 6/7/62

Mrs. [] Los Angeles, California, advised that she is the owner of property located at [] Phoenix, Arizona, and formerly resided at this location. She said that following the death of her husband, she decided to rent this property and listed it with Arizona Homes Realty. On January 21, 1962, they notified her they had a very nice young couple whom they had investigated who would rent the property for \$160.00 per month. Later that same day, a representative of the realty company came to the house, accompanied by a woman who identified herself as [] [] was also accompanied by a man she called "Little Joe," who she said was a friend of hers. Mrs. [] identified a photograph of JOE BASKIN as being "Little Joe."

b6
b7C

[] informed Mrs. [] that her husband, BILL, was in New York City, where his sister had been injured in an auto accident, and stated that BILL worked for National Finance Company. [] said she was expecting a baby momentarily, and stated that she had one other child, five years old, who was with her mother, place not stated. [] agreed to lease the property and signed a lease, using the name of []. She said that she would have her husband sign the lease at a later date, when he returned to Phoenix, and Mrs. [] told her she would return the lease for his signature.

Mrs. [] said she proceeded to move out of the house and returned a few days later, but [] was not at home. She said she was looking around the house, noticed various papers with the name of [] on them, and became curious as to the real identity of her new tenants. She said she called all the Phoenix hospitals in an attempt to locate [] and finally determined that [] was a patient at St. Joseph's Hospital. She said she went to this hospital and visited [] room. In the room at the time were JOE BASKIN and a man whom [] introduced as BILL, her husband. Mrs. [] identified a photograph of subject as being identical with this man. She said that BILL was very amorous with [] while she was there. She asked BILL to sign the lease, which he did, using the name of BILL SCHMID. She then asked [] to sign with her correct name, and

b6
b7C

On 5/31/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SA ROY W. REGER/mdc Date dictated 6/1/62

[] signed again using the name of []. Mrs. [] stated that she has this lease in her safe deposit box at a bank in Los Angeles.

While she was at the hospital, she talked briefly with subject and he said they had just moved to Phoenix from Tucson. He also said he had been in New York City and worked for National Finance Company. He stated that he and his wife had one other child, five years of age, who was with his wife's mother.

Mrs. [] stated that she then moved to Los Angeles, b6
and has been receiving rental payments almost every month b7C
since, either by bank money order, or postal money order, from []. She stated, however, that they are one month delinquent on the rent, which is due on the 24th of the month, and that she went to see [] today to straighten this out. There was another woman present in the house, with a small child, who were not identified by [] told her that her husband was out of town, but was fine, and that they liked the house and want to continue to live there. Mrs. [] told [] that she would have to pay the rent promptly or move, and [] agreed to do this in the future.

Mrs. [] said she saw subject only on the one occasion at the hospital, and did not know his true identity until she later saw a picture of subject in a Phoenix newspaper at the time of his prosecution on a bad check charge.

She said she intends to permit [] to remain in the house as a tenant if the rent payments are made promptly in the future, and that she will willingly furnish any information concerning [] and subject which comes to her attention in the future.

B. JOE BASKIN

On May 13, 1962, PX T-26 advised that JOE BASKIN has moved from the Wrangler Motel, and his present address is not known. BASKIN reportedly informed another individual that he and subject were going to the San Francisco area, in subject's Pontiac, on May 13, 1962, for about one week.

On May 14, 1962, PX T-26 advised that subject and JOE BASKIN are still in Phoenix, and did not go to San Francisco. Subject reportedly visited his parents in Tucson on Mother's Day.

On May 18, 1962, PX T-23 advised that Phoenix telephone number [redacted] is a non-published telephone listed to [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

On May 20, 1962, JOSEPH BASKIN, 1748 E. Brill, Phoenix, Arizona, was interviewed by SA JOHN B. DUFFY. BASKIN advised that he is very ill with a heart condition, and his physician has stated that he would have only a short time to live if he continues to work under stress. BASKIN felt that his condition is being aggravated by his association with Arizona hoodlums, and said that he is now trying to break away from them. He said that BILL BONANNO never confides in him concerning any hoodlum activities, or the whereabouts of any missing hoodlums. BASKIN stated that he hopes to resign his employment at San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, as soon as the company is able to locate someone to take his place.

C. OTHER ASSOCIATES

On May 29, 1962, [redacted], Manager, Desert Hills Motel, 2745 E. Van Buren, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that the guest at Room 26 on the night of May 23, 1962, was [redacted], Pomona, California. The registration card indicates that she was driving a car with California License XCY-405.

On May 29, 1962, inquiry at the Sanda Hotel, 3320 E. Van Buren, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that they have no room 137.

b6
b7C

On May 29, 1962, Mrs. [redacted], Mountain Shadows Inn, Scottsdale, Arizona, advised that Room 328 was occupied from May 22, 1962, to May 26, 1962, by Mr. and Mrs. A. MARINO, 1451 Sunny Court, San Jose, California.

A. MARINO

PX 92-172

VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VS. PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT

On April 30, 1962 and May 8, 1962, SA [redacted] contacted [redacted] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California. On the latter date, [redacted] advised that the Appellee in the matter entitled, "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS, ET AL, Appellee, CCA Number 17630," had been granted an extension of time until June 4, 1962, to submit his brief in this matter.

b6
b7C

VII. APPEAL ON CONVICTION FOR BOGUS CHECK

On May 10, 1962, PHIL HAGGERTY, Assistant Attorney General, State of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject's attorney was granted an extension of time until May 28, 1962, to file a brief on the appeal of subject's conviction for bogus check.

HAGGERTY stated that on May 9, 1962, he filed a motion to dismiss the appeal, on the basis that sentence of probation does not constitute final judgment in the State of Arizona. HAGGERTY said it would probably be two or three weeks before a decision is reached on this motion.

He further advised that he had requested the Probation Office not to enforce the Court order requiring restitution, until the matter of subject's appeal is decided.

On May 31, 1962, [redacted], Adult Probation Officer, Maricopa County, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he had talked with subject's attorney, [redacted], on May 29, 1962. [redacted] indicated that subject would make restitution on the check and Court Costs of his trial, either some time this week, or next week. [redacted] further indicated that he will probably go no further on the appeal of subject's conviction. [redacted] said he would notify the FBI when restitution is made.

On June 7, 1962, [redacted] advised that he had received a call from subject's attorney, [redacted], on June 6, 1962, advising that they were dropping their appeal on the conviction. [redacted] later brought his personal check to [redacted] for \$2,248.00, in payment of the bad check and court costs. [redacted] said he intended to request Judge PATTERSON to grant subject permission to travel out of the State without notifying his probation officer, as he will probably be travelling in connection with his occupation. He further said that he would have subject contact [redacted] within the next few days. [redacted] said he will advise further developments, and notify the FBI in the event subject fails to meet the terms of his probation.

On June 6, 1962, PHIL HAGGERTY, Assistant Attorney General, State of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that the Arizona State Supreme Court had dismissed the appeal of subject's conviction for bogus check.

PX 92-172

when the Arizona Savings and Loan Association went into receivership, and that they were also the main creditor in the Romulus Restaurant bankruptcy. As a result of this, and difficulty they were having in collecting outstanding obligations, mainly among various Pizza restaurants in Phoenix, the San-Nic Importing Company found itself in a poor financial condition.

Informant said that in view of the employment of WILLIAM BONANNO at the Romulus Restaurant, his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, felt some obligation to the [redacted] inasmuch as the bankruptcy of the Romulus was one of the main factors leading to the financial difficulties of San-Nic. BONANNO therefore arranged to purchase the entire stock of the San-Nic Importing Company from the [redacted], and presently has complete ownership of this company.

b6
b7C

Informant advised that [redacted] intends to resign from this company in the near future, following which it will be operated entirely by the BONANNOS.

Informant described WILLIAM BONANNO as a "Completely worthless individual," and was of the opinion that he will not be successful in the operation of this company, which job was given to him by his father, JOE BONANNO. He described JOE BONANNO as a "Gentleman," insofar as his dealings with him have been.

On May 22, 1962, PX T-30 advised that JOE BASKIN and [redacted] engaged in an argument at the office of San-Nic Importing Company, concerning business matters. During the day, JOE BASKIN also contacted some insurance company regarding the obtaining of a receipt for payment made to this company in January, 1962, and also contacted his lawyer concerning some papers the lawyer was supposed to obtain for him.

On May 23, 1962, Informant advised that [redacted] made a telephone call from the office to [redacted] (LNU), at Room 328, Mountain Shadows Inn, in Phoenix. Later, [redacted] received a telephone call from someone whom he referred to as [redacted] (LNU), and said he would meet her for dinner at the Desert Hills at 9:00 P.M. [redacted] later indicated that [redacted] was registered at Room 26, Desert Hills. Later during the day, an unknown man called the Sands Hotel and asked if there were any messages for Room 137. This person also called the Greyhound Bus Depot and asked when the next bus from Tucson was due.

b6
b7C

On May 24, 1962, Informant advised that subject was

PX 92-172

at the office with JOE BASKIN. An unknown woman made a telephone call concerning airline flights to Los Angeles, California, on Friday and Saturday. This same woman, who used the name of (FNU) [redacted], later called Western Airlines and made reservations on the flight leaving Phoenix at 10:05 A.M., Saturday, for herself and her granddaughter. Subject talked to his lawyer about attaching a truck belonging to someone who owed money to the company, and also called a Mr. CAMPANELLI in Las Vegas, Nevada, about buying some spaghetti. He told CAMPANELLI that Mr. MARINO of the California Cheese Company, had recommended CAMPANELLI. Informant said that ANGELO MARINO was at the office, and identified MARINO as a friend of [redacted] (phonetic). BILL later received a call from CAMPANELLI at Las Vegas, and informed CAMPANELLI that "They" have a license to handle wine, and would talk to the other boys about arrangements to handle this, as it might fit in with other plans they have.

b6
b7C

On May 25, 1962, JOE BASKIN talked with [redacted], who was attempting to locate subject. JOE told her he would have subject call her when he came to the office. BILL later came to the office, and discussed the obtaining of certified copies of documents pertaining to a shipment destroyed by fire on the President Jackson at New York City, with [redacted] (LNU), at the Guaranty Bank. A man, giving the name of ANGELO, made a collect call to ESsex 7-4015 at San Jose, California, and said that he would be home for dinner. This same man then called Room 328 at the Mountain Shadows Inn, and talked with a person he referred to as [redacted]. He told her he would be there as soon as he could.

b6
b7C

On May 26, 1962, [redacted] JOE BASKIN, and subject, were all at the office, and were arguing about being overcharged on a freight bill. Subject contacted [redacted] at home, and told [redacted] that he was sending a man to see [redacted]. This man was not identified. Later, subject again talked with [redacted] and told [redacted] that JOE BONANNO would get in touch with him about the matter.

On May 28, 1962, Informant advised that San-Nic Importing Company has a new employee, by the name of [redacted] (phonetic), who was sent to the company by some agency. Informant also advised that BILL BONANNO was discussing the possibility of trading in the car of JOE BONANNO in the near future, and was complaining about the Insurance Company asking that JOE pay the expenses for the return of his car. Informant also advised that subject was talking with Dr. [redacted] who said he was coming to Tucson next Friday on TWA Flight #206,

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

by himself. Informant said that subject contacted [redacted] and told her that he has "Troubles," which indirectly involve her. Informant said that JOE BASKIN talked with [redacted] (LNU) about sport cars which [redacted] recently obtained, and about the possibility of obtaining \$150,000.00 real quick for [redacted] to possibly establish a new finance company. JOE arranged to meet [redacted] tonight, after supper.

b6
b7C

On May 29, 1962, PX T-30 advised that [redacted] JOE BASKIN, and subject, were all at the office of San-Nic Importing Company during the day. [redacted] made a call to Oxnard, California, telephone number HU 3-2377, and asked for the sales manager of the company. He informed the sales manager that San-Nic is under completely new ownership and management, and that [redacted] is working only as a salesman for the company at present. He said the present owners retained the name of San-Nic, only because it is well known to restaurant trade in Phoenix.

Subject later placed a call to the Grande Cheese Company at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, and talked to [redacted] (LNU), about a shipment of cheese. He also inquired as to the whereabouts of an employee of the cheese company at Ontario, California.

Subject contacted the Westward Pontiac Company and asked them if his car was repaired, and would be in condition to drive to the Coast.

Subject talked with his father, JOE BONANNO for about 45 minutes, mostly in Sicilian. Subject remarked that he did not want to drive on Memorial Day.

Subject called an unknown person and asked what they were having for dinner. He indicated that he would see this person after he left the office. He also received a call from an unknown person, and said he would not be home this evening, but might get there about 10:00 or 11:00 P.M.

b6
b7C

During the day, BASKIN, [redacted] and subject, spent considerable time arguing about business conditions at the company, and the necessity of securing new accounts.

On May 31, 1962, PX T-30 advised that subject, [redacted] and JOE BASKIN, were all at the office of San-Nic Importing Company during the day. Informant reported they were having trouble obtaining supplies to fill orders, and that at least one restaurant indicated they intended to quit doing business with the company. No other activity of interest was

PX 92-172

reported.

On June 1, 1962, PX T-30 advised that subject was at his office most of the day. He took JOE BASKIN to the Doctor during the day, and remarked that JOE was very ill and should be in a hospital. Subject complained about the fact that the CUDAHY Packing Company refused to accept personal checks of the company. During the day, subject sent birthday greetings to his brother, JOE, JR., at 1029 Annapolis, San Mateo, California. Subject also talked with his attorney, [redacted] at Tucson, and engaged in a heated argument about some "Books," which he said he would try to have available by the next Monday. Subject then talked with TONY SCRIVANO and asked him to have the "Books" ready over the week end. [redacted] talked with her roommate, and indicated she intends to leave her employment at this company, as the business there is really in a mess.

b6
b7C

On June 4, 1962, PX T-30 advised that [redacted] who works at San-Nic Importing Company, indicated intentions of resigning, and attempting to obtain employment at the DEL WEBB Company. She reportedly told her employers at San-Nic that her brother in California was injured in a car accident, and she had to make a trip to California. Informant advised that [redacted] received a collect call from subject at Los Angeles, and advised subject that he would have someone meet subject at Sky Harbor Airport in Phoenix at 12:12 P.M., on arrival of Bonanza Airlines flight from Los Angeles. Informant stated that during the afternoon of June 4, 1962, subject arrived at the office, and received a telephone call from SALVATORE PROFACI from Brooklyn, New York. Subject said he was sorry to hear that "Uncle JOE" was worse, and said he would try to arrange for [redacted] to go to New York to see JOE. Subject informed PROFACI that Mrs. GENOVESE is very ill at St. Mary's Hospital in Tucson, is in a coma, and not expected to live. Informant advised that San-Nic received a shipment of cheese from Wisconsin during the day, and that several calls were received concerning delinquent bills. JOE BASKIN, subject, and [redacted] were at the office during the day, and [redacted] and [redacted] were reportedly working outside on sales all day.

b6
b7C

On June 5, 1962, PX T-30 advised that during the day [redacted], JOE BASKIN, [redacted], subject, and his father, JOE BONANNO, were at the office of San-Nic Importing Company, JOE BASKIN indicated that he is purchasing a car, described as a 1960 Chevrolet Impala, two door Sedan, color red, which he is putting in the name of his son, [redacted] and is obtaining insurance for this car through ANTHONY SCRIVANO at the Phoenix

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

Central Insurance Company. The license number on this car was reported to be Arizona CEH-495. During the day, CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA attempted to contact subject at the office, but subject had [] inform BATTAGLIA he was not in. Subject later called BATTAGLIA, and talked mostly in Sicilian, saying he would call BATTAGLIA again later after dinner, and possibly at the "Other place". Subject also called [] at Ontario, California, telephone number YUKon 6-8192, and both subject and his father, JOE, talked to [] in Sicilian. Subject's father, JOE, left the office about 5:28 P. M., driving subject's Pontiac car.

b6
b7C

On June 6, 1962, PX T-30 advised that subject, [] and JOE BASKIN, were at the office during the day. Subject received a call from New York City, and talked with []. Subject informed [] that he has made three trips to California and is getting goods now. He said he would send [] a statement of the business when he gets it ready. Subject then talked to his wife; advised her that "Uncle JOE" (PROFACI) would probably not last the night, and said he would arrange transportation for her to New York City. [] talked with his brother, [] about the overdrawn bank account of the company, and asked about exchanging \$1,000.00 checks temporarily. Subject then received a long distance collect call from his father, JOE BONANNO, and talked in Sicilian, also saying in English that "They called this morning". Subject and JOE BASKIN met TONY SCRIVANO at the Adams Hotel Coffee Shop for coffee during the afternoon. For long periods of time, during the day, there was no one at the office of the San-Nic Importing Company.

On June 7, 1962, PX T-30 advised that during the day, subject, JOE BASKIN, [] and [] were at the office. [] made a business trip to Tucson. Subject talked with [] at the Adams Hotel Grill, and asked [] if he could obtain a Dun and Bradstreet report on a local concern. JOE BASKIN talked long distance with ANGELO (LNU), who was attempting to contact subject, and said subject would be in later. BASKIN also talked with JOE PATERNO, and asked him to come to the office and discuss some guy who wants \$150,000.00. BASKIN also talked with JOE BONANNO and [] at Tucson, about an order for Italian Bakery in Tucson, and indicated that subject might be on his way to Tucson. BASKIN also discussed a past due bill at the Pacific Finance Company. CHARLES BATTAGLIA attempted to contact subject, and talked with BASKIN instead, concerning the sale of land which BASKIN described as North $\frac{1}{2}$ of West $\frac{1}{2}$, Section 11, Township 7, S. Range 8 East, G & SR, B & M,

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

in Pinal County. BASKIN said that subject had not checked on the water rights, and had also not contacted a fellow in Phoenix who allegedly owes BATTAGLIA money. BASKIN also talked with [redacted] at the Colonial Finance Company, and arranged to meet him the next day at noon to discuss financing a car for BASKIN's son.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Phoenix, Arizona
June 18, 1962

Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character ANTI RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated
June 18, 1962, at Phoenix, Arizona

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Phoenix, Arizona
June 18, 1962

Re: William Salvatore Bonanno
Anti Racketeering

Characterization of new informants in the report
of SA ROY W. REGER dated June 18, 1962, at Phoenix:

PX T-29 is [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

PX T-30 is in a position to furnish some
information regarding the activities of
William Salvatore Bonanno in Phoenix, Arizona;

b6
b7C
b7D

PX T-31 has [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

PX T-32 has [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

F B I

Date: 6/22/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

During the past week informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised identity of new female employee at San-Nic Importing Company in Phoenix, Arizona.

Advised that [REDACTED], a public accountant in Phoenix, is having difficulty preparing a financial statement for this company.

Advised that subject has been in constant contact with his paramour [REDACTED]

Advised that subject's wife [REDACTED] BONANNO was returning to Phoenix from New York City on 6/20/62.

Advised that subject was in contact with CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, a top hoodlum at Tucson, Arizona, concerning a real estate deal and attempts made to collect money owed to BATTAGLIA by an unknown individual in Phoenix.

C. C. Wick

3 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

RWR:lds

(4)

REC-37

92-4494-97

25 JUN 25 1962

EX-102

JUN 26 1962

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

b2
b6
b7C

A

PX 92-172D

Advised that JOE BASKIN, close associate of subject, was taken to the hospital on 6/21/62.

Advised that [] and [] were considerably perturbed because of a visit made by Bureau Agents to [], and were attempting to figure out the reason the FBI was interested in the San-Nic Importing Company.

b6
b7C

- 2 -

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
F B I

JUN 23 11 03 AM '65

RECEIVED - LAMAR

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

June 26, 1962

Director, FBI (92-4494)

✓
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Rerep SA Roy W. Reger dated 6/18/62 at Phoenix.

Pages 5 through 9 rerep set forth information received from [redacted] Much of this information is extraneous material which has no apparent significance to this investigation. b2


Care must be taken to insure that the identity of our informants is not revealed. It is unnecessary to report all information in the details of a report received from a sensitive source unless it has a direct bearing on the investigation.

In characterizing an informant the statement "T-30 is no longer available" is not an acceptable characterization. A statement such as "a source in a position to furnish some information regarding William Salvatore Bonanno's activities in Phoenix, Arizona," would be more appropriate.

Promptly submit amended pages for pages 5 through 9 and the informant characterization memorandum for rerep.

JEK:mac
(4) *mac*

EX-105



REC- 32

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

374
JUN 28 1962

JEK
92-4494-98
19 JUN 26 1962

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 6/27/62

FROM: *BS* SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340)

AB
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: Phoenix

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to the Director, 4/10/62.

On 6/7 and 6/11/62, [] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, was contacted by SA []. On the latter date he made available a copy of the Appellee's Reply Brief in the matter entitled SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs CHARLES THOMAS, ET AL, Appellee, CCA #17630. This Brief is being forwarded to the Phoenix office for analysis and transmittal to the Bureau in accordance with existing Bureau instructions.

The San Francisco office will continue to follow this appeal.

② - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172) (Encl 1)
2 - San Francisco
(1 - 66-3705-143)
DVE/jmp
(6)

REC-5

92-4494-99

15 JUN 29 1962

EX-100

NINE

60 JUL 6 1962

b6
b7C

Diffen
no interest
Div. 6. This
document is
material to
investigation

F B I

Date: 6/29/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172-D) (P)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO - Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Subject has continued his association with his paramour [REDACTED].

JOE BASKIN is still in a hospital suffering from a heart attack.

Subject had a conference in Phoenix with Tucson Attorney [REDACTED]

Subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO JR. returned to his home in Tucson from California and engaged in a heated argument with his father, following which he ran off from home.

Information concerning a transfer of title on property in which subject has an interest, and which transfer is being handled by the Phoenix Title Company through TONY SCRIVANO. Informant stated this property is owned by [REDACTED], an accountant in Tucson, Arizona, who is a close associate of the BONANNO family.

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix

RWR:bat

(4)

172
50 JUL 9 1962

REC- 25

EX-132

JUL 2 1962

Approved: Wick

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7c

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/4/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

Attention: FBI LABORATORY

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

398789

Re Bureau letter to Los Angeles dated 6/27/62.

Inquiry at the Phoenix, Arizona, Police Department, reveals that subject's fingerprints, Phoenix Police Department Number 407 344, were submitted under the name of SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO.

The Laboratory is requested to compare the signature on these prints with Specimens Q1 and Q2, previously submitted by Los Angeles.

- 3 - Bureau
- (1 - FBI Laboratory)
- 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:mss
(5)

RECEIVED

REC-35

92-4494-101

JUL 13 11 28 AM '62

JUL 10

JUL 10 1962

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
FBI

116

JUL 13 1962

WALL

WALL

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/3/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

00: Phoenix

Re report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 6/18/62 at
Phoenix and Bureau letter to Phoenix 6/26/62.

As requested in reBulet, there are enclosed
for the Bureau and all offices amended pages for rerep,
which should be substituted for pages 5 through 10. Also
enclosed for the Bureau are the original and one copy of
an amended characterization memorandum.

The Phoenix copies have been amended.

92-4494

NOT RECORDED

3 JUL 6 1962

- 2 - Bureau (Encl. 5)
- 1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - San Diego (92-165) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Encl. 1)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:jph
(9)

ENCLOSURE

53 JUL 11 1962

CONSOLIDATION

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/4/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to the Bureau dated 6/27/62.

The Appellee's Reply Brief, described in referenced letter, has been reviewed at Phoenix, and is enclosed for the Bureau.

- 2 - Bureau (Enclosure - 1)
- 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:mss
(4)

ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

RECEIVED
JUL 15 11 28 AM '62

JUL 15 11 28 AM '62

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE
FBI

REC-105

92-4494-102

JUL 10 1962

53 JUL 20 1962

JAC
Rm 713

JAC

ENCLOSURE TO THE BUREAU: (92-4494)

One (1) Appellee's Reply Brief in
the matter entitled, "SALVATORE V.
BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES
THOMAS, ET AL, Appellee,
CCA #17630.

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)
(PX 92-172)

LEASON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

92-4494-102
ENCLOSURE

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

phamplet

No. 17,630

IN THE

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,

Appellant,

VS.

CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE
I, RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE
III, RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD
ROE V,

Appellees.

APPELLEES' REPLY BRIEF

MERLE L. HANSEN,

City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,

LEVEN B. FERRIN,

Assistant City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,
10 North Third Avenue,
Phoenix, Arizona,

GIBBONS, KINNEY AND TIPTON,

First National Bank Building,
411 North Central Avenue,
Phoenix, Arizona,

Attorneys for Appellees.

HAROLD C. FAULKNER,

FAULKNER, SHEEHAN & WISEMAN,

1101 Balfour Building,
San Francisco 4, California,

Of Counsel.

FILED

JUN 5 1962

FRANK H. SCHMID, CLERK

No. 17,630

IN THE

**United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit**

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,

Appellant,

VS.

CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE
I, RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE
III, RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD
ROE V,

Appellees.

APPELLEES' REPLY BRIEF

I.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

A. (1) Whether a complaint¹ states a claim upon
which relief can be granted under the Civil Rights

¹The amended complaint will sometimes be referred to herein as
the "complaint".

Subject Index

	I.	Page
Questions presented		1
	II.	
Summary of argument		3
	III.	
Argument		3
The record		3
The amended complaint		5
Argument on the facts and law		7
Civil rights and the police power of the state		23
Plaintiff's cases		27
Amendment to complaint		32
In re Cohen v. Norris		34
Conclusion		35

Table of Authorities Cited

	Cases	Pages
Agnew v. City of Compton (9 CA, 1956) 239 F. 2d 226 ..7, 27, 28		
Baldwin v. Morgan (5 CA, 1958) 251 F. 2d 780		28
Brinegar v. United States, 338 U.S. 160, 69 S. Ct. 1302		20
Busby v. United States, 296 F. 2d 328		21
Civil Rights Cases, 109 U.S. 3		26
Cohen v. Cahill (9 CA, 1960) 281 F. 2d 879		28

	Pages
District of Columbia v. Brooke, 214 U.S. 138	24
Fedderson Motors v. Ward, 180 F. 2d 519	33
Hatfield v. Baileaux (9 CA, 1961) 290 F. 2d 632	29
Hoffman v. Halden (9 CA, 1959) 268 F. 2d 280	8, 29, 30
In Re Kemmler, 136 U.S. 436, 10 S.Ct. 930	23
Kovaes v. Cooper, 69 S.Ct. 448	23
Lambert v. People of the State of California, 355 U.S. 226, 78 S.Ct. 240	24
Lewis v. Brantigam (5 CA, 1955) 227 F. 2d 4	30, 31
Michael Cohen v. Russel K. Norris, et al., No. 17,141	34
Millet v. Godechaux Sugars, Inc. (5 CA, 1957) 241 F. 2d 264	31
Monroe v. Pape (1961) 81 S.Ct. 473, 365 U.S. 167, 5 L.Ed. 2d 492	31, 32
Progress Development Corp. v. Mitchell (D.C. Ill., 1960) 182 F. Supp. 681, reversed in part on other grounds in 286 F. 2d 222	32
Szalkiewicz v. Farrell Lines, 142 F. Supp. 496	34
United States v. Bonanno, 180 F. Supp. 71	19, 20, 21

Constitutions

United States Constitution:	
Fourth Amendment	22
Fourteenth Amendment	25

Rules

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:	
Rule 15, Subdivision (a)	33

Texts	Pages
American Jurisprudence;	
Volume 20, page 174	17
Volume 42, page 888	18
Volume 47, page 823	18
1952 Edition of The Constitution of the United States of America prepared by the Legislative Reference Service, Library of Congress, and printed by the United States Government Printing Office, on page 982	25
The Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science, published by the Northwestern University School of Law, Volume 52, No. 1, May-June 1961	22
U.S.C.A., Title 42:	
Section 1983	35
Section 1985	35

No. 17,630

IN THE

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,

Appellant,

VS.

CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE
I, RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE
III, RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD
ROE V,

Appellees.

APPELLEES' REPLY BRIEF

MERLE L. HANSEN,
City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,

LEVEN B. FERRIN,
Assistant City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,
10 North Third Avenue,
Phoenix, Arizona,

GIBBONS, KINNEY AND TIPTON,
First National Bank Building,
411 North Central Avenue,
Phoenix, Arizona,

Attorneys for Appellees.

HAROLD C. FAULKNER,
FAULKNER, SHEEHAN & WISEMAN,
1101 Balfour Building,
San Francisco 4, California,
Of Counsel.

FILED

JUN 5 1962

FRANK H. SCHMID, CLERK

92-4494-102

Act² which alleges that the Chief of Police and police officers of the City of Phoenix, State of Arizona, acting under color of authority as such police officers, during a period of a week (a) questioned plaintiff³ on several occasions; (b) followed plaintiff while driving in an automobile, and (c) in one instance unnamed police officers flashed a red light on an apartment house in which plaintiff resided. The complaint is silent on the subject of questioning except in one instance, the substance of which is that two defendant police officers told plaintiff his presence in Phoenix was undesirable, the Phoenix Police Department would prefer that he leave the City, and told the plaintiff to advise his associates and friends that they were not welcome in Phoenix and their presence was undesirable.

(2) Whether the District Court had jurisdiction of cause of action based on the facts alleged.

(3) Whether the District Court erred in dismissing the action without affording plaintiff the right to file a further amended complaint.

B. Whether the inherent police power of the state has been so unreasonably or arbitrarily exercised as to plaintiff as to violate his rights under the Civil Rights Act.

²As used herein, the terms "Civil Rights Act" or "Civil Rights Law" are used as all-embracing terms. No refinements or distinctions between various provisions thereof are attempted herein.

³Appellant will throughout this brief be referred to as "plaintiff"; appellees will be referred to as "defendants".

II.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

In this brief we will discuss the requirements of pleading in a civil rights case, analyze the factual phase of plaintiff's complaint and apply to the facts alleged the law as we understand it to be. We will further discuss the further point urged by plaintiff that the trial court erred in not allowing him to amend his complaint further.

III.

ARGUMENT

THE RECORD

The complaint was filed on May 3, 1961. A motion for preliminary injunction was filed on the same day. On May 19, 1961, defendants gave notice of taking deposition of plaintiff. On May 22, 1961, a motion to dismiss the application for injunction was filed, and a motion to dismiss the complaint was filed. On May 19, 1961, affidavits of John J. Karie, Gordon Selby and Leon Orr were filed. On May 22, 1961, the affidavit of Charles Thomas was filed. No reference will be made to any phase of the affidavits which might be deemed to be in dispute. No denial of the affidavit of Selby and Orr is in the record. In this latter affidavit, the affiants Selby and Orr describe their conversation with plaintiff, and skipping over the conversation generally and the opinions and obscenities of the plaintiff in relation to the Tucson Police Department, it appears from the affidavit as follows:

"* * * We talked to Mr. Bonanno in generalities and asked him if he knew Morris Brady and Rudy Prefetto. He stated he knew them, in fact that they were friends of his. These two men are now wanted on a murder warrant in Tucson, Arizona, and the Federal Government has also issued a warrant for their arrest for unlawful flight to avoid prosecution. Mr. Bonanno asked us if we were going to tell him to leave town. At this point Mr. Bonanno was interrupted by affiant Gordon Selby stating 'Now that you have brought up the subject, we would appreciate it if you would leave town.' It was explained to him that we had nothing personal against him but that we were interested in his associates such as Charlie Battaglia, John Battaglia, Rudy Prefetto, Morris Brady, and his father, Joe Bonanno, and others that have been known to associate with him, and that we felt that his presence in Phoenix would tend to bring these persons into Phoenix and we would appreciate it if he would tell them their presence was not desired. Mr. Bonanno told us that he had many friends and asked who was to be the judge of whether they were good or bad and that he couldn't help what his father or his friends did, or be disrespectful to his father because of his background and associates and for their alleged underworld activities."

On May 24, plaintiff moved to extend the time of taking his deposition. On May 24, the judge of the United States District Court extended the time of taking plaintiff's deposition from May 25 to May 31, 1961. A subpoena duces tecum was served on the de-

fendants on May 23, 1961. On June 6, 1961, a motion to strike and for entry of judgment by default against plaintiff was filed by defendants. An affidavit was filed in connection therewith. The proceedings at the time of the deposition on May 31 are set forth in the record. Suffice it to say the plaintiff refused to give his deposition. On July 13, 1961, plaintiff filed his amended complaint. On July 21, defendants moved to dismiss the complaint. Interrogatories were served on plaintiff on July 25, 1961. The record fails to show any answers to the interrogatories or order extending time to answer. On September 20, 1961, the District Court "Ordered that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint is granted and that this action is dismissed." On October 5, 1961, notice of appeal was filed.

THE AMENDED COMPLAINT

Paragraph III of the amended complaint alleges:

"That this Court has jurisdiction under the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343.

That this action arises under the United States Constitution and particularly Article I § 8, Article IV § 4, Amendments XIII and XIV, and the laws of the United States Title 18 U.S.C. § 241 and § 242; Title 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343; Title 42 U.S.C. § 1981, to and including § 1988; Title 52 U.S.C. § 203."

In Paragraphs IV and V plaintiff describes the defendants, namely, the Chief of Police and certain

named and unknown police officers of the City of Phoenix.

In Paragraph VI plaintiff charges that defendants conspired to deprive plaintiff of the equal protection of the laws of Arizona, to wit, the laws in relation to due and established tribunals, their organization, procedure and course of justice⁴; further, that defendants conspired to deprive plaintiff of those rights provided under the Constitution and the laws of the United States and particularly those set forth in Paragraph III quoted above, and conspired to impede, hinder, obstruct and defeat the due course and due process of law and justice in the State of Arizona⁴ (see Note 4, supra), and further conspired to deprive plaintiff of the rights, privileges and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States extended to citizens of the United States and particularly those set forth in Paragraph III. The plaintiff then charges that the acts of defendants were committed by each of them while acting under color and pretense of the statutes, ordinances, customs and laws of the State of Arizona (again see Note 4), and were not committed in their individual capacity.

However, in Paragraph VII, plaintiff seems to change his claim and therein alleges that the defendants:

"* * * acting under color and pretense of the statutes, ordinances, customs and laws of the State of Arizona and of the City of Phoenix and

⁴ It is interesting and important to note no laws of Arizona are specified and no laws of Arizona are referred to in plaintiff's brief.

in wilful, malicious, intentional and discriminating misuse of their authority, that of their subordinates and of other agencies of the City of Phoenix and State of Arizona wilfully acting in concert with each other and with the other defendants, by themselves, their agents, servants and subordinates, each and all procuring, aiding and encouraging the other defendants from the 25th day of April, 1961, up to and including the present date, did purposely and systematically and intentionally discriminate against the plaintiff and subjected him to inequality of treatment in the following particulars, among others, which were not privileged or compelled by law: * * *"
(There follows 21 overt acts, each hereinafter referred to.)

ARGUMENT ON THE FACTS AND LAW

In actions for redress under the Civil Rights Law, it seems well embedded in the law in this Circuit that opinions and conclusions of the pleader are not sufficient, that to state a claim for relief the action must be bottomed upon facts pleaded. A conspiracy, if one is pleaded, must show facts of the conspiracy. The overt acts must show violations of the Civil Rights Law. The pleaded facts in the present complaint show neither a conspiracy nor a violation.

The case of *Agnew v. City of Compton* (9 CA 1956) 239 F.2d 226, a civil rights case, in respect to the type of language appearing in Paragraphs VI and VII of the present amended complaint, held as follows:

"General allegations of this kind, when unsupported by the complaint, read as a whole, have

consistently been rejected as insufficient. The complaint under examination, considered as a whole, does not support these general allegations. * * *

In the case of *Hoffman v. Halden*, this Circuit, 268 F. 2d 280 at 294, your Court held:

"(13) It is true that general conclusionary allegations unsupported by facts have consistently been rejected as insufficient to constitute a cause of action under the Civil Rights Act, *Agnew v. City of Compton*, supra, 239 F. 2d at page 231.

"Various Circuits have rejected in civil rights cases, 'the mere allegations of conspiracy, fraud or malice;' and disregarded '* * * as mere conclusions, the loose and general, the factually unsupported, characterizations of the complained of acts of the defendants, as malicious, conspiratorial, and done for the purpose of depriving plaintiffs of their constitutional rights * * *'. *Agnew v. City of Compton*, supra, 239 F. 2d at page 231 refers in footnote 11 to 'opprobrious conclusions * * * "spiteful, malicious, wrongful, oppressive, * * *"' as adding nothing and correctly cites *Snowden v. Hughes*, supra, 321 U.S. at page 10, 64 S. Ct. at page 402. It will be noted however, that in *Agnew* and *Snowden*, supra, the court does not include the words 'conspiracy' or 'conspiratorial.'"

"(17, 18) In a civil conspiracy, the conspiracy itself is not a cause of action, without overt acts, because again it is the overt act which moves the conspiracy from the area of thought and conversation into action and causes the civil injury and resulting damage. Accordingly, the cases hold that the damage in a civil conspiracy flows from the overt acts and not from the conspiracy."

"(19) Therefore, the certainty we look for in a proper complaint under the Civil Rights Statutes, is not in the general allegations of conspiracy, purpose, intent and color of authority but the certainty and substance in the particular acts which are alleged to have caused damage. The real question is whether or not the second amended complaint, in addition to the general allegations referred to above, sets forth with certainty facts showing particularly what a defendant or defendants did to carry the conspiracy into effect, whether such acts fit within the framework of the conspiracy alleged, and whether such acts, in the ordinary course of events would proximately cause injury to the plaintiff."

In the case at bar, as defendants, we have the Chief of Police and officers of the Police Department of the City of Phoenix, some named and some unnamed. The plaintiff describes himself as a citizen of the United States and of the State of Arizona and as a person domiciled and residing in the State of Arizona. He also describes himself, in Paragraph VII, 21, (R. 55), as an employee of the Romulus Restaurant.

Here are the facts pleaded in sequence in Paragraph VII, and comments thereon.

Discussing in sequence each of these overt acts, which are the only facts pleaded, we find this situation:

1. *Pleading:* It is claimed that on the 25th of April, 1961, defendant Hodges, a police officer, issued an order to defendant Rust, a police officer, that the plaintiff be questioned at any opportunity.

Comment: This is but an order from one police officer to another. It could not possibly have any impact upon the plaintiff until it was acted upon, and when acted upon as depicted in plaintiff's amended complaint, leaves no imprint of illegality.

2. *Pleading:* It relates to an order by Hodges to Rust on or about April 25, 1961, that any person driving a 1960 Thunderbird, a 1961 Falcon or a 1960 Cadillac be interrogated and that a Form 36 be made whether the plaintiff or any other person is driving said automobiles.

Comment: What relation do these automobiles have to plaintiff? It is neither alleged nor claimed that he was the owner or had the right to possession of any of them, nor in this paragraph is the claim made that any of these automobiles were stopped.

(Note: The plaintiff refers to a Form 36 as though it were a well-known form. So that there will be no misunderstanding, a Form 36 is not a form to be filled out by the driver of an automobile but is rather a form to be filled out by the police officer for police department information only. Attached as "Exhibit A" is a Form 36.)

3. *Pleading:* On or about the 25th of April, 1961, defendant Bingaman issued an order to locate and follow a 1960 White Thunderbird and the 1960 Cadillac.

Comment: No fact is alleged concerning the relationship of plaintiff to either of these automobiles, nor that the automobiles referred to were actually followed.

4. *Pleading:* On April 24, 1961, defendants Orr and Selby interrogated the plaintiff and told him his presence in Phoenix was undesirable and they would prefer that he left the City, and they advised him to tell his associates and friends that they weren't welcome in Phoenix and their presence was undesirable.

Comment: Surely, a sound police procedure. More will be said on this and comparable overt acts later.

5. *Pleading:* On April 25, 1961, defendants Selby and Orr issued an order that a Form 36 should be made on all occupants of a 1960 white Thunderbird, a 1961 Falcon and the 1960 Cadillac.

Comment: This appears to be an order on the same subject as that mentioned in overt act 2. In overt act 5, nowhere is plaintiff's connection with the automobiles involved alleged.

6. *Pleading:* On April 25, 1961, defendants Selby and Orr issued an order that all police notify special investigators or the detective bureau when any out-of-state cars were in the vicinity of the Romulus Restaurant.

Comment: How does this affect plaintiff? He merely alleges that he was an employee in the restaurant.

7. *Pleading:* It is alleged that on April 25, 1961, defendant Thomas issued an order to all policemen to tell the plaintiff that he was not welcome in the City of Phoenix and to get the plaintiff to leave town.

Comment: Insofar as the order relating to telling plaintiff that he wasn't welcome in the City of Phoenix, it was apparently never acted upon, nor did anyone "get the plaintiff to leave town."

(Note: On April 24, other police officers did tell the plaintiff that his presence was undesirable. They were, according to the complaint, not acting under an order issued by the Chief of Police the following day.) It is further to be noted that the amended complaint was filed on July 31, 1961. The last so-called overt act occurred on May 2, a period of two months and ten days.

8. *Pleading:* It is alleged that on April 26, 1961, Chief of Police Thomas stated "we of the Police Department are going to do everything in our power to make the plaintiff move elsewhere."

Comment: To whom did he make the statement? How was it brought home to the plaintiff? Did the Chief make it to his wife, a friend, another police officer, or an official? Certainly not to the plaintiff, or it would have been alleged. There is no claim that plaintiff either left or was forced to leave Phoenix.

9. *Pleading:* On or about April 26, 1961, Chief of Police Thomas issued an order to the Detective Bureau to keep the plaintiff under constant surveillance.

Comment: Certainly a proper order for a chief of police to give in the exercise of his power as chief of police. There is no claim, however, nor any facts alleged that the plaintiff was kept under constant surveillance.

10. *Pleading:* On or about the 25th of April, 1961, the plaintiff was followed by unnamed police officers for a period of thirty minutes and that at approximately 3:30 P.M. on April 25, 1961, the plaintiff was again followed by two policemen driving an automobile belonging to the City of Phoenix Police Department.

Comment: Simply stated, this is an allegation that police officers followed a person on two occasions.

11. *Pleading:* At approximately 4:00 P.M. on April 24, 1961, plaintiff was stopped by defendant Bentz in an automobile driven by Tony Vaccaro and questioned and told plaintiff that on orders he must fill out an identification card.

Comment: A police officer stopped an automobile and questioned the occupant and said he had to fill out an identification card, clearly normal police procedure.

12. *Pleading:* On or about April 25, 1961, during the evening of said day, there were three City patrol cars parked in front of the Romulus Restaurant most of the evening in Phoenix, Arizona.

Comment: How did this affect plaintiff or infringe on his rights, whatever they may be?

13. *Pleading:* On or about the entire day of April 26, 1961, the City of Phoenix Police Department automobiles were double parked in front of the Romulus Restaurant.

Comment: Again, how did this affect the plaintiff or infringe upon his rights, whatever they may be?

14. *Pleading:* On or about April 26, 1961, during the evening of said day, unnamed police officers marked down all license plate numbers of all automobiles parked at the Romulus Restaurant in Phoenix, Arizona.

Comment: How did this affect plaintiff?

15. *Pleading:* On numerous occasions during the evening of April 26, 1961, City policemen acting under the instructions of the Chief of Police did park in front of the Romulus Restaurant and shined lights in the parking area.

Comment: How did this affect the plaintiff?

16. *Pleading:* At 1:00 o'clock in the morning on April 27, 1961, when the plaintiff left the Romulus Restaurant, he was followed by a patrol car manned by City of Phoenix police officers.

Comment: Following a person in the early hours of the morning is a normal police routine.

17. *Pleading:* At approximately 3:00 A.M. on April 27, 1961, in the City of Phoenix, plaintiff was stopped by two City policemen. Plaintiff was questioned and told they had orders to do so.

Comment: Questioning a person in a metropolitan area at 3 o'clock in the morning is common police practice.

18. *Pleading:* On April 26, 1961, plaintiff was stopped by unnamed police officers claiming to be acting under the instructions of their superior officer and defendant Thomas and ques-

tioned again at 10:00 A.M., 12:30 P.M., 3:00 P.M. and 6:00 P.M.

Comment: Merely stopping a man and speaking to him on four separate occasions.

19. *Pleading:* On May 2, 1961, plaintiff was again stopped and questioned by defendants unknown and Harold Jarrett on or about a certain place in the City of Phoenix.

Comment: Merely stopping a man and speaking to him.

20. *Pleading:* In the early morning hours of April 27, 1961, two unknown police officers parked in front of the apartment where plaintiff is living and flashed their red light on the patrol car on the apartment for about an hour.

Comment: It is to be observed that no order or direction is alleged from any named defendant relating to this incident. The persons are unknown, and many reasons could be accorded for the act, principally to find out if the plaintiff was home, or in relation to some matter unconnected with this case.

21. *Pleading:* On or about April 25, 26 and 27, 1961, certain employees and personnel of the Romulus Restaurant "where the plaintiff is employed were stopped and questioned by police officers," unnamed, "about their personal lives and about the plaintiff approximately twelve times."

Comment: An ordinary and essential part of a police investigation.

This concludes the facts alleged in the complaint.

It will be observed from the twenty-one facts alleged that plaintiff was a known person to the Police Department of Phoenix, that he had just arrived in Phoenix, that he was employed at the Romulus Restaurant in the City of Phoenix. It will be further observed throughout the so-called overt acts alleged in the amended complaint that the subject of questioning is never mentioned except overt act 4 heretofore referred to. Whatever the subjects talked about, it is clear that if threats were made, they would have been alleged; that if violence or even harshness was used, it would have been alleged; that if arrests were made, they would have been alleged; that if searches and seizures were conducted, they would have been alleged.

The next question is, what is the claimed impact of the acts alleged upon plaintiff? Reading the brief of appellant from cover to cover, the only allegation on this subject is as follows:

"* * * Taken as a whole they show over a relatively short period of a week or so, an intensified campaign of harassment, interference, intrusion and badgering directed against the plaintiff. These activities interfered with plaintiff's personal liberties and employment. The pattern and purpose of the same is evident."

This is really the entire argument of plaintiff. We are not in accord with counsel's opinion of what the facts show. Further, his case, even as stated by him, is not supported by a single case cited by him, nor is any argument made that his case fits the facts in any

decision cited by him. Contrary to the position of plaintiff, as stated by his counsel in the opening brief, the action of the Police Department of the City of Phoenix is completely defensible on at least three bases:

(a) As routine police conduct in conformity with their duty as police officers, which has been variously expressed and will hereafter appear.

(b) Ordinary routine police duty in relation to the subject of the whereabouts of two men wanted for murder in Tucson who were friends of the plaintiff and in relation to his association with Charlie Battaglia, John Battaglia, Rudy Prefetto, Morris Brady and his father, Joe Bonanno. Who the Battaglias are or who Joe Bonanno is is not clearly indicated in the record other than it is apparent they were known to the police of Phoenix. The Prefetto and Brady referred to are the men wanted for murder in Tucson and wanted by the federal government for unlawful flight to avoid prosecution.

(c) The presumption that comes to the aid of defendants is well stated in Volume 20, American Jurisprudence, page 174, as follows:

"170. Generally.—In the absence of any proof to the contrary, there is a very strong presumption embodied in the maxim, 'omnia praesumuntur rite esse acta', that public officers have properly discharged the duties of their office and performed faithfully those matters with which they are charged. Stated in another way, the courts will presume, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, that public officers have not culpably

neglected or violated their official duties and have not acted illegally in the doing of any official act."

We do not intend to even intimate that either, (a), (b) or (c) above immunizes police from an action under Civil Rights Law. We do, however, urge that under certain facts and circumstances the letter of the Civil Rights Law must give way to the police power of the state. In stating the matter thus simply, we do not intend to concede in the slightest that any civil rights of the plaintiff have been invaded by the defendants.

"Peace officers are generally classed as public officers. * * * In a great many cases, it is held that he" (police officer) "is a public officer, on the ground that his principal duty is the preservation of public peace, which is a matter of public concern."

47 Am. Jur. 823.

"* * * a public officer is one whose functions and duties concern the public and who exercises some portion of the sovereign power of the state."

42 Am. Jur. 888.

Whether we consider the case under the presumption quoted above or in the light of the record, which indicates the concern of the Police Department of the City of Phoenix as to the whereabouts of two friends of plaintiff who were charged with murder in the City of Tucson, or merely as a matter of routine police procedure, we believe the answer is always the same. The alleged acts constituted no infringement upon the rights of plaintiff under The Civil Rights Law. We

know of no case that holds that harassment, interference, intrusion and badgering constitute a basis of a civil rights action under the Constitution and laws of the United States.

In connection with this subject, Judge Kaufman in the case of *United States v. Bonanno*, 180 F. Supp. 71, makes several observations in the course of his opinion. Some that are particularly apt are as follows:

"(8, 9) That every temporary restriction of absolute freedom of movement is not an illegal police action demanding suppression of all resultant evidence is accepted in federal courts, though it is a proposition incompletely articulated. I believe that the relative dearth of authority in point can be explained by the fact that few litigants have ever seriously contended that it was illegal for an officer to stop and question a person unless he had 'probable cause' for a formal arrest."

Further,

"(10) While the Fourth Amendment may be construed as encompassing 'seizure' of an individual, it cannot be contended that every detention of an individual is such a 'seizure'. If that were the case, police investigation would be dealt a crippling blow, by imposing a radical sanction unnecessary for the protection of a free citizenry. * * * (Citing illustrations)"

Further,

"It must be emphasized that all the hypothetical cases presented are, as is the instant case, sharply

distinguishable from a police 'roundup'. When police reasonably believe that a crime might have been committed, those closely connected in time and place to the criminal activity are undoubtedly proper subjects for limited police questioning, for they are most likely to have information of value to the investigation. * * *

Further,

"Admittedly, the above examples deal with individuals on foot. But, I can see no difference in principle between the detention of an individual on the street, and the stoppage of a car, so long as there is no violence or highhandedness involved in the latter procedure. * * *

In the course of his opinion, the Court quoted with approval the language of Justice Burton in his concurring opinion in the case of *Brinegar v. United States*, 338 U.S. 160, 69 S. Ct. 1302. Therein Justice Burton states as follows:

"It is only by alertness to proper occasions for prompt inquiries and investigations that effective prevention of crime and enforcement of law is possible. Government agents are commissioned to represent the interests of the public in the enforcement of the law and this requires affirmative action not only when there is reasonable ground for an arrest or probable cause for a search but when there is reasonable ground for an investigation." 338 U.S. at page 179, 69 S.Ct. at page 1312 (concurring opinion). (Emphasis supplied.)"

Further, Judge Kaufman, in his opinion in the *Bonanno* case, supra, said:

"But, the fact that a certain rule tends toward a desired end does not mean that an expansion of that rule will tend toward the same end. The opposite might well be the result. If the right of police to investigate a crime before formally arresting and charging a person is restricted too severely, not only will guilty persons go free, but innocent persons will suffer unnecessarily. Police officers tend, by and large, to uphold and be ruled by the law. * * * (P. 82)

Further, the Court said:

"* * * If it were held that the mere identification of a person were a violation of the Fourth Amendment, if made after that person had been stopped by a law enforcement officer, it would become impermissible for such officers ever to stop a suspect unless the officer had 'probable cause' for a warrant before the stoppage." (P. 83)

In the case of *Busby v. United States*, 296 F. 2d 328 at 332, this Court quotes with approval language of Judge Kaufman in *United States v. Bonanno*, supra, as follows:

"While the Fourth Amendment may be construed as encompassing 'seizure' of an individual, it cannot be contended that every detention of an individual is such a 'seizure'. If that were the case, police investigation would be dealt a crippling blow, by imposing a radical sanction unnecessary for the protection of a free citizenry."

This case involved a claim of illegal search and seizure. In the course of its opinion, this Court further said:

"In this case there was a routine investigation by the officers who were responding to reported occurrences. To ignore such reports would seem to be a dereliction of duty. We see no unnecessary or improper interference with the rights of individuals in the stopping of the automobile containing appellants or in the requiring of the appellants to step out of the car while inquiries were being made."

An interesting observation made by Gerhard O. W. Mueller, a Professor of Law and Director of the Comparative Criminal Law Project at New York University, in "The Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science", published by the Northwestern University School of Law, Vol. 52, No. 1, May-June 1961, discusses the subject of "The Law Relating to Police Interrogation Privileges and Limitations". In the course of his remarks, the author discusses many phases of police interrogation, police interviewing and kindred subjects. In the course thereof, he discusses the fact that the law grants every citizen, even the worst convict, the right to speak or to keep silent when he pleases, and then goes on to say:

"* * * *By the same token, the police have a virtually unlimited right to ask questions. They are not entitled to any answers, * * **"

It will be observed that the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution provides as follows:

"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon prob-

able cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

The Court will observe that the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects is a right against unreasonable searches and seizures. In this case plaintiff was never searched and the plaintiff was never seized.

CIVIL RIGHTS AND THE POLICE POWER OF THE STATE

The Supreme Court in the case of *Kovacs v. Cooper*, 69 S.Ct. 448 at 451, held:

"* * * The police power of a state extends beyond health, morals and safety, and comprehends the duty, within constitutional limitations, to protect the well-being and tranquility of a community. A state or city may prohibit acts or things reasonably thought to bring evil or harm to its people."

In the same case the court further said:

"Of course, even the fundamental rights of the Bill of Rights are not absolute. * * *"

These citations are in a case which upheld an ordinance of the City of Trenton, forbidding the use of sound trucks and loud speakers or sound amplifiers, etc. which emit therefrom loud and raucous noises and are attached to and upon any vehicle operated or standing upon said streets or public places aforementioned.

The Supreme Court in the case of *In Re Kemmler*, 136 U.S. 436 at 448, 10 S.Ct. 930, states as follows:

"* * * Undoubtedly the amendment forbids any arbitrary deprivation of life, liberty, or property, and secures equal protection to all under like circumstances in the enjoyment of their rights; and, in the administration of criminal justice, requires that no different or higher punishment shall be imposed upon one than is imposed upon all for like offences. But it was not designed to interfere with the power of the State to protect the lives, liberties and property of its citizens, and to promote their health, peace, morals, education and good order. *Barbier v. Connolly*, 113 U.S. 27, 31." (Emphasis supplied)

In the recent case of *Lambert v. People of the State of California*, 355 U.S. 226, 78 S.Ct. 240, a conviction under a Los Angeles ordinance requiring the registration of persons convicted of a felony within the state and without the state was reversed on the primary ground of lack of notice to the defendant. The conviction was struck down because of the failure to give notice. In the course of the opinion of Justice Douglas, he declares:

"* * * deep in our law * * * is the principle that of all the powers of local government, the police power is 'one of the least limitable.' * * *" (U.S. 228, S.Ct. 243)

Justice Douglas cites in support of this text the case of *District of Columbia v. Brooke*, 214 U.S. 138, at 149, where the court said:

"* * * In the case at bar we are dealing with an exercise of the police power, one of the most essential of powers, at times the most insistent, and

always one of the least limitable of the powers of government."

Of interest is the text contained in the 1952 Edition of The Constitution of the United States of America prepared by the Legislative Reference Service, Library of Congress, and printed by the United States Government Printing Office, on page 982. The text is as follows:

"Limitations on the Police Power.—Because the police power of a State is the least limitable of the exercises of government, such limitations as are applicable thereto are not readily definable. Being neither susceptible of circumstantial precision, nor discoverable by any formula, these limitations can be determined only through appropriate regard to the subject matter of the exercise of that power. 'It is settled (however) that neither the "contract" clause nor the "due process" clause had the effect of overriding the power of the State to establish all regulations that are reasonably necessary to secure the health, safety, good order, comfort, or general welfare of the community; that this power can neither be abdicated nor bargained away, and is inalienable even by express grant; and that all contract and property (or other vested) rights are held subject to its fair exercise.'"

Certainly the Phoenix police were fair in their exercise of power.

Numerous cases dealing with the subject of police power of the state as contrasted with constitutional rights secured by the Constitution and the Fourteenth

Amendment have withstood the attack on state action in its various forms based upon a claim that the act or actions are unconstitutional and violate rights of persons under the Constitution and its amendments.

Long ago the Supreme Court of the United States in the Civil Rights Cases, 109 U.S. 3 at 13, said:

“* * * the rights of life, liberty and property (which include all civil rights that men have) * * *”

We are not quoting the case for its holding because it has been modified in many instances, but its enunciation of a truth seems apparent. The due process of law and the equal protection of the law and other civil rights and constitutional provisions still relate to one of these three basic rights, life, liberty and property. In the present case, neither the life, liberty or property of the plaintiff was invaded. He was neither physically mishandled, much less beaten and abused; no property right was taken from him, and his life was unimpaired and never threatened. Can it be said that in organized society a person who has been questioned by police and who has been followed in an automobile by police has had a constitutional or statutory right invaded? A reading of the complaint shows that there was applied to this plaintiff an ordinary, everyday police practice. For reasons of its own, the Police Department of the City of Phoenix followed a police pattern which the Court knows as a matter of common knowledge is a sound police practice. Were it otherwise and were a court to hold that it was an

invasion of the civil rights of the plaintiff to do the things related in this complaint, the police departments of our nation in their investigations would be brought to a standstill.

PLAINTIFF'S CASES

Plaintiff has stated the statutes upon which he relies, the authorities upon which he relies and his conclusion that he has stated a cause of action. We state with certainty that there isn't a single case cited by plaintiff where the facts involved resemble even remotely the case at bar. Discussing the cases cited in plaintiff's brief in the order in which they appear in the index to Table of Authorities Cited by plaintiff, we find these cases cited:

1. *Agnew v. City of Compton*, (9 CA, 1956) 239 F. 2d 226

Constitutional rights involved: An action against the city, its electrical inspector, and two of its police officers for alleged deprivation of plaintiff's rights in being arrested on charges of engaging in the business of auctioneering without city permit, etc. The District Court dismissed the complaint. Your Circuit affirmed. In the course of this opinion the Court, in respect to the type of language appearing in Paragraphs VI and VII of the present amended complaint, held as follows:

“General allegations of this kind, when unsupported by the complaint, read as a whole, have

consistently been rejected as insufficient. The complaint under examination, considered as a whole, does not support these general allegations. * * *

Here, as in the *Agnew* case, supra, the means employed by the police were reasonable. No violence or indignity resulted.

2. *Baldwin v. Morgan*, (5 CA, 1958) 251 F. 2d 780

Constitutional rights involved: This case involved a class action by Negroes for injunction and declaratory relief against compulsory segregation in railroad waiting rooms. The complaint was dismissed by the District Court and reversed by the Circuit Court. All of the facts in this opinion are completely dissimilar to those in the present case and relate to an entirely different phase of the rights of persons under the Civil Rights Law.

3. *Cohen v. Cahill*, (9 CA, 1960) 281 F. 2d 879

Constitutional rights involved: This is an opinion of your Circuit. The facts are well known to this Court. It was an action for damages based on claim that defendants, acting under color of state custom or usage, deprived plaintiff of rights guaranteed by Constitution. The facts were that room of plaintiff was entered while he was in bed, his luggage and personal papers were searched. He was detained at police station for 4 or 5 hours and suffered questioning by one of the defendants against his will. He was ordered to get out of San Francisco. Photostatic copies of his

notebook were made and retained, and he was not charged with any public offense. A clear invasion of known constitutional rights.

4. *Hatfield v. Baileaux*, (9 CA, 1961) 290 F. 2d 632

Constitutional rights involved: This is a decision of this Court. It was a suit by inmates of a state penitentiary to enjoin prison officials from enforcing certain regulations and continuing certain customs and usages at the penitentiary. The District Court granted certain injunctive relief. Appeal was taken, and the judgment of the District Court was reversed. The theory of the appellee's case was that the prison regulations and practices in question, whether or not designed to this end, have the effect of depriving appellee of the right of reasonable access to the courts. (Reasonable access to the courts is considered by the court to be one of the rights guaranteed as against state action by the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.) There is no similarity between the facts of that case and the case at bar. Further, the judgment in favor of the plaintiff claiming his civil rights were violated was reversed by your Court.

5. *Hoffman v. Halden*, (9 CA, 1959) 268 F. 2d 280

Constitutional rights involved: This is an opinion of this Court. It was an action for violation of civil rights arising out of alleged conspiracy resulting in wrongful incarceration of plaintiff in state hospital for mentally ill. The facts are complicated. The opin-

ion is long. It is relied on by plaintiff. The complaint in this case is set forth in the margin of the opinion of this Court. The opinions and conclusions in the present complaint and the complaint in *Hoffman v. Halden* are quite similar. Where the present plaintiff's case falls down is in this: That the facts alleged are completely dissimilar. Without reviewing all of them, the claim is made that the plaintiff was forcibly taken into custody without being informed of the charges against him and without exhibiting a citation; depriving plaintiff of the right to select a physician as provided for under the laws of Oregon; refusal to permit plaintiff to call or communicate with his counsel; wilful refusal to permit plaintiff to summon witnesses in his own behalf; confining plaintiff in an enclosure where criminals were incarcerated; threatening, coercing and intimidating plaintiff in respect to making objections to his illegal detention; threatening and intimidating and coercing plaintiff from exercising and availing himself of due process and of the due course of law; wilful violation of a court order, and it goes on and on and includes taking the money and property of plaintiff from his person; forcefully removing plaintiff from the courtroom during a hearing. This case is heretofore cited by defendants on the subject of requirement of pleading in a civil rights case.

6. *Lewis v. Brantigan*, (5 CA, 1955) 227 F. 2d 4

Constitutional rights involved: The constitutional point involved in this case related to the act of law

officers who exact confessions by violence. The court held they could be sued under the Civil Rights law.

7. *Millet v. Godchaux Sugars, Inc.* (5 CA, 1957)
241 F. 2d 264

Constitutional rights involved: This is an authority sustaining the language in the *Lewis* case that a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim should not be granted unless it appears to a certainty that the plaintiff would be entitled to no relief under any state of facts which could be proved in support of his claim.

8. *Monroe v. Pape*, (1961) 81 S.Ct. 473, 365 U.S.
167, 5 L.Ed. 2d 492

Constitutional rights involved: This case sets at rest many of the problems that have faced the court in civil rights cases. The facts in that case relate to the action of 13 Chicago police officers who broke into petitioners' home in the early morning, routed them from bed, made them stand naked in the living room, and ransacked every room. Further, that Mr. Monroe was taken to the police station and detained on open charges for 10 hours, while he was interrogated about a two-day-old murder. He was not taken before a magistrate, though one was accessible; he was not permitted to call his family or attorney; he was subsequently released without criminal charges being placed against him. The court held that Mr. Monroe was entitled to bring an action under the Civil Rights Law. In the *Monroe* case there was a breaking, search and seizure, and a detention of a duration that amounted

to an arrest. Comparison of facts in the *Monroe v. Pape* case with those of the case at bar is so evident it needs no further comment.

9. *Progress Development Corp. v. Mitchell* (D.C. Ill. 1960) 182 F. Supp. 681, reversed in part on other grounds in 286 F. 2d 222

Constitutional rights involved: This case is cited to the proposition, according to plaintiff's brief, page 10,

"The test would appear to be whether the acts charged against the individual defendants or any of them, would give rise to a cause of action, either legal or equitable, for the violation of a right of the plaintiff. * * *"

Plaintiff misconstrues the decision. What the court said was:

"No right that is unenforceable in a court of law or equity, in any court in the United States, can be classified as a civil right." P. 713

The conclusion of the plaintiff and the words of the court are quite dissimilar. The court did not say nor mean to say that any right recognizable in a court of law and equity gives rise to an action under the Civil Rights Law.

AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT

Plaintiff urges that he should have been allowed to amend his complaint. The complaint filed by plaintiff (R. 3) had addressed to it a motion to dismiss (R.

14). This motion was filed apparently on the 19th of May, 1961. On July 13, 1961, plaintiff filed an amended complaint. To this latter complaint a motion to dismiss was filed July 24, 1961, and was granted on September 20, 1961. The court further dismissed the action. No motion to amend the amended complaint was filed. The notice of appeal was filed on October 5. Rule 15, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, provides in subdivision (a) as follows:

"(a) Amendments. A party may amend his pleading once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is served or, if the pleading is one to which no responsive pleading is permitted and the action has not been placed upon the trial calendar, he may so amend it at any time within 20 days after it is served. Otherwise a party may amend his pleading only by leave of court or by written consent of the adverse party; and leave shall be freely given when justice so requires. * * *"

It will be observed that plaintiff had amended his complaint once and had ample time to amend his pleading again between July 24 and September 20, 1961.

Plaintiff argues that it was error for the trial court to grant the motion to dismiss without giving leave to the plaintiff to amend.

The rule determinative of the claim of plaintiff seems to be well stated in the case of *Feddersen Motors v. Ward*, 180 F. 2d 519:

"8. Federal civil procedure

The right to amend complaint once as a matter of course ended with entry of judgment dismissing the action, and thereafter pleading could be amended only with leave of court, or application might have been addressed to court's discretion to have judgment set aside in order to permit filing of amended complaint. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rules 15(a), 60(b), 28 U.S.C.A."

"9. Courts

Where record did not indicate that any application to have judgment set aside in order to permit filing of amended complaint was made to trial court, no question as to filing of amended complaint was open to consideration on appeal. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, rule 60(b), 28 U.S.C.A."

See also:

Szalkiewicz v. Farrell Lines, 142 F. Supp. 496, 498.

IN RE COHEN v. NORRIS

The decision of this Court, sitting in bank, in the case of *Michael Cohen v. Russel K. Norris, et al.*, No. 17,141, not yet reported, appears decisive of the case at bar. Although the Court upheld the first three overt acts in the complaint filed in that case sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss, it held that

"The allegations concerning the fourth overt act do not state a claim on any theory."

In this decision, in upholding the complaint, the Court points out certain distinctions between Sections 1983 and 1985 of Title 42, U.S.C.A. Therein your Court points out that the Fourth Amendment guarantees the right of the individual to be secure against unreasonable arrests, as well as against unreasonable searches and seizures. Your Court then points to the fact that there were alleged unreasonable searches and seizures. In our present case there are no arrests; there is no brutality, and there is no search and seizure.

The allegations of the fourth overt act, according to the opinion of the Court, included a search and seizure of the person of the plaintiff in the presence of others. The Court held it was not sufficient on any theory.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the defendants here did not subject or cause to be subjected the plaintiff to the deprivation of any rights, privileges or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws (42 U.S.C.A. 1983), nor are facts pleaded which show a conspiracy, and, particularly, no act was alleged to have been done by defendants which injured plaintiff in his person or property or deprived him of having and exercising any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States (42 U.S.C.A. 1985).

Nothing remedial in the federal courts is stated in plaintiff's complaint. We are not here concerned whether plaintiff has a remedy elsewhere.

Therefore, judgment of the District Court should be affirmed.

Dated: June 5, 1962.

MERLE L. HANSEN,
City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,
LEVEN B. FERRIN,
Assistant City Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona,
GIBBONS, KINNEY AND TIPTON,
Attorneys for Appellees.

HAROLD C. FAULKNER,
FAULKNER, SHEEHAN & WISEMAN,
Of Counsel.

(Exhibit A Follows)

Exhibit A.

Exhibit A

LAST NAME			FIRST		MIDDLE		DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE		
SEX	ORIGIN	YR BORN	HT	WT	HAIR	EYES	COMPLEXION		
DRESS & IDENT MARKS									
PHONE			ADD				CITY		
OCCUPATION					EMPLOYER				
VEH MAKE		BODY TYPE		COLOR	LICS NO	STATE			
LOC OF INTERROG						TIME		H	
OFFICER				SERIAL NO			DATE		
2000-36				CITY OF PHOENIX ARIZONA			FIELD INTERROGATION		
REV 7-57				POLICE DEPARTMENT			REPORT		

F B I

Date: 7/6/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised that son of JOE BASKIN, who is a close associate of the subject, was taken to Juvenile home following a car accident, at which time he had been drinking; hit another car from behind; injured the girl in his car, and left the scene of the accident.

Advised that JOE BASKIN not expected to live.

Advised that subject is contemplating the purchase of a home in the "Pine" country of Arizona. *an*

Advised that subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., commenced working at the San-Nic Importing Company, following a violent argument with his father at Tucson.

3 - Bureau (AM)
1 - Phoenix

REC-105

92-4494-103

9 JUL 9 1962

RWR:mss
(4)

Wick
JUL 12 1962

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 7/6/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

Advised that subject talked with [redacted]
in New York, and discussed a man named [redacted] (phonetic),
who is allegedly going with [redacted] daughter, [redacted] Subject
said [redacted] formerly lived in Arizona and he would check into his
background.

b6
b7C

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

SAC, Phoenix (92-172)

7/11/62

Director, FBI (92-4494)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Re report of SA Roy W. Reger dated 6/18/62 at
Phoenix.

Furnish copy of referenced report to proper United
States Attorney pursuant to instructions set forth in SAC letter
61-71 (F) dated 12/19/61. Insure that all Agents assigned to
your office are familiar with the provisions for furnishing
reports to United States Attorneys as set forth in aforementioned
SAC letter.

REC-63


92-4494-104

19 JUL 11 1962

RDC:rmk

-4-

EX-115



Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

JUL 16 1962

TELETYPE UNIT ☐



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.**

To: **FBI, Phoenix (92-172)**

Date: **July 12, 1962**

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING**

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director

FBI File No. **92-4494**
Lab. No. **D-398789 JC**

Examination requested by: **Phoenix**
Reference: **Letter 7/4/62**
Examination requested: **Document**
Remarks:

EX-105

REC-38

92-4494-105

19 JUL 13 1962


Enclosures (2) (2 Lab rpt)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

WLC:sab (4)

JUL 18 1962

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐



210 1529

REPORT
of the



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.

To: FBI, Phoenix

Date: July 12, 1962

Re: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING

FBI File No. 92-4494

Lab. No. D-398789 JC

Request

Specimens received 7/10/62

Request for comparison of specimens Q1 and Q2 previously
submitted with the known handwriting of SALVATORE VINCENT
BONANNO, Phoenix PD#407 344

Result of examination:

The hand printed name "S V Bonanno" on the fingerprint
card of Salvatore Vincent Bonnano, Phoenix, Arizona, Police
Department number 407 344 (FBI#988087D), is not comparable with
the questioned Schmid signatures on Q1 and Q2. For this reason,
it could not be determined whether the questioned signatures
were written by Bonnano, FBI#988087D.

WLC:sab (4)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Recorded 7/10/62
sab

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

NO LAB FILE

Re: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING

File # 92-4494 - /05
Lab. # D-398789 JC

Examination requested by: Phoenix (92-172)

7/4/62

Examination requested: Document

Date received: 7/10/62

Result of Examination:

Examination by: Collier

1- The hp name "S V BONANNO" on the fp cd.
of Salvatore Vincent Bonanno, Phoenix, Ariz.
PD # 407344 (FBI # 988087D) is not
anyp. t. quest. Schmid sig. Q1 + 2.
i.e. n.c.

Specimens submitted for examination

Request for comparison of specimens Q1 and Q2
previously submitted with the known handwriting
of SALVATORE VINCENT BONANNO, Phoenix PD#407 344

Feb 1962
7/12/62
Collier

Q1 + 2

Bill Schmel

FBI# 98F087D

S V BONANNIO

F B I

Date: 7/13/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
 ANTI RACKETEERING
 (OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised that JOE BASKIN is still in the hospital, but is improving.

Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. is still employed at the San-Nic Importing Company in Phoenix.

Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. was at the office of San-Nic in Phoenix on 7/10/62 and 7/11/62, during which time he and subject talked with [REDACTED] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, after [REDACTED] had unsuccessfully attempted, on numerous occasions, to telephone subject at Phoenix. Talk was in Sicilian, but believed to be related to money owed by San-Nic to [REDACTED]

Advised subject made reservations on Continental Airlines

3 - Bureau (AM)
 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) (AM)
 2 - Phoenix
 (1 - PX 92-28)

REC- 42

92-4494-106

18 JUL 16 1962

EX-115

RWR:mss
 (6)

Approved: _____

Sent _____ M Per _____

53 JUL 19 1962 Special Agent in Charge

b2

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 7/13/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

to go to Tucson, Arizona, on 7/13/62, and informed his father he would see him in Tucson on that date.

Advised subject is considering changing the name of San-Nic Importing Company to Allied Food Distributing, Incorporated.

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/13/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix dated 7/11/62.

On 7/13/62, copies of all reports submitted in this case from 10/13/60 to date were furnished to the United States Attorney at Phoenix.

Agents working on these cases in the Phoenix Office have been reminded of the provisions for furnishing reports to United States Attorneys, as set forth in SAC Letter #61-71 (F), dated 12/19/61.

2 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

RWR:mss
(3)

REC-8

92-4494-107

18 JUL 18 1962

EX 100

RECEIVED

JUL 18 5 30 PM '62

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE

FBI

51 JUL 20 1962

F B I

Date: 7/20/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised that subject was visiting his father, JOE BONANNO, in Tucson, on 7/13/62.

Advised subject talked with his father from Phoenix on 7/16/62, and advised his father that business was slow, but expected to pick up in three weeks.

Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., subject's brother, who is presently working at the San-Nic Importing Company in Phoenix, claimed that he and another unknown boy were "busted" by the cops at 11:30 P.M., on 7/15/62, at Central Avenue and Osborn Road, in Phoenix, but the police failed to locate 25 quarts of stolen oil in the car. JOE JR. claimed that the boy with him had "kicked Hell

- 3 - Bureau (AM)
- 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) (AM)
- 2 - Phoenix
- (1 - PX 92-28)

RWR:mss
(6)

REC-5 92-4494-108

10 JUL 23 1962

EX-113

C.C. WICK

Approved

Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 7/20/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

out of a gas station attendant" when obtaining this oil. This information was furnished to the Phoenix Police Department.

Advised that [] a Tucson attorney, attempted to contact subject on 7/17/62.

Advised that subject talked with [] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, on 7/18/62, conversation being in Sicilian.

Advised that subject is leasing a new warehouse at [] in Phoenix, but will probably not move in until October or November, 1962.

Advised that JOE BASKIN is still in the hospital and that subject is continuing his association with []

b6
b7C

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 7/23/62

FROM: SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to Director, 6/27/62.

On July 6 and 13, 1962, [redacted] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco was contacted by SA [redacted] advised that although the briefs of both parties had been received, this matter had not, as yet, been calendared for a hearing.

b6
b7C

The San Francisco Office will continue to follow this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)
2 - San Francisco (1 - 92-340)
(1 - 66-3705-143)

REC- 30

DVE (#8):lms

(6)

92-4494-109

JUL 25 1962

EX - 102

50 JUL 27 1962

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Malone _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holmes _____
 Gandy _____

TO : Mr. Belmont

DATE: 7/25/62

FROM : C. A. Evans *for*JUNESUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
ANTI-RACKETEERING

William Salvatore Bonanno is a hoodlum and son of the notorious hoodlum Joseph Bonanno. Joseph Bonanno is currently residing in Arizona, however, he still maintains considerable influence in hoodlum activities in other parts of the country. Both of the Bonannos are under active investigation by our Phoenix Office. We have microphone coverage in the office of William Bonanno in Phoenix.

Previous information has indicated subject William Bonanno contemplated leasing a new warehouse at 319 West Jackson in Phoenix.

The Phoenix Office called late today and advised they had received information indicating William Bonanno contemplated moving his office to the new location. In connection with this proposed move, Phoenix has also learned that Bonanno's telephones are possibly being moved into the new quarters tomorrow morning (7/26/62). Phoenix Office pointed out this would offer them an excellent opportunity to conduct the necessary survey and provided complete security is assured, move our current microphone coverage to the new location.

ACTION:

Coverage of the Bonanno's is important in view of their prominence in the hoodlum world. In view of the excellent opportunity to move our microphone coverage, provided action is taken tomorrow morning, authority was granted the Phoenix Office to conduct the necessary survey and reinstall the microphone coverage, provided complete security is present. Phoenix is sending complete information to the Bureau regarding this new set up, including the reinstallation of the microphone.

1 - Mr. J. D. Donohue

CHS:djm
(7)REC-37
EX 100

25 JUL 30 1962

6 AUG 2 1962

NEVE

SPEC. INCH. RM.

F B I

Date: 7/27/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING

OO: PHOENIX

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information of value:

Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., subject's brother, is planning on going to San Mateo, California on Sunday, 7/29/62.

Advised that subject was visiting his sister, [redacted] at San Mateo, California 7/23/62.

- 3 - Bureau (92-4494)
1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info)
2 - New York City (Info) (1-92-1586)
(1-92-638)
2 - San Francisco (Info) (1-92-340)
(1-92-516)
4 - Phoenix (92-172D)
(1-92-28)
(1-92-152)
(1-92-295)

RWR/clh
(12)

58 AUG 8 1962 9

Approved: E J Boyle Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

b2
b6
b7D

92-4494-111

EX 113

72 JUL 30 1962

PX 192-72D

Advised that subject is still reluctant to talk to CHARLES BATTAGLIA, who attempted to telephone him from Tucson, Arizona several times.

Advised that subject is in the process of changing name of San-Nic Importing Company to Allied Food Importers, Inc., and moving the office and warehouse from the present location to 319 West Jackson, Phoenix. In this connection, subject contacted the telephone company for service at the new location and indicated installation would be completed by Monday, 7/30/62 with a new non-listed telephone number of 254-7459.

Advised that subject has been in constant contact with TONY SCRIVANO and his attorney [redacted] in Phoenix.

Advised that subject reprimanded his paramour, [redacted], for leaving her name at the office when she called, and discussed a past due car payment with [redacted].

Advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. attempted to call subject from New York City on 7/24/62, and when he learned subject was not in the office, left word that "PETER" had called, and would call again at noon on 7/25/62. Advised BONANNO, SR. did call back on 7/25/62 from a pay phone in New York City and talked in Sicilian with subject. Subject mentioned that business was slow. BONANNO, SR. again attempted to telephone subject from a pay station at an airport in New York City on 7/26/62, but subject was not in the office.

b6
b7C

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

8/3/62

Director, FBI (92-4494) - 112

JUNE

EX-100
REC-26
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Re your FD-142 dated 7/31/62.

Bureau authority granted to activate misur at 319 West Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, effective upon the date Bonanno moves into his new office location.

You may continue to utilize as the symbol number for this source.

Bureau letter dated 5/17/62 authorized you to continue this misur for a 90 day period from date of activation. Submit your recommendations regarding continuance of this installation five days prior to the expiration of the authorized period.

Continue to furnish Bureau a weekly airtel summary of information furnished by the source.

1 - J. D. Donohue, 815 RB

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is under investigation by PX in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the 40 prime targets for early prosecutive action and who is one of the most prominent underworld leaders in the United States.

PX installed misur in subject's place of business on May 22, 1962, On July 25, 1962, PX telephonically advised Bureau that information had been received the subject was going to change his place of business to 319 West Jackson in Phoenix and they had an excellent opportunity to install a misur prior to the time he occupied the new space. PX was authorized to make this installation. PX is now authorized to activate misur after subject occupies his new office space.

MAILED 20
COMM-FBI

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

JEK:asg
(5)

RECEIVED
FBI
JUL 25 1962

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

JUNE

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (Bufile 92-4494) DATE: 7/31/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428) Attention: FBI Laboratory
Electronics Section

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INSTALLATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

RE: Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.

Character of Case Anti-Racketeering
Field Office Phoenix
Symbol Number
Type of Surveillance (~~TECHNICAL~~ Microphone)

b2

Re: FD-142 dated 5/11/62

1. Name and address of subject:
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
3517 East Monterosa
Phoenix, Arizona
2. Location of technical operation:
319 West Jackson
Phoenix, Arizona
3. Other technical surveillances on same subject:

None

4. Cost and manpower involved:

No cost or manpower in addition to that presently being utilized at technical plant in Phoenix Office.

Transmission phone line furnished gratis by Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company.

5. Adequacy of security:
Full Security

1 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

Registered Mail

RWR:JMK
(2)

**Copy sent*

EX-100
37

RECEIVED
FBI
ELECTRONICS

REC-26

92-4494-1129.8

3 03 BH .25

EX-1025

1 33 BH

AUG 2

1962

*let to PK
8/3/62 JER/mg*

*SEVEN
KELLY*

INT. SEC.
SPEC. MAIL RM.

6. Type of case involved:

Anti-Racketeering - Hoodlum Activity

7. Connection or status of subject in the case:

Subject is son of JOSEPH BONANNO, National Top Hoodlum, and is married to [REDACTED] niece of the late JOSEPH PROFACI.

b6
b7C

8. Specific information being sought:

Intelligence information as to contacts, associates, and activities of subject, and information which would indicate violation of any local or federal statutes.

9. Reasons for believing the specific information will be obtained by the technical surveillance:

Installation is in subject's office at Allied Food Importers, Incorporated, where subject discusses business and personal matters with associates. Subject has no telephone at home, and receives all calls at office.

10. Importance of case and subject:

Subject considered important due to close relationship with National Top Toodlums, and frequent contacts with known hoodlums.

11. Possibilities of obtaining desired information by other means
(Explain in detail):

Interviews with associates and acquaintances have indicated that subject is very closed-mouthed in discussions with them, and such interviews have been unproductive.

12. Risks of detection involved:

No risk involved

13. Probable length of technical surveillance:

Indefinite

14. Request made for technical surveillance by any outside agency
(name specific official, title and agency):

None known

15. Remarks:

A misur has been operating at San-Nic Importing Company, Inc., 719 East Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, since May 22, 1962.

Subject is changing the name of this company to Allied Food Importers, Inc. and plans to move the office and warehouse to 319 West Jackson, Phoenix.

Subject arranged for phone service at new address on 7/25/62 at which time telephone approval for misur survey was obtained from Bureau.

Survey was made on 7/26 and 7/27/62 at which time an excellent opportunity occurred for immediate installation. Inasmuch as such opportunity might not be available again in immediate future, a microphone "44 Mate" was installed.

16. Recommendation of Assistant Director:

Inasmuch as this installation involves only a transfer to subject's new office, symbol number will continue to be utilized for the new installation.

b2

Bureau is requested to grant authority for the monitoring of this installation effective on the date when subject moves to his new office.

The misur equipment at 719 East Madison will be recovered after the transfer has been made.

8/7/62

Airtel

To: SAC, Phoenix (92-172D)


From: ^{EX-105} Director, ^{REC-35} FBI (92-4494) — 113

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

①
In the event you have not requested the New York Office to identify this subscriber to telephone number HI 3-2210 who called the subject's office and left a message to have him call "Uncle Frank" on his return, you should promptly do so.

NOTE: A highly confidential source in Phoenix reported information that individual identified as "Uncle Frank" called subject from New York City. Phoenix instructed to have New York Office identify caller.

JEK: asg
(4)



[Handwritten signature]

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

153
AUG 10 1962
[Handwritten signature]

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

[Handwritten signature]

F B I

Date: 8/3/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

WEEKLY SUMMARY

In the past week, informant furnished the following pertinent information:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO was in the San Jose, California area during the week.

An individual, who identified himself only as "Uncle Frank", New York City telephone number HI 3-2210, left a message with subject's office to have him call "Uncle Frank" on return.

oo

EX - 102 REC-35

92-4494 - 413

18 AUG 6 1962

CC: Wick

③ - Bureau (RM)
1 - Phoenix

JBD/vlc
(4)

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 8/6/62	Investigative Period 6/8/62 - 7/22/62
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: MSS
		CHARACTER OF CASE ANTI RACKETEERING	

REFERENCE

Report of SA ROY W. REGER dated 6/18/62, at Phoenix.

- P -

ENCLOSURESTO THE BUREAU

Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

Approved <i>E J Boyle</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made:		92-4494-114	REC-44
③ - Bureau (92-4494) (Enclosures 27) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Denver (92-149) 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		13 AUG 9 1962	

1 Cum Div Dept

850 817162
50 AUG 28 1962

STAT SECT

Property of FBI - This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

PX 92-172

LEADS

DENVER DIVISION

AT PUEBLO, COLORADO

Will identify subscriber to telephone number
LI 3-3227.

LOS ANGELES, MILWAUKEE, NEW YORK & SAN
FRANCISCO DIVISIONS (INFORMATION)

Information copies are being sent to Los Angeles,
Milwaukee, New York and San Francisco, as report
contains information concerning associates and
contacts of subject in these Divisions.

PHOENIX DIVISION

AT PHOENIX, ARIZONA

Will continue to follow and report activities
of subject.

INFORMANTS

PX T-23 is [REDACTED]

PX T-30 is [REDACTED]

PX T-31 is [REDACTED]

PX T-33 is [REDACTED]

PX T-34 is [REDACTED]

Information from files of the Phoenix Title and Trust
Company was furnished by [REDACTED] Phoenix Title
and Trust Company, 2505 E. Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona, who
requested his identity be protected.

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

FX 92-172

ADMINISTRATIVE

Results of mail covers on subject were not productive, and no request was made for renewal at the end of 30 day period.

Results of trash cover at subject's residence has been unproductive during period of this report.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: 8/6/62

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: PX 92-172

Bureau File No.: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject continues to reside at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, and continues employment at San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated, Phoenix, Arizona. Business reported slow at this company, and numerous creditors have been attempting to collect past due accounts, as result of which subject considering changing name of company to Allied Food Distributing, Incorporated, and moving offices and warehouse to 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix. Subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., now employed at San-Nic, and reportedly involved in recent robbery of service station. Subject continues association with [redacted] Has discussed real estate transaction with CHARLES BATTAGLIA of Tucson, but appears reluctant to talk with BATTAGLIA. Subject made regular report to Probation Officer during June and July. Reply brief filed by appellee with Circuit Court of Appeals on subject's suit vs. Phoenix Police Department.

b6
b7C

- P -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

DETAILS:

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On June 22, 1962, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Phoenix, Arizona, who is brother of the owner of the home where subject resides, advised that he went to see subject and subject's wife on June 22, 1962, concerning the installation of a new hot water heater at the house. Subject mentioned that he and his wife might leave town for the week end.

b6
b7C

PX T-30 advised that subject is considering the purchase of a summer home in the pine country of Arizona, possibly near Payson, Arizona.

Daily observations at 3517 E. Monterosa, Phoenix, Arizona, have indicated that subject and his family continue to reside at this address, and that the 1961 white Pontiac, which subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, has been using in Tucson, was returned to subject's residence during the week of July 16.

II. EMPLOYMENT

PX T-30 advised that subject continues his employment with San-Nic Importing Company, 719 E. Madison Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

Informant stated that subject and his father refused to sign a purchase agreement for this company, or pay any additional money for such purchase, until the records of the company were straightened out, and that this task had been referred to [redacted]. Informant stated that [redacted] had been unable to locate the accounts receivable for this company for the months of October, and up until November 15, 1961.

Informant advised that additional employees at San-Nic, hired recently, include [redacted] (Phonetic), JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., and [redacted].

Informant said that business has been slow at the company, and that several creditors have been contacting the company regarding past due accounts. Informant advised that subject is considering changing the name of the company, because of the numerous contacts by creditors, to Allied Food Distributing, Inc., and with this in mind, is presently negotiating the lease of a warehouse at 319 W. Jackson in Phoenix.

Informant advised that subject has been doing considerable business with [redacted] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, who is believed to be one of the large creditors of the company.

Informant said that subject also appears to be interested in real estate transactions, one of which involves the Phoenix Title and Trust Company.

b6
b7C

Date June 27, 1962

[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, who is a Public Accountant, with offices at 2529 E. Indian School Road, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that he was hired by [redacted], to prepare a financial statement for the San-Nic Importing Company, Incorporated of Phoenix, after [redacted] the former accountant for this firm, left Phoenix.

[redacted] said that [redacted] had prepared a financial statement as of the last of December, 1961, not based on an actual audit, which indicated assets and liabilities of the company in the amount of approximately \$42,000.00. Many of these assets consist of uncollectable bills.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said he has been informed by [redacted] that JOE BONANNO has purchased all of the stock in this company, but he does not know whether BONANNO has actually ever signed any agreement. He said that an arrangement was reportedly made, through [redacted], attorney for the company, to pay off several of the creditors at the rate of 20¢ on the dollar, but that some of the creditors did not agree to this.

[redacted] advised that BILL BONANNO, JOE BASKIN and [redacted], who are presently associated with this company, attempted to persuade him to handle the accounting work for them in their present operation of the company, but that he refused.

[redacted] said that he received a call from [redacted] this date, and [redacted] told him that JOE BONANNO wanted the statement by next Monday. [redacted] said he informed [redacted] it would be impossible to have this prepared by next Monday, and that he does not know when it will be completed. He said that [redacted] had requested return of the books and records in [redacted] possession, and [redacted] refused to return them until he has completed his audit, or been fired by [redacted], for whom he is working.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that he had just completed an interview with Mr. [redacted] of the Internal Revenue Service, prior to this interview, had furnished [redacted] the same information, and had shown him the records of the company.

On 6/21/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172

by SAS JOHN B. DUFFY & ROY W. REGER:mss Date dictated 6/21/62

Date July 3, 1962

Files of the Phoenix Title and Trust Company, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that this company is handling an escrow on a transfer of mortgage from [redacted] and wife to Commonwealth Development Company, and from Commonwealth Development Company to [redacted] and wife.

Description of the property involved in this transfer is South half and Northeast quarter, Section 16, Township 15 South, Range 12 East, except the west 30 feet of the South half, with easement for road purposes, in Pima County, Arizona.

b6
b7C

This information can be produced only on issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to [redacted] Assistant Vice President, Phoenix Title and Trust Company, Phoenix, Arizona.

On 6/28/62 at Phoenix, Arizona File # PX 92-172
by SA ROY W. REGER/vlc Date dictated 6/28/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

III. TRAVEL

PX T-30 advised that subject's wife, [REDACTED] was scheduled to return to Phoenix, Arizona, from New York City, on American Airlines Flight #965, at 11:50 A. M., June 20, 1962.

b6
b7C

On June 20, 1962, at 11:55 A. M. [REDACTED] and her small child, were observed at the Sky Harbor Airport, Phoenix, Arizona, by SAS J. RICHARD BUTTS and ROY W. REGER, leaving American Airlines flight which just arrived from New York City. They were met at the airport by subject and JOE BASKIN, and left the airport in JOE BASKIN's red Chevrolet.

PX T-34 advised that subject and his brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. were visiting at the home of subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., at Tucson, Arizona, on July 5, 1962.

PX T-30 advised that subject went to Tucson, Arizona, leaving Phoenix at 8:15 A. M., July 12, 1962, via Continental Airlines, and was in Tucson at his father's home on July 13, 1962.

IV. ASSOCIATES

A. [redacted]
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject has continued a close association with [redacted], and continues to visit her frequently. Informant said that subject and [redacted] had some type of "Anniversary" on June 26, 1962.

b6
b7C

On June 8, 1962, Los Angeles advised that a lease and inventory list, signed by subject as WILLIAM SCHMID, were obtained from Mrs. [redacted], Los Angeles, California, telephone number [redacted], who is owner of the property located at [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, where [redacted] resides. These documents were sent to the Bureau for comparison with subject's signature on his fingerprint card, Phoenix Police Department Number 407 344.

On June 12, 1962, PX T-31 furnished the following listings for telephone numbers previously called from the telephone of [redacted]:

Tucson telephone number 297-1457, listed to [redacted]
[redacted] Tucson, Arizona.

Tucson telephone number 623-6805, listed to [redacted]
[redacted] Tucson, Arizona.

San Manuel telephone number 385-4475, listed to
[redacted] San Manuel,
Arizona.

b6
b7C

On June 19, 1962, Mrs. [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, advised SAS ROY W. REGER and GERALD C. SNELL, that she is only slightly acquainted with her neighbor, [redacted], who lives at [redacted]. She said she has noticed several different cars at this house, at different times, and recalls having seen a blue Falcon there several times, most of which times she noticed that there was men's clothing hanging in the back seat of the car. She said that another neighbor, who recently suffered a stroke, had advised her husband several months ago that [redacted] is a "girl friend of BILL BONANNO, the hoodlum". Mrs. [redacted] could furnish no information concerning the activities or associates of [redacted] but said she would report any pertinent information coming to her attention.

PX 92-172

On June 26, 1962, PX T-33 advised that an informant of his, who is acquainted with [redacted], told him that [redacted] had said she and her husband were going to Tucson, Arizona, last week end, by plane, with the children, to visit her husband's parents. She said they were then planning on going to San Manuel before returning to Phoenix. Informant also reported that on the night of June 25, 1962, subject was observed leaving [redacted] home in JOE BASKIN's Chevrolet. [redacted] reportedly said that she had a night off from work at the Holiday Inn; that it was her anniversary; and that subject was supposed to have taken her out for the evening, but couldn't do so because he had to leave.

b6
b7C

On June 29, 1962, PX T-23 advised that the following long distance toll charges have been made to the telephone at the home of [redacted]:

June 8, 1962 - Pueblo, Colorado, LI 3-3227.

June 14, 1962 - San Manuel, Arizona, DU 5-4475.

On July 5, 1962, PX T-23 advised that the telephone listed to JOSEF REINHOLZ at the residence occupied by [redacted] was temporarily disconnected on this date for non payment of the telephone bill.

On July 6, 1962, PX T-33 advised that on the morning of July 5, 1962, [redacted] called a baby sitter, and asked the baby sitter to stay with her children for an hour or more. She said she had to rush to the airport to meet someone and take them someplace. Informant stated that a faded blue car was parked in front of [redacted] house, with Arizona License CPU-103.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that Arizona License CPU-103 were issued to [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1949 DeSoto Sedan.

b6
b7C

On July 19, 1962, PX T-33 advised that a car, with Arizona License CKD-704, was parked in front of [redacted] house on July 19, 1962.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, revealed that Arizona License CKD-704 were issued to [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, for a 1948 Hudson. The Phoenix City Directory indicates that [redacted] is employed as a Carrier for the United States Post Office Department.

PX 92-172

On July 23, 1962, PX T-33 advised that [redacted] now has a new baby sitter, whose identity is unknown, but who drives a Dodge car, with Arizona License DCN-553.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division (MVD), Phoenix, Arizona, revealed that Arizona License DCN-553 were issued to [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1956 Dodge four door Sedan.

On July 24, 1962, SA [redacted] observed a 1955 Chevrolet, with Arizona License CDH-774, parked in the carport at the home of [redacted].

b6
b7C

Records of the MVD, Phoenix, Arizona, indicated that Arizona License CDH-774 were issued to [redacted] [redacted] Phoenix, for a 1955 Chevrolet Coupe.

The Phoenix City Directory indicated that [redacted] is employed as a salesman for the [redacted] Paper Company.

B. [redacted]
Tucson, Arizona

On July 18, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] Tucson, Arizona, advised SAS ROY W. REGER and [redacted] that she only met BILL BONANNO on one occasion. She said that a friend of hers, [redacted], who is a professional female singer from Las Vegas, was formerly engaged at the Tidelands Motel in Tucson, and was believed to have met BILL during this time. Sometime during April, 1961, [redacted] persuaded [redacted] to make a trip to Las Vegas with her, and en route they stopped at Phoenix, where they visited the Romulus Restaurant, where BILL was then employed. [redacted] was interested in obtaining an engagement at the Romulus, and they talked with BILL about this. BILL persuaded them to remain in Phoenix overnight, and obtained separate rooms for them at the Ramada Inn. That night, BILL and [redacted] came to the Inn, and [redacted] insisted that [redacted] remain with her in her room while talking to BILL and TONY, who stayed at the Inn until about 1:00 or 2:00 A. M., the following morning. [redacted] advised that BILL made a "play" for [redacted], but was prevented from "going too far" by [redacted] presence in the room. She said that she and [redacted] left Phoenix the following day, and that neither of them have had any subsequent contact with BILL.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

C. SAL PROFACI, JR.
New York City

PX T-30 advised that subject contacted his brother-in-law, SAL PROFACI, JR., on June 8, 1962, and inquired about his wife, who was in New York City at the time.

D. [REDACTED]
New York City

On July 3, 1962, PX T-30 advised that subject talked with [REDACTED] at New York, concerning [REDACTED] (phonetic), whom informant stated is going with SAL's daughter, [REDACTED]. Informant advised that [REDACTED] formerly lived in Arizona for ten or twelve years.

b6
b7C

E. TONY SCRIVANO
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject has been in almost daily contact with TONY SCRIVANO concerning insurance business which TONY is handling for subject; real estate transactions, and personal matters.

F. [REDACTED]
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject continues to utilize the services of [REDACTED] as an attorney.

G. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject's brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who is presently employed with subject at San-Nic Importing Company, was stopped by the Phoenix Police Department on Central Avenue during the night of July 15, 1962, on a routine traffic check. Informant stated that BONANNO, JR. was reported to have had 25 quarts of stolen motor oil in the car at the time, which oil was not discovered by the police. BONANNO, JR. claimed that this oil was obtained from the robbery of a service station, where the attendant was beaten up by a boy called [REDACTED], and that a boy who lives in Scottsdale by the name of [REDACTED] was supposed to now have the oil in his car. This robbery could not

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

be verified from the records of the Phoenix Police Department.

H. JOSEPH BASKIN
Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that BASKIN was taken to the Scottsdale City Hospital with a heart attack, in serious condition, but his condition is improving. Informant stated that BASKIN's son, [REDACTED], was arrested by the Phoenix Police Department on June 28, 1962, when he was driving drunk, with a girl in his car, and ran into the back end of another car, injuring the occupant of the other car. [REDACTED] reportedly left the scene of the accident; was later arrested; was placed in the Juvenile Home, where he was scheduled for trial in Superior Court before Judge JACK D. H. HAYS, and was released on \$300.00 bond, arranged by the subject through the Arizona Bail Bond Company.

b6
b7C

I. JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.
Tucson, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject has been in frequent contact with his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., concerning operations of the business at San-Nic Importing Company, and concerning real estate matters.

J. CHARLES BATTAGLIA
Tucson, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject has indicated a reluctance to talk with BATTAGLIA, who has attempted to contact him on several instances, but has had some conversation with BATTAGLIA about a real estate deal involving sale of property believed to be in Pinal County, Arizona.

K. [REDACTED]
Tucson, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject had discussed a real estate transfer, being handled by the Phoenix Title and Trust Company, with [REDACTED]. Informant advised that the property involved is reportedly in [REDACTED] name, but that subject has an interest in the property, and expects to receive the proceeds from the sale.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

L.

Tucson, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject continues to utilize the services of [redacted] as an attorney.

M.

(FNU)

Tucson, Arizona

b6
b7C

PX T-30 advised that on June 25, 1962, [redacted] made a trip from Tucson to Phoenix to visit subject at subject's place of business.

On June 26, 1962, [redacted] Reservation Manager, Frontier Airlines, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that a party of two, giving the names of [redacted] departed Phoenix for Tucson, via Frontier Airlines, at 5:15 P. M., June 25, 1962. He said that these same individuals had arrived in Phoenix from Tucson on American Airlines Flight #625, on June 25, 1962. He advised that [redacted] were brought to the airport in Phoenix by BILL BONANNO.

N.

San Mateo, California

PX T-30 advised that subject keeps in contact with his sister, [redacted], and has discussed with her the problems concerning their brother, JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who reportedly had a violent argument with his father at Tucson, Arizona, and ran away from home, but later returned.

b6
b7C

O.

Phoenix, Arizona

PX T-30 advised that subject continues to be very friendly with [redacted], who is still employed by San-Nic Importing Company. Informant stated that [redacted] girl friend [redacted] recently moved to [redacted] Pomona, California.

V. PROBATION STATUS

On June 15, 1962, [redacted] Adult Probation Officer, Maricopa County, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject made his first report at [redacted] office on June 13, 1962. He furnished his residence address as [redacted] [redacted], Phoenix, Arizona, and his employment as San-Nic Importing Company, at a salary of \$400.00 monthly. Subject said his attorney was attempting to persuade the Judge to relieve him of the necessity of reporting out-of-State travel, inasmuch as he frequently leaves the State on business, and [redacted] advised subject to report any such travel to him.

b6
b7C

On July 22, 1962, [redacted] advised that subject has made his July report, and has indicated no change in residence or employment.

PX 92-172

VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VERSUS PHOENIX
POLICE DEPARTMENT

On June 11, 1962, [redacted] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, furnished SA [redacted] a copy of the Appellee's Reply Brief in the matter entitled, "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS; ET AL, Appellee," CCA #17630, which was filed on June 5, 1962. This copy was forwarded to the Bureau.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Phoenix, Arizona
August 6, 1962

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
ANTI RACKETEERING

Characterization of new informants in the report
of Special Agent Roy W. Reger dated August 6, 1962, at
Phoenix:

PX T-33 is a representative of another Government
Agency.

PX T-34 is a source in position to furnish infor-
mation concerning some of subject's activities, at
Tucson, Arizona.

This document contains neither recommendations nor
conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is
loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be dis-
tributed outside your agency.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona
August 6, 1962

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNI

Character ANTI RACKETEERING

Reference Report of SA ROY W. NIGER dated
August 6, 1962, at Phoenix

All sources (except any listed below) whose identities are concealed in referenced communication have furnished reliable information in the past.

F B I

Date: 8/10/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172-D)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
 AR

[REDACTED] WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week this informant provided the following information:

One [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] New York City, attempted on two occasions to get in touch with BILL BONANNO, but was unsuccessful. This is probably [REDACTED] a former business associate of BILL BONANNO.

Various creditors have been attempting to collect monies due them from the San-Nic Importing Company. *LC*

One [REDACTED] who was described as a cook at the Arizona Ranch House Restaurant, unsuccessfully attempted to contact BILL BONANNO.

It should be noted that the Arizona Ranch House Restaurant is partially owned by [REDACTED] aka., who is also under investigation in the Criminal Intelligence Program.

This informant also revealed that BILL has a contact with Continental Airlines in Phoenix by the name of [REDACTED] (phonetic).

③ - Bureau
 2 - Phoenix { 1 - 92-172D)
 { 1 - 92-71)

JBD/skt
 (5)

REC-44

92-4494-115
 10 AUG 13 1962

Sent _____

M Per _____

5 - AUG 10 1962
 Approved: *E. J. Boyle*
 Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 8/14/62

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340)

P

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Remylet to Bureau 7/23/62.

On 8/14/62, BILL WILSON, Deputy Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, Calif., made available to SA [redacted] one copy of the Appellant's Closing Brief, in the matter entitled "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS, et al, Appellees," CCA #17630.

b6
b7C

This closing brief is being forwarded to the Phoenix Office for analysis and subsequent transmittal to the Bureau in accordance with existing Bureau instructions.

WILSON advised that this matter was argued before the Court of Appeals on 8/1/62, and is presently under submission to the court.

The San Francisco Office will continue to follow this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)(ENC - 2)
2 - San Francisco (1: 92-340)(1: 66-3705-143)
DVE:rk
(6) #2

SPECIAL

REC-13

92-4494-116

10 AUG 16 1962

AUG 15 5 03 PM '62

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE

FBI

57 AUG 21 1962

RECEIVED

FILED

8/14/62

Airtel

To: SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

JUNE

From: Director, FBI (92-4494) ^{REC-31} 117

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Reurairtel 8/10/62.

You must submit an appropriate FD-143 setting forth your recommendations regarding continuance of [] five days prior to the expiration of the original 90 day authorization which was given to your office after this source was activated on 5/22/62.

b2

Promptly handle this matter.

1 - J. D. Donohue, 815 RB

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonnano is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the leading underworld figures in the United States. Installation is in the San-Nic Importing Company operated by the subject and was installed on 5/22/62. The subject's company moved to a new location and PX was authorized to install a misur in the new location and utilize the same symbol number. PX instructed to submit an appropriate FD-143 based on the original 90 day authorized period.

JEK:asg
(5) *asg*

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

AUG 22 1962

F B I

Date: 8/10/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494) ,
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

JUNE

Re our FD-142 dated 7/31/62, and Bulet dated 8/3/62.

UACB, FD-143 will be submitted next five days prior
to 11/3/62.

③ - Bureau (RM)
1 - Phoenix

GCS/skt
(4)

C C Wick

Airtel to PH
8/14/62 JEL/psg

REC- 31

92-4494-117
20

18 AUG 13 1962

JUL 11 1962

INDEXED
SEC 1

PHOENIX RM.

Approved: E J Doyle
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M

Per _____

F B I

Date: 8/17/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172-D) (P)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO - Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, this informant provided the following information:

Subject had been in contact with the Telephone Company in Phoenix.

Difficulty in subject's company clearing checks through the Southern Arizona Bank and Trust Company in Tucson.

Subject and TONY SCRIVANO had called an individual at PLaza 5-0076 in New York. This has previously been determined to be the phone number of [REDACTED].

One [REDACTED] LNU in New York may come to Arizona to work for the subject's company.

Subject has been in contact with [REDACTED] a former Tucson bookie who is an associate of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA.

Unknown individual at telephone number WAbash 2-8990, Chicago, Illinois, attempting to contact subject's associate, JOSEPH BASKIN.

3 - Bureau
2 - Chicago
1 - Phoenix
JBD:bat
1 - [REDACTED] (6)

REC-91

92-4494-118

EX-101

16 AUG 20 1962

Approved: E. L. Bayler
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172-D

Equipment left in subject's former warehouse at 8th and Madison Streets, Phoenix, have been attached by his former landlord for non-payment of rent.

LEAD:

CHICAGO DIVISION

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Will identify the subscriber and check indices to phone number WAbash 2-8990.

F B I

Date: 8/15/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

JUNE

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

Attention *DE* FBI Laboratory
(Electronics
Section)

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

Re Phoenix FD-142 dated 7/31/62 and Bureau letter dated 8/3/62.

Installation of 44A Mate made at 319 West Jackson,
Phoenix, on 7/27/62.

Removal of 42A Mate at 719 East Madison, Phoenix, accomplished 8/14/62. 44A Mate activated 319 West Jackson, Phoenix on 8/15/62 and will continue to be reported as [redacted]

b2

④ - Bureau (Registered Mail) (Airmail)
(1 - FBI Laboratory) REC-91

1 - Phoenix

GCS:JMK
(5)

REC- 91

18 AUG ~~17~~ 1962

25 JUL 1971

501-XG

DEC 13 11 02 AM '65

C. C. - WIA RECEIVED

~~SECRET~~ ~~NOV 11 1972~~ ~~NOV 11 1972~~

1946

2004 04/21/04



~~SECRET~~

10 212

3

Approved: 21158
AUG 23 1952 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

6 AUG 23 1962

F B I

Date: 8/24/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172 D)
WILLIAM SALVATORE ⁶BONANNO, aka
AR

WEEKLY SUMMARY -

During the past week the following information
of value was received from this informant:

1. Subject and associates may be attempting to join in the purchase of the El Cortez Hotel in Las Vegas, Nevada.
2. License known of car presently being used by subject.
3. BONANNO was in touch with an individual named at telephone PLaza 5-0076, New York City (New York previously requested to check this number).
4. Subject has telephone in his home under name of JACK RUTHERFORD, number 279-0210.

REC-35

92-4494-120
AUG 27 1962

EX-108

3-100

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix

JED:108

(5)

FBI

Approved: _____

Sent _____ M Per _____

58 AUG 29 1962 Special Agent in Charge

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

8/23/62

REC-2E
Director, FBI (92-4494) - 121 JUNE

EX-116

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Re your FD-143 dated 8/17/62.

[] You are authorized to continue the misur designated for a period of 90 days from 8/22/62. In the event you desire to continue this installation beyond this period, you should submit your recommendation on a UACE basis in accord with instructions set out in Buairtel to Albany 5/10/62 captioned "Criminal Intelligence Program." This recommendation should be set forth under Item 17 of Form FD-143.

With further reference to Item 17, "Remarks by SAC," you should there present concisely the evaluation of the SAC as to the productivity of the misur and any factors which may have limited its productivity or which would indicate a future increase in productivity.

You should, in addition, make certain that the next justification for continuation of this source is submitted to the Bureau within the deadline period so that it will not be necessary to correspond with your office concerning its submission.

- 1 - J. D. Donohue, 815 RB
1 - M. F. Row, 6221 IB

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the most prominent underworld leaders in the United States. Subject's wife is the niece of the late Joseph Profaci, New York hoodlum leader. Source has provided valuable information as to the current travel and activities of subject, as well as his notorious hoodlum father. This source should prove valuable in the future investigation of this case and its continuance is, therefore, being authorized. Source is located in subject's office at 319 West Jackson Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

VFL: asg
(6)

MAILED 15
AUG 23 1962
COMM-FBI

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

JUNE

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (Bufile 92-4494) DATE: 8/17/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

SUBJECT: JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

RE: Title WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.

Character of Case AR
Field Office Phoenix
Symbol Number [REDACTED]
Type of Surveillance: (Technical or
Microphone)

1. Name of person or organization on whom surveillance placed:
WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
Allied Food Impters, Inc.
2. Address where installation made. Also give exact room number or area covered:
319 West Jackson Street, Phoenix, Arizona
C44A Mate installed in subject's office
3. Location of monitoring plant:
Phoenix Office
4. Dates of initial authorization and installation:
5/22/62 when same was located at 719 East Madison Street, Phoenix,
and activated at new location, 8/15/62.
5. Previous and other installations on the same subject (with dates and places):
[REDACTED] - previous - Romulus Restaurant, 1610 North 16th Street,
Phoenix, 6/16/61.

6. If installation is a technical surveillance, answer following questions:

a. Is a trunk line utilized?

b. Is the surveillance on a switchboard?

c. Is the surveillance on a public coin-operated telephone?

1 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix
JBD:bat
Registered Mail
(2)

EX-116 REC-21 92-4494-121
b2c R
8/23/62 UFL/mg

36
25 AUG 21 1962

STEC. 1147

d. Is surveillance on a private line or a party line?

b2
b7E

e. If a party line, how many parties?

7. If a microphone surveillance involved, state number of microphones actually used and location of each:

8. Is the installation part of a tel-mike? If so, give symbol of other side of the combination: No.

9. Specific examples of valuable information obtained since previous report with indication of specific value of each item and the date information received. State what use was made of each item involved: (Add insert pages) See attached page.

10. Could above information have been obtained from other sources and by other means? No.

11. Number of live informants (in field division) who cover same subject: 2

12. Has security factor changed since installation? No.

13. Any request for the surveillance by outside agency (give name, title and agency): None known.

14. Cost of Plant Premises:

a. Rental costs for plant premises: None.

b. Give total number of other surveillances monitored at same plant. One.

- 9.
1. Provided information that the mailing of anonymous letters in this particular case had caused "troubles" between subject and his paramour, [REDACTED].
 2. Provided information as to the presence of ANGELO MORENO, a San Francisco subject of an AR investigation in Arizona.
 3. Provided information as to the serious illness of New York hoodlum, JOE PROFACI.
 4. Information as to the difficulty in financial condition of the San-Nic Importing Company.
 5. Provided information as to the presence of subject's father in New York City.
 6. Information the Aiveoli Funeral Parlor in New York City may be used as a phone drop by hoodlums.
 7. Subject has been in contact with top hoodlum CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA.
 8. Provided information regarding real estate transactions of subject.
 9. Provided information as to subject's travels to San Francisco.
 10. Information as to the physical condition of subject's associate, JOE BASKIN.
 11. Information as to the presence of subject's father in Phoenix, and his contact therefrom with [REDACTED] in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin.
 12. Information as to the change of subject's office from one warehouse in Phoenix, to another warehouse in Phoenix.
 13. Information that subject was contacted by "Uncle FRANK", New York City phone HI. 3-2210.
 14. Subject has been in contact with [REDACTED] in New York.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

- c. If any others, set out the proportionate cost of instant surveillance: One half of personnel cost

15. Cost of Leased Line for instant installation? Line furnished gratis by Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company to eliminate any records by their company.

16. Personnel Costs:

- a. Give total number of special employees and/or Special Agents working at plant and total salary costs.
1 Special Employee, full time, GS-5.
1 Special Agent works plant on overtime only, GS-13.
- b. Total number of man hours per week spent at plant?
40 hours by Special Employee
Time varies for Special Agent according to need (approximately 10 hours weekly)
- c. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate number of man hours per week spent on instant surveillance:
Same.
- d. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate salary expense per annum for instant surveillance:
Same.

17. Remarks (By SAC): I recommend that this misur be continued for another 90 days.

18. Recommendation by Assistant Director:

(If this surveillance involves cryptanalysis, include statement that decrypted material is or is not sufficiently important to continue decrypting.)

F B I

Date: 8/31/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
 ANTI RACKETEERING
 (OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that Allied Food Importers, Incorporated, have a new employee by the name of [REDACTED]
2. Advised that subject is due to return to Phoenix on 8/27/62, and return car to AVIS Car Rental on this date.
3. Advised that [REDACTED] subject's attorney, is urgently attempting to contact the subject.
4. Advised that JOE BASKIN changed his home telephone number to 254-8781.

3 - Bureau (AM)
 1 - Phoenix

MAILED
 2 SEP 10 1962
 (4)

2 SEP 10 1962
 FBI

REC-36

10 SEP 4 1962

EX-115

CC - Wick
 Approved: 56 SEP 7 1962
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
 b6
 b7C

F B I

Date: 8/31/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

5. Advised that subject is still in contact with his paramour, [REDACTED].
6. Advised that subject plans to go to San Jose, California in a couple of weeks.
7. Advised that [REDACTED] Tucson attorney, attempted to contact subject.

b6
b7C

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 8/28/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to the Bureau dated 8/14/62.

The closing brief described in referenced letter has been reviewed at Phoenix, and is enclosed for the Bureau.

- 2 - Bureau (Enclosure - 1)
- 2 - San Francisco
 - (1 - SF 92-340)
 - (1 - SF 66-3705-143)
- 1 - Phoenix

RWR:mss
(5)

DIRECTOR
SPECIAL INQUIRY
RECEIVED

SEP 4 1962
FBI

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE
FBI

SEP 13 1962

U S DEPT OF JUSTICE
FBI

REC-69

SEP 4 5 30 PM '62

REC'D - CIA

3 02 PM '62

SEP 4 1962

92-4494-123

ENCLOSURE TO THE BUREAU: (92-4494)

One (1) copy of Appellant's
Closing Brief, in the matter en-
titled, "SALVATORE V. BONANNO,
Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS,
ET AL, Appellees," CCA #17630.

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE B
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)
(PX 92-172)

LIAISON WITH THE CI
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT



92-4444-123
ENCLOSURE

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

phamplet

No. 17630

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,

Appellant,

vs.

CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE I,
RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE III,
RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD ROE V,

Appellees.

Appellant's Closing Brief

DOMINIC H. FRINZI
152 West Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

PAUL H. PRIMOCK
Arizona Bank Building
Phoenix 3, Arizona

W. T. ELSING
FRANCIS R. CRABLE
Arizona Bank Building
Phoenix 3, Arizona

Attorneys for Appellant.

FILED

JUL 17 1962

FRANK H. SCHMID, CLERK

92-4494-123

SUBJECT INDEX

	Page
I. Comment on the Record on Appeal.....	1
II. Argument	3
1. The Overt Acts Pleaded in the Complaint Are Sufficient to Support a Claim for Relief Under the Civil Rights Statutes	3
2. The Acts Charged Against the Defendants in the Complaint Are Not Excused by Reference to the General Powers and Authority of Police Officers, Nor by Reference to Matters Entirely Beyond the Complaint	11
3. The Police Power, However Defined, Does Not Extend to the Right to Harass and Interfere with the Rights of An Individual Beyond the Recognized, Legitimate Purposes of Police Activity.....	16
4. The First Amended Complaint Meets the Tests Laid Down by This Court in Hoffman v. Halden.....	17
III. Statement Relating to the Right to Amend.....	18
Conclusion	19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

	Pages
Busby v. United States (9 CA, 1961), 296 F.2d 328.....	15
Cohen v. Cahill (9 CA, 1960), 21 F.2d 780.....	17, 18
Hoffman v. Halden (9 CA, 1959), 268 F.2d 280.....	17, 18
United States v. Bonanno, et al (DC NY, 1959), 180 F. Supp. 71	13, 14
United States v. Buffalino, et al (2 CA, 1960), 285 F.2d 408....	14
Wirin v. Horrall (DCA Cal, 1948), 85 C.A. 2d 497, 193 P.2d 470	17

TEXTS

20 Am. Jur. :	
174, Evidence, Sec. 170.....	5
181, Evidence, Sec. 175.....	13
37 Am. Jur. 286, Municipal Corporations, Sec. 286.....	6
2 Moore's Fed. Prac. :	
2244, Sec. 12.08.....	12
2257, Sec. 12.09.....	12

No. 17630

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

SALVATORE V. BONANNO,
Appellant,
vs.
CHARLES THOMAS, GORDON SELBY, LEON
ORR, CHARLES HODGES, DON RUST,
HAROLD HARRETT, JOHN DOE BINGA-
MAN, JOHN DOE BENTZ, JOHN DOE I,
JOHN DOE II, JOHN DOE III, JOHN
DOE IV, JOHN DOE V, RICHARD ROE I,
RICHARD ROE II, RICHARD ROE III,
RICHARD ROE IV, and RICHARD ROE V,
Appellees.

Appellant's Closing Brief

I.

COMMENT ON THE RECORD ON APPEAL

Appellees refer (Reply Brief, pp. 3-4) to certain affidavits of some of the defendants which were filed in the early stages of this case, and which were included in the record on this appeal by designation of the defendants.

None of these affidavits, when filed, was addressed to the motion to dismiss the first amended complaint, nor do they

have the slightest connection with the questions presented on this appeal. They are attachments (T.R. 16-21) to the defendants' Notice of Motion to Dismiss (T.R. 14) which was filed in May, 1961, almost two months prior to the filing of the first amended complaint which is the subject of this appeal. Their relevancy even to that motion is open to serious doubt.

Reference is also made in the Reply Brief (pp. 4-5) to an extension of the time for taking plaintiff's deposition, an early motion by defendants to strike and for entry of default, interrogatories (served after the defendants' motion to dismiss the first amended complaint, incidentally), etc. Just what light these various procedural matters could possibly shed on the questions presented to this Court is not apparent; nor are they more than mentioned in the reply brief.

Unless it were to show the activity and ingenuity of trial counsel for the defendants, the only plausible reason for the insertion by appellees in the record of these extraneous motions, affidavits, pleadings and orders would be to present the appellant somehow in an unfavorable light: to suggest that he evaded answering interrogatories; to suggest that he is a "low fellow" because of the foul language which he allegedly used according to the ex parte affidavits of two of the defendants; perhaps to intimate that his first amended complaint should be measured by different standards from those customarily employed by courts because he may have had unsavory associates (according to the joint affidavit of Selby and Orr; T.R. 19).

The rather lengthy quotation from the Selby-Orr affidavit in the reply brief (p. 4) lends support to the foregoing construction.

The order appealed from here was not an order directing the entry of summary judgment. It was an order granting the motion of defendants to dismiss the first amended

complaint, and dismissing the action (T.R. 76). It brought to an abrupt halt all pending proceedings in the case at the trial level. Whether the trial court committed error, it is submitted, should be determined by an examination of the pleading which was struck down and the law thereto applicable—not by innuendo or sly suggestion; for whether the appellant's name be Bonanno or Smith, or whether he likes or does not like the police force of Tucson, Arizona, really has nothing to do with the questions now at hand. Assuredly counsel for appellees are as well aware of this as appellant's counsel.

II.

ARGUMENT

1. The Overt Acts Pleaded in the Complaint Are Sufficient to Support a Claim for Relief Under the Civil Rights Statutes.

Appellees state or paraphrase the twenty-one subparagraphs of Paragraph VII of the first amended complaint first appearing therein, and editorialize upon each of them (Reply Brief, pp. 9-15).

Item 1 is the order from Hodges to Rust. It is said that such an order "could not possibly have any impact on the plaintiff", and even when acted upon, "leaves no imprint of illegality." The order pleaded was to the effect that plaintiff be questioned *at any opportunity*—not that he simply be questioned on a stated subject with the view to gaining information. The repetitive and random purpose of the order is implicit in its terms, and assuredly it is not the sort of order which normally is given by a police officer to his subordinate. Its effect on the plaintiff would be to subject him to questioning at any opportunity which presented itself to Rust, regardless of time, place or purpose. If illegality be a necessary element, then it lies in the diffuse nature of the order and its lack of connection with any proper objective of police activity.

Items 2, 3 and 5 may be considered together. These relate to orders issued by various defendants concerning three automobiles. Appellees assert that these specific allegations should be disregarded because there is no assertion of ownership of the vehicles in the complaint, and no assertion that the automobiles were stopped. It is submitted that the ownership of the vehicles is wholly immaterial. *All* the overt acts charged in the complaint were accomplished, according to the general averments, in furtherance of the object of the conspiracy and in purposeful and systematic discrimination against the plaintiff (T.R. 50, 51). Surely it is not a requirement of pleading that in each specification the general averments must be repeated in detail. It is to be noted that in the three sub-paragraphs three separate sets of orders are pleaded: (1) Hodges to Rust; (2) Bingaman; (3) Selby and Orr.

The Form 36 referred to in two of the orders (sub-paragraphs 2 and 5) is not as innocuous as appellees would make it out. The form, which is attached as Exhibit A to the reply brief, is designed to set forth the results of an interrogation and contains many items descriptive of the interrogatee, as: "origin", "yr. born", "dress & ident marks", "occupation", "employer". Of course the form is for police use only! Obviously it was not intended for the use of the person or persons who are halted and questioned. But in order for the form to be completed properly the officer must ask and receive answers to detailed questions. Thus the use of the form pursuant to the orders pleaded would necessarily result in annoyance and embarrassment, to say the least, on the part of those who are stopped and questioned.

It is argued that these specifications are deficient because there is no allegation that the orders were carried out. These orders were issued during the last week of April, 1961. The action was filed on May 3, 1961 (T.R. 7). The

supposition is not unreasonable that the bringing of the suit had a somewhat chastening effect on the defendants and in itself caused a relaxation, even a postponement, of their plans and objectives. As appellees themselves so aptly point out (Reply Brief, p. 17), " * * * there is a very strong presumption * * * that public officers * * * have performed faithfully those matters with which they are charged." 20 Am. Jur. 174, "Evidence", Sec. 170. So, a direct order will, presumably, be carried out. Further, it is submitted that the issuance of orders such as these is in itself an overt act. Suppose that P, a police captain, issues an unlawful order to S, a sergeant, to pick up A and hold him in detention until A's home can be searched without a warrant. A learns of the order. Must he wait to see whether S carries out the order, or may he immediately seek injunctive relief?

Item 4 charges that the defendants Orr and Selby on April 24, 1961 interrogated plaintiff, told him that his presence in Phoenix was undesirable and that the Phoenix Police Department would prefer that he leave Phoenix, and advised him to tell his friends and associates that they were not welcome in Phoenix and that their presence was undesirable. Appellees' comment: "Surely, a sound police procedure". This phrase, in varying form, runs like a refrain through pages 11 to 15 of the reply brief.

Police officers are clothed with the authority and power of the governmental unit which they serve. Their declarations to an American citizen going about his lawful concerns, and not charged with or suspected of any public offense, that he is unwanted in the community, that the police would prefer that he leave, that his friends and associates likewise are unwelcome and unwanted, amount to more than the pleasant and courteous exchange of amenities. They carry force; they are enunciated with the expect-

tation that they will produce the desired result. They are, in a word, "official".

Is it indeed a "sound police procedure" for police officers to undertake to curtail the right of a citizen to move freely within the country, to go where he likes and to settle where he chooses? Surely this goes far beyond the proper function and purpose of peace officers.

"Municipal police power must be exercised so as not to infringe arbitrarily or unnecessarily upon private rights, for the personal rights or liberties of citizens cannot be arbitrarily invaded under the guise of municipal police regulations." 37 Am. Jur. 286, "Municipal Corporations", Sec. 286.

This principle is stated in relation to the legislative authority of cities; but clearly it would apply *a fortiori* to police officers acting on their own initiative.

Appellees assert that Item 6, which alleges the issuance of an order that special investigators or the detective bureau be notified when any out-of-state cars were in the vicinity of the Romulus Restaurant, cannot affect plaintiff since he was only an employee of the restaurant. The plain implication of the order is that the police should maintain a watch over the environs; otherwise it would be meaningless. Where activity of this kind is directed against an employee of a business (as the complaint alleges) its effect on his employment would appear to be direct and obvious, to say nothing of its impact on him directly.

Item 7 charges that defendant Thomas, Police Chief of Phoenix, issued a blanket order to his subordinates concerning the plaintiff. Appellees do not contend that this was "sound police procedure"; but they do say that it could not have any effect upon plaintiff until it was acted upon. Again, it is pointed out that such an order will presumably

be carried out unless it is prevented—as, by the filing of an action seeking injunctive relief. See discussion of this point, *supra*.

This particular order, issued as it was by the head of the Police Department, is further significant in that it shows more than a random series of acts relating to the plaintiff; it is perhaps the very keystone of the conspiracy. It shows that the activities complained of were directed—or at the very least ratified and sanctioned—by the executive officer in charge of the entire police force of Phoenix.

As for Item 8, which charges that on April 26, 1961, the Chief of Police stated that “we of the Police Department are going to do everything in our power to make the plaintiff move elsewhere”, appellees discount the charge on two grounds: (1) that there is no allegation showing to whom the statement was made, and (2) that it is not alleged that plaintiff left or was forced to leave Phoenix. The first objection is one which could easily be obviated by discovery procedures, and does not go to the weight or effect of the allegation. As a matter of fact, this precise point is covered in the defendants’ interrogatories (T.R. 65 (f), (g), (h)). The second point seems to be, in essence, that a pronouncement of this sort has no significance until and unless it accomplishes its objective. It is true that the plaintiff did not leave Phoenix, but it is also true that he promptly sought relief (including injunctive relief) under the Civil Rights Statutes, for the very purpose, among others, of preventing this announced objective from becoming a reality. Can it be seriously contended that a citizen is helpless to do anything in response to such a policy pronouncement emanating from high executive authority, except to wait and see whether it will be carried into effect?

In regard to Item 9, alleging the issuance of an order from the defendant Thomas to the Detective Bureau to

keep the plaintiff under constant surveillance, appellees say (Reply Brief, p. 12): "Certainly a proper order for a chief of police to give in the exercise of his power as chief of police." If this is a sound statement of the law, then we are perilously close to the police state in Arizona. The averments of the complaint negative any possible inference that plaintiff was suspected of any crime, or charged with any public offense, during the period in question. Surveillance of suspected criminals, or surveillance for the purpose of carrying forward an investigation, is undoubtedly a proper exercise of the police power. But surveillance—more than that, "constant surveillance"—for its own sake, or as a means of harrassment and as part of a plan to cause the subject to move elsewhere, is certainly in a different category. When viewed with the many other acts, orders and events set forth in Paragraph VII of the complaint, this particular order falls solidly into the overall plan and objective of the defendants.

Appellees also point out the lack of an allegation that plaintiff was in fact kept under constant surveillance. It is respectfully submitted that, surveillance being the secret process that it is, the plaintiff could hardly be expected to know whether it was maintained around the clock. Surely that would be a matter within the peculiar knowledge of the defendants.

Items 10 and 11 may be considered together. These allege that plaintiff was followed by police on two occasions within a single day, and stopped and questioned on another. These are merely paraphrased in the Reply Brief, with the apparent purpose of belittling the incidents. Again it is pointed out that they fall into the general pattern of pressure and harrassment. The "clearly normal police procedure" comment is made with reference to Item 11. Appellant raises the question whether it is normal or proper or routine

police procedure to stop and interrogate a passenger in a motor vehicle and tell him he must fill out an identification card, in the absence of any indication that a crime has been committed, or that information is required in the course of a bona fide investigation. Police simply do not ordinarily and customarily do these things. That they did so here is strong support of the general charge of conspiracy.

Items 12, 13, 14 and 15 relate to police activities at and about the Romulus Restaurant, chiefly the parking of police vehicles there and the marking down of the license plate numbers of all automobiles parked there at a certain time. The "normal police procedure" tag is not given to these activities in the Reply Brief, so apparently it is conceded that they were at least somewhat "unusual". But, it is said, how was plaintiff affected? The answer is that these activities, according to the complaint, were directed against him, so that he would be bound to be affected in his employment at the restaurant. Not only that, but the swarms of police cars and the marking down of license numbers of patrons of the restaurant would impinge directly upon the plaintiff, causing him at the least humiliation and embarrassment, and presumably placing his employment in jeopardy.

Items 16, 17, 18 and 19 allege that plaintiff was (a) followed by a patrol car on one occasion in the early morning hours and (b) stopped and questioned by police on *six* occasions. The comments of appellees are substantially the same as those made on the other items and need not be answered in detail. But the comment on Item 18 is truly a classic, and invites rejoinder. The allegation is that two police officers, acting under instructions from the defendant Thomas, stopped and questioned the plaintiff at 10:00 A.M., 12:30 P.M., 3:00 P.M., and 6:00 P.M. on April 26, 1961 (within the total space of eight hours). Appellees

say, as to this: "Merely stopping a man and speaking to him on four separate occasions"!! It is submitted that this amounts to watering down the plain allegations to an egregious and unwarranted extent. Certainly the stopping and interrogation of a citizen by the police cannot be equated with "speaking" to him. The plaintiff was not halted in order for police officers to exchange the time of day with him.

Item 20 charges that in the early morning hours of April 27, 1961, two police officers parked in front of plaintiff's apartment and flashed the red light of their patrol car upon the apartment for about an hour. Clearly this was an outrageous use of police power and apparatus. Appellees attempt to excuse the episode on the ground that there is no accompanying allegation that it was done pursuant to order and that it *could* be proper for many reasons—"principally to find out if the plaintiff was home, or in relation to some matter unconnected with this case." If the police did merely wish to find out if plaintiff were at home (in the early morning hours) they chose a strange way indeed to satisfy their curiosity. It would have been a simple matter for them to knock on the apartment door—assuming they had a legitimate reason—or to telephone. As for the absence of an "order" allegation: this item charges an occurrence, and the complaint alleges that it was done pursuant to the encompassing plan and purpose of the defendants, and their conspiracy (T.R. 50, 51).

The last item—Overt Act No. 21—alleges that in the course of three days employees and personnel of the Romulus Restaurant were stopped and questioned about their personal lives and about the plaintiff approximately *twelve times*. The sole comment of appellees is: "An ordinary and essential part of a police investigation." Interrogation is, of course, recognized police procedure. But here

the stopping and questioning were done, not pursuant to any legitimate investigation, but in pursuance of the objective of the defendants to harrass plaintiff and to "persuade" him to move elsewhere. As a matter of fact, the affidavits of defendants which have been added to the record on appeal themselves show that at no time was the plaintiff suspected of any public offense, and that in fact the police had nothing against him personally (T.R. 19—affidavit of Selby and Orr). How then could there have been a legitimate "investigation" which involved the interrogation on so many occasions of individuals connected with plaintiff through his employment?

2. The Acts Charged Against the Defendants in the Complaint Are Not Excused by Reference to the General Powers and Authority of Police Officers, Nor by Reference to Matters Entirely Beyond the Complaint.

Appellees advance three arguments in an attempt to show that the action of the Phoenix Police Department "is completely defensible." (Reply Brief, pp. 17-23): (a) Routine police conduct in conformity with police duty; (b) Routine police duty in relation to the whereabouts of two men "wanted for murder in Tucson" and to alleged friends and associates of plaintiff; (c) The presumption that public officers have properly discharged the duties of their office.

Appellant will consider these points out of order, coming first to (b). Appellees have entirely lost sight of the primary question on this appeal, which is the sufficiency of the first amended complaint. They would justify the dismissal of the complaint for reasons which have nothing to do with this point, and which necessarily involve the consideration of matters which are extraneous thereto. They say, in effect, that the dismissal was proper because the defendants had a good defense to the complaint (as yet unpleaded, and certainly unproved). It would appear to be

well settled in Federal practice that upon a motion to dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim, every material allegation of fact is taken as true. 2 *Moore's Fed. Prac.* 2244, Sec. 12.08. Under Rule 12(b) such a motion under certain conditions may be taken as a motion for summary judgment, but the conditions are not present here. No matters outside the complaint were presented by defendants below in support of their motion, as the motion itself shows (T.R. 58-59). And where this is the case, it would appear that the motion must be confined to the pleading under attack, and extraneous matters may not be brought in to support it on appeal, or to justify a favorable ruling. In any case, even a speaking motion under Rule 12(b)—and there was none here—cannot resolve questions of fact on disputed proof. 2 *Moore's Fed. Prac.* 2257, Sec. 12.09.

The discussion in the Reply Brief (p. 17; see also p. 4) revolving about Charlie Battaglia, Rudy Preffetto, et al., accordingly has no proper place in argument on this appeal. But assuming that, by some kind of legerdemain, the argument is available to appellees, appellant raises the question whether this "defense" is really a defense at all. If all the orders and actions and the bustling activity of the Phoenix Police Department set forth in the complaint were intended (as appellees would have this Court believe) to secure information concerning two men "wanted for murder" and other men who were assertedly friends of the plaintiff, how in the name of simple common sense could this objective be achieved by, for example, shining a police spotlight upon plaintiff's residence, or telling plaintiff that the police wanted him to leave Phoenix, or stopping and interrogating him repeatedly and with monotonous regularity, or announcing that the police were going to do everything possible to get plaintiff to locate elsewhere? It seems

reasonable to say that these and other actions and orders of the police would operate in direct contradiction to the claimed police objective. This may be, in truth, a "comment on the evidence", but it does point up the fact that these are essentially matters of defensive evidence, and outside the proper area of interest on this appeal.

Under (c), appellees quote from 20 Am. Jur. at page 174. The subject is "Evidence", and the quotation does no more than set forth the evidentiary rule that there is a presumption in favor of public officers. In the trial of this case the defendants might be entitled to an instruction along these lines, but plainly the principle has nothing to do with whether or not a justiciable claim is set forth in the complaint here. The same authority declares that:

"The presumption, although one of law, and not of fact, that public officers in the discharge of their duties have observed all formalities, is a rebuttable one which may be overthrown by competent evidence." 20 Am. Jur. 181, "Evidence", Sec. 175.

And the complaint charges, in effect, that the acts complained of were *dehors* the proper exercise of the functions of defendants as police officers, though "under color and pretense of law" (T.R. 50).

The Reply Brief is somewhat unclear to appellant in regard to the development of the argument epitomized as (a), p. 19. It will be assumed that the authorities cited on pages 19 to 23 are intended to relate to this point.

Appellees cite and quote extensively from the opinion of Judge Kaufman in *United States v. Bonanno, et al* (DC NY, 1959), 180 F. Supp. 71. This, it is interesting to note, is not the principal opinion of Judge Kaufman in the famous *Apalachin Case* (for this, see 177 F. Supp. 106), but rather is a separate opinion filed by him in support of

his rulings on various motions to suppress evidence. The judgment was reversed in *United States v. Buffalino, et al*, (2 CA, 1960), 285 F.2d 408. The Court of Appeals did not rule directly on the opinion of Judge Kaufman in 180 F. Supp., but Justice Clark, in his concurring opinion makes this comment thereon in Footnote 3:

"* * * So the court's ruling supporting admissibility in *United States v. Bonanno*, D.C.S. D.N.Y., 180 F. Supp. 71, would seem at variance with *Henry v. United States*, 361 U.S. 98, 80 S. Ct. 168, 4 L. Ed. 2d 134, and the rationale there set forth * * *" (285 F. 2d at 420)

Hence the authority of Judge Kaufman's opinion, which is so extensively relied upon by appellees here, would appear to be subject to at least some doubt.

Be that as it may, the opinion itself sets forth the following limitation on the power to stop and interrogate motorists:

"On the other hand, it seems equally clear that *the essential freedom of the individual demands that police not be permitted to stop every car that passes with no other justification than mere inclination or the desire to harass with no legitimate end in view*. In my preliminary opinion on these motions I alluded to the requisites for a legal stoppage for investigation, which I found were met in this case. I stated these requirements to be (1) belief by the officer involved that a crime might have been committed; (2) reasonable grounds for such a belief and (3) absolute necessity for immediate investigatory activity." (180 F. Supp. at 80; emphasis supplied.)

In the case a bar *none* of these prerequisites, as stated by Judge Kaufman, is found. Appellees would presumably take the position that numerous stoppages, interrogations, reports and the like which are pleaded were justified be-

cause the police officers may have thought, and had reason to think, that a crime had been committed and that immediate investigation was imperative. The only "crime" which it is even suggested that the plaintiff might be connected with was the offense of having "wicked" associates and being the son of Joseph Bonanno. It is submitted that appellees are stretching the *Kaufman* rule entirely beyond the limitations placed on the rule by Judge Kaufman himself.

Busby v. United States (9 CA, 1961), 296 F.2d 328, is also cited by appellees in this contest. In that case, a crime (robbery) had been committed, and the police officers arrested and searched defendants after discovering a sawed-off shotgun in their vehicle. The distinction on the facts from the instant case is so marked as not to require elaboration.

In summation on this subject, appellant wishes to point out that he did not complain in his first amended complaint merely of a detention and questioning on one or two occasions, but of a veritable barrage of orders and actions emanating from the Phoenix police. Their total and combined effect will be weighed and evaluated by this court. This is not a case where a defendant in a criminal action complains of evidence adduced against him as the result of an unlawful search and seizure; but rather a case brought under the Civil Rights Statutes in which the plaintiff charges conspiracy and a general plan and purpose of the defendants, acting under color of their authority of city police, to interfere with his rights; and alleges a multitude of acts and orders emanating from that conspiracy, without any legitimate police objective or function being thereby subserved.

3. The Police Power, However Defined, Does Not Extend to the Right to Harass and Interfere With the Rights of an Individual Beyond the Recognized, Legitimate Purposes of Police Activity.

Appellant has no quarrel with the principles or authorities cited on pages 23-27 of the reply brief. He does, however, question the accuracy of the statement: "Certainly the Phoenix police were fair in their exercise of power."

It is apparently the position of appellees that police are free to employ any police device, to use any method, to stop, detain, question, follow, assemble and mass police vehicles, shine lights in the dead of night on a private residence, and so on, to their hearts' content—so long as they stop short of brutality. Appellees say (Reply Brief, p. 26):

"For reasons of its own, the Police Department of the City of Phoenix followed a police pattern which the Court knows as a matter of common knowledge is a sound police practice." (emphasis supplied.)

Is it the law that a police department "for reasons of its own" may do what it likes as against a citizen? Appellant had supposed that a police department, like any other agency of government, must operate within the law, and not according to its whim or caprice.

Is it indeed "sound police practice" for the police of a city to do the things which are charged against the defendants here (always remembering that plaintiff was not charged with or suspected of the commission of any crime)? If the police—to refer to one assertion alone of the complaint—may stop and interrogate a motorist on the public streets ~~se~~ times in the space of eight hours, without any purpose except to subject him to pressure and annoyance, why cannot they do the same thing twenty times, or fifty, or a hundred?

four
or

The right of a citizen to travel unimpeded on the highways and streets of the nation, absent some recognized legal reason for stopping and questioning him, is well established. *Wirin v. Horral* (DCA Cal, 1948), 85 C.A. 2d 497, 193 P 2d 470, was a case in which plaintiff brought suit to enjoin the expenditure of public funds in Los Angeles for police blockades. The appellate court, in reversing a judgment of dismissal on demurrer, said this:

"Persons lawfully within the United States of America are entitled to use the public highways and have a right of free passage thereon without interruption or search, unless a public officer authorized to search knows of probable cause for believing that the vehicle is carrying contraband or that the occupants thereof have violated some law." (p. 472)

4. The First Amended Complaint Meets the Tests Laid Down by This Court in *Hoffman v. Halden*.

Appellees devote pages 27 to 32 of their Reply Brief to an attempt to show that the cases cited in the Opening Brief are not in point. These cases were presented by Appellant in support of various propositions of law, and not with the suggestion that any one of them is "on all fours" with the case at bar on the precise facts alleged in the complaint.

Hoffman v. Halden, (9 CA, 1959), 268 F.2d 280, and *Cohen v. Cahill* (9 CA, 1960) ²⁸¹ ~~21~~ ⁸⁷⁹ F.2d 780 are more closely in point than any other cases, appellant believes. These are covered in some detail in the Opening Brief (pp. 6, 10), and this Court, of course, is thoroughly familiar with them. In *Hoffman*, the overt acts followed a different pattern and were less subtle, perhaps, than those charged in the case at bar; but it may be pointed out that here the acts charged show clearly an involvement of practically the

entire Phoenix police force, from the top down, whereas in the *Hoffman* case the complaint was directed only against the superintendent of the Oregon state mental hospital, two members of the public health service of an Oregon county, and a private physician. So the scope of the alleged conspiracy and deprivation of plaintiff's rights in the instant case is in this respect far greater than it was in the *Hoffman* case.

In *Cohen*, the overt acts charged apparently covered a period of less than a day. One of these was that the plaintiff there was "ordered to get out of town"; another was that he was questioned against his will over a period of four or five hours. The complaint in the instant case charges acts which are quite similar to these, as well, of course, as many more.

It is not contended that what happened to the appellant in Phoenix is precisely what happened to Cohen in San Francisco or to Hoffman in Oregon. Such an identity of detail would indeed be a rare occurrence in the law. It is contended that the *Hoffman* and *Cohen* cases are sound authority and precedent for appellant's position here, and for the proposition that his first amended complaint states a sound claim for relief under the Civil Rights Statutes.

III.

STATEMENT RELATING TO THE RIGHT TO AMEND

It is felt that the argument on this point was adequately presented in the Opening Brief. Consequently, appellant will not burden the Court with an additional dissertation on the subject.

CONCLUSION

The allegations in the Amended Complaint remind one of the activities of the State in George Orwell's book "1984". The decision here can be far reaching: If the judgment of the lower Court is upheld, it is conceivable and not improbable that, for example, the "segregationists" can achieve their wrongful objectives by the same methods the appellees have used in their attempt to "run Bonanno out of town". The decision may well have an effect on race, color, religion, the selection of political officers, and in other sociological areas. It opens the way for Government under Big Brother.

It is, therefore, respectfully submitted that this Court should remand the case for a trial on its merits.

Respectfully submitted,

DOMINIC H. FRINZI
152 West Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

PAUL H. PRIMOCK
Arizona Bank Building
Phoenix 3, Arizona

W. T. ELSING
FRANCIS R. CRABLE
Arizona Bank Building
Phoenix 3, Arizona

By F. R. CRABLE
Attorneys for Appellant.

CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMANCE

I hereby certify that I have examined the provisions of Rules 18 and 19 of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and that in my opinion the above-tendered brief conforms to all of the requirements of said Rules 18 and 19.

By F. R. CRABLE

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

9/6/62

Director, FBI (92-4494)

JUNE

1 - J. D. Donohue,
815 RB

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
AR


ReBulet 4/24/62.

In view of the length of time that has elapsed since you were authorized to conduct surveys to determine feasibility of misur installations at locations where the subject has resided, 2143 North Third Avenue, Phoenix; 3157 East Monterosa, Phoenix; 4101 McDonald Drive, Paradise Valley, Arizona; and 4633 Purdue Drive, Scottsdale, Arizona, without any surveys being conducted, your authority is hereby rescinded. If you desire to conduct surveys at any of these locations in the future, submit appropriate recommendations.

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is under investigation by Phoenix in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, one of the most prominent underworld figures in the United States and a member of the "commission." Phoenix is presently utilizing misur coverage on the subject through his place of business in Phoenix. Phoenix's authority is rescinded to conduct surveys to determine feasibility of misur coverage at the above locations.

JEK:asg
(5)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Gallahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____



REC-3

SEP 6 1962
JFK

SEP 13 1962

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

F B I

Date: 9/7/62

PLAIN TEXT

Transmit the following in

AIRTEL

(Type in plain text or code)

AIRMAIL

Via

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised subject instructed [redacted] to tell Telephone Company that JACK RUTHERFORD is employed at Allied Food Distributors, Incorporated.

(It is noted that this is name under which subject obtained telephone service at his home.)

2. Advised subject talked with Phoenix attorney [redacted] and Tucson attorney [redacted] concerning attempts by Internal Revenue Service to serve him with a subpoena.

- 3 - Bureau
1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (Info)
1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info)
2 - Phoenix
 (1 - 92-172D)
 (1 - 92-28)

RWR:JMK

(7) C. C. Wick

REC-35

4 SEP 11 1962

Approved: *F11*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172D

3. Advised that subject talked with TONY SCRIVANO about losing the Las Vegas deal.

(Refers to previous talk about purchase of El Cortez Hotel in Las Vegas.)

4. Advised subject received long distance telephone call from father JOE BONANNO who used name of "Peter". Talk was all in Sicilian.

5. Advised subject talked with Tucson attorney [redacted] regarding subpoenas being received by TONY SCRIVANO from Internal Revenue Service.

b6
b7C

6. Advised that subject was taken to Scottsdale City Hospital on 9/5/62, possibly suffering from a heart attack.

7. Advised that [redacted] from Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, was at office of Allied Food Importers, Incorporated, in Phoenix on 9/5/62, talking with [redacted]. While there, they received a long distance phone call from JOE BONANNO, and talked with him in Sicilian.

F B I

Date: 9/14/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT

AIRTEL

(Type in plain text or code)
AIRMAILVia _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, Aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, the informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that subject is still in the hospital and will probably be there for another week. Also stated subject probably would not be back to work for "some time."

2. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, Jr. is not attending school as he should be, and that his car broke down recently at Florence, Arizona. Stated that JOSEPH BONANNO, Sr. is "burned up", because of his son's actions.

3. Advised that Allied Food Distributors, Incorporated, is having difficulty in meeting its financial obligations, and

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-172D)
(1 - 92-28)

REC-20

92-4494-1216

12 SEP 18 1962

RWR:JMK
(5) C. C. Wick

101-13

9- JF

57 SEP 19 1962

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

PX 92-172D

that JOSEPH BONANNO, Sr. visited the office in Phoenix for a lengthy period of time discussing the operations of the business with [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340) (P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
(OO: Phoenix)

DATE: 9/17/62

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco Letter to the Director, dated
8/14/62.

On 9/12/62 [redacted] Deputy Clerk of the Ninth
Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, advised SA [redacted]
[redacted] that this matter was still under submission to the
court.

b6
b7C

The San Francisco Office will continue to follow
this appeal.

2 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (92-172)
2 - San Francisco
(1 - 66-3705-143)
DVE/cs
(6)

REC-31

12 SEP 19 1962

54 SEP 24 1962

F B I

Date: 9/21/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that JOE BONANNO wants bills to back up the money being spent at Allied Food Distributors, Inc.

2. Advised that [redacted] subject's paramour, was visiting at 230 W. Hardy Road, Tucson, Arizona. (This information furnished to Valley National Bank, and resulted in repossession of [redacted] car.)

3. Advised that [redacted], Tucson Attorney, was at office of Allied Food Distributors, and talked by phone with subject, informing subject that ANTHONY SCRIVANO failed to appear at a scheduled hearing at Internal Revenue Service in Phoenix.

4. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO telephoned the office of Allied Food Distributors several times, conversation being mainly in Italian, but some of inquiries concerning operation of the business.

5. Advised that JOE BASKIN talked with Phoenix attorney [redacted] about death of TONY SCRIVANO.

3 - Bureau
2 - Phoenix (1 - 92-172D)
(1 - 92-28)

RWR/skt
(5)

REC-51

EX 113

27 SEP

Approved: _____

Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

38 SEP 26 1962

b2
b6
b7Cb6
b7C

PX 92-172D

6. Advised that [redacted] (Tucson accountant), was in contact with Allied Food Distributors and indicated he intended to visit the office on 9/19/62.

b6
b7C

7. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO was at the office of Allied Food Distributors on 9/18/62, discussing condition of books and records with [redacted]

8. Advised that subject returned to his home, from the hospital, but has not yet returned to work.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Reporting Office PHOENIX	Office of Origin PHOENIX	Date 9/27/62	Investigative Period 7/23 - 9/24/62
TITLE OF CASE WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka		Report made by SA ROY W. REGER	Typed By: jg
		CHARACTER OF CASE AR	

Synopsis:

REFERENCE:

Report of SA ROY W. REGER, dated 8/6/62 at Phoenix.

- P -

ENCLOSURES:TO THE BUREAU

Original and one copy of letterhead memorandum characterizing new informants.

LEADS:HOUSTON DIVISIONAT HOUSTON, TEXASWill attempt to identify and interview (FNU) [redacted]
Room 907, Gamco, Inc., concerning his knowledge and associationb6
b7C

Approved <i>[Signature]</i>	Special Agent in Charge	Do not write in spaces below	
Copies made: ③ Bureau (92-4494) (Enc. 2) 1 - USA, Phoenix 2 - Houston (92-230) 1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (Info) 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info) 2 - New York (92-1586) 1 - San Francisco (92-340) (Info) 3 - Phoenix (92-172)		92 - 4494 - 129	REC- 52
		OCT 1 1962	

58 OCT 9 1962

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

with subject and subject's wife. (This lead previously set out by airtel).

NEW YORK DIVISION

AT BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

Will identify subscriber to telephone number BE 2-2739.

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

Will identify subscriber to telephone number HI 3-2210, and attempt to identify "Uncle Frank". (This lead set out by airtel dated 8/9/62).

LAS VEGAS, LOS ANGELES, MILWAUKEE AND SAN FRANCISCO: (INFORMATION)

Information copies are being furnished these offices in view of subject's contacts and associates in these divisions.

INFORMANTS:

PX T13 is

PX T28 is

PX T35 is

PX T36 is

PX T37 is

PX T38 is

PX T39 is

PX T40 is

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Trash cover on subject's home has been unproductive during the period of this report.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Phoenix

Report of: SA ROY W. REGER
Date: 9/27/62

Office: PHOENIX

File Number: PX 92-172

BUFILE: 92-4494

Title: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO

Character: ANTI-RACKETEERING

Synopsis: Subject continues to reside at Phoenix, Arizona. Name of company where subject employed changed from San-Nic Importing Co., Inc., to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and warehouse moved to 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona. Company reported to be in poor financial shape; \$27,000.00 short in accounts; and having difficulty paying bills and meeting payroll. Subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., presently spending considerable time at office of Allied attempting to straighten out accounts, and reportedly disgusted with subject's operation of business. Subject taken to hospital 9/4/62 with mild heart attack and returned home on 9/14/62, but still has not returned to work. ANTHONY SCRIVANO, close associate of subject, found dead at Hotel in Tempe, Arizona on 9/14/62. Autopsy revealed death due to barbituric acid poisoning.

- P -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. NEIGHBORHOOD	3
II. EMPLOYMENT	
A. ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.	5
B. OTHER BUSINESS VENTURES	8
III. TRAVEL	9
IV. ASSOCIATES AND RELATIVES	
A. [REDACTED]	12
B. JOSEPH BONANNO, SR.	21
C. JOSEPH BONANNO, JR.	22
D. [REDACTED]	23
E. JOHN PROFACI	24
F. "UNCLE FRANK"	25
G. CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA	26
H. ANTHONY SCRIVANO	27
I. [REDACTED]	30
J. [REDACTED]	31
K. [REDACTED]	32
L. [REDACTED]	33
M. [REDACTED]	34
N. [REDACTED]	35
O. [REDACTED]	36
V. MISCELLANEOUS	37
VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VERSUS PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT	38

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

DETAILS:

I. NEIGHBORHOOD

On July 26, 1962, Mrs. [REDACTED] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who is presently residing with subject, has been causing commotion in the neighborhood with his teenage friends, by "Hot-rodding" their cars, setting off firecrackers, and being boisterous in general. She said she intends to complain to the Phoenix Police Department if this activity continues.

On August 30, 1962, PX T36 advised that on August 8, 1962, the business office of the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company, Phoenix, Arizona, received a telephone call from a woman who identified herself as Mrs. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Phoenix. This woman indicated that she had previous telephone service at this address and wanted this service renewed. She said her husband, [REDACTED] was recently employed as a salesman for Allied Food Importers, 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, and requested that the bill for service be sent to this address. She furnished the name of [REDACTED] as a reference. Informant advised that service was installed at this address, with telephone number 279-0210.

On August 30, 1962, [REDACTED] Wellton, Arizona, owner of the home at [REDACTED] advised that neither he nor his wife had requested telephone service at this address, nor had they authorized any other person to request this service in their name.

On August 30, 1962, Assistant Chief of Police, [REDACTED] and Chief of Detectives [REDACTED], Phoenix Police Department, were advised of the above information, and stated the matter would be investigated by their department as a possible violation of the Arizona Impersonation Statute.

On September 14, 1962, Mrs. [REDACTED] Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject has been gone from his home for two or three weeks, but returned to his home about 10:30 A.M. this date. She said he was driving his Falcon, and that two other cars, with Arizona license CLT 798 and DER 392 were parked in front of his house. She

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

said that when subject saw these cars, he drove past his house and around the block, before driving in his driveway.

Records of the Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, revealed the following license registration:

CLT 798 -
Phoenix; 1959 Chevrolet Station Wagon.

DER 392 - Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix; 1962 Chevrolet Sports Coupe, lien by Fletcher Jones Chevrolet, Phoenix, in amount of \$3,724.56. Application signed by J. L. BASKIN, Secretary.

On September 24, 1962, PX T36 advised that the following toll calls have been charged to subject's telephone, number 279-0210:

8/9/62 - Brooklyn, N. Y., BE 2-2739

8/20/62 - Tucson, Arizona, MA 4-0337 (telephone of CHARLES JOSEPH BATTAGLIA, 1938 W. Lester, Tucson.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

II. EMPLOYMENT

A. ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

PX T30 advised that on August 9, 1962, the office at San Nic Importing Co., Inc., 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, was discontinued; the name of the company changed to Allied Food Distributors, Inc.; and the office moved to a warehouse, located at 319 W. Jackson. Informant stated that the landlord at the old warehouse placed a lien on the goods left at the warehouse for back rent due. Informant further advised that the company was in poor financial condition, and was having difficulty paying their bills, and meeting their payroll.

PX T30 and PX T37 advised that the following persons are presently employed at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona:

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, (No official position,
but considered to be manager)

[REDACTED], President

JOSEPH L. BASKIN, Secretary-Treasurer

[REDACTED], Salesman

[REDACTED], Salesman

[REDACTED], Truck Driver

[REDACTED], Warehouse Manager

[REDACTED], Bookkeeper

PX T37 informed that [REDACTED] left employment with this company during the month of September to go to work at a local bar.

PX T30 advised that the company intends to hire another girl to work at the office, to assist with the bookkeeping.

PX 92-172

RWR/jg

PX T37 advised that [redacted], relatives of [redacted] are believed to have financed the new building at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., and have been pressing subject for repayment of their money. Subject reportedly told them to "Go to Hell", and they are considering contacting JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. for their money.

b6
b7C

Informant said that subject allegedly put \$2,800.00 into the business several weeks ago, and owes [redacted] mother approximately \$2,600.00 which she advanced for the business. Subject reportedly also told her to "Go to Hell", when she attempted to secure return of her money.

Informant advised that subject planned a trip to San Francisco, California, during the latter part of August, 1962 to see his father for financial assistance, inasmuch as he claimed that he needed an additional \$10,000.00 to put into the business.

Informant said that in September, 1962, Allied Food Distributors, Inc. was short about \$22,000.00 in their accounts and JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. was extremely mad about the financial condition. He reportedly said that he was tired of financing "Flops" for his son, and this business had better be a going venture.

Informant said that Allied had "beat" the Arctic Storage Company out of a large bill, and were further having difficulty meeting their payroll, and in paying other bills.

PX T30 advised that about the middle of September, 1962, JOSEPH BASKIN said that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. had estimated a total loss in the business at about \$27,000.00; that he was demanding bills to back up the money spent; and that he intended to secure an auditor to check the books.

On September 4, 1962, [redacted], Director of Incorporating, Arizona Corporation Commission, Phoenix, Arizona advised from records that on July 27, 1962, [redacted] filed an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of San-Nic Importing Co., Inc. This amendment indicated that a meeting of the stockholders was held on July 24, 1962 at 515 Arizona Bank Building, and the name of this company was changed to Allied Food Distributors, Inc. The amendment indicated that [redacted] is President, and J. L. BASKIN is Secretary-Treasurer

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

of this company. The amendment was notarized by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On August 31, 1962, a powder-blue 1962 Chevrolet Impala was observed at the home of subject, bearing Drive-Out Sticker No. 48-610T.

On August 31, 1962, [REDACTED] Drive-Out Sticker Section, Motor Vehicle Division, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that Drive-Out Sticker No. 48-610T was issued to Allied Foods, 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1962 Chevrolet Sport Coupe Impala, ID #21847 L 228648, with the papers signed by J. L. BASKIN. Records indicate the car was purchased from Fletcher Jones Chevrolet, and no payment has yet been made.

b6
b7C

On September 5, 1962, Mrs. [REDACTED], Motor Vehicle Department, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that they had received a request for change in registration of a 1960 Pontiac, Arizona license CGY 831, from San-Nic Importing Company, to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix; JOSEPH BASKIN, Secretary-Treasurer.

On September 19, 1962, [REDACTED] Credit Manager, Fletcher Jones Chevrolet, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that his company sold a 1962 Chevrolet to Allied Food Distributors, Inc., on August 28, 1962, with J. L. BASKIN signing the contract for the company. He said that financing of the car will be handled by Fletcher Jones, and that the unpaid balance is \$3,724.56, with payments at \$103.56 per month, due on the 12th of the month.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

B. OTHER BUSINESS VENTURES

PX T30 advised that in August, 1962, subject, TONY SCRIVANO, and [] were discussing the possibility of obtaining a 25-year lease on the El Cortez Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada. Informant subsequently advised that this deal was "off", due to the large amount of money wanted.

PX T30 advised that on August 20, 1962, TONY SCRIVANO talked with (FNU) [] (phonetic) of Tucson, Arizona, concerning the possible sale of subject's former home on Cresta Loma Drive, in Tucson, to [] (LNU). SCRIVANO indicated that he intended to discuss this matter further with [] [] Tucson, telephone EA 5-1505. b6 b7c

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

III. TRAVEL

Records of the Travelodge Motel, Ontario, California, revealed that BILL BONANNO checked into the motel on June 2, 1962 and left on June 4, 1962. He was driving a 1960 Pontiac with Arizona License LGY 931, and occupied Room 201. He indicated that he represented San-Nee Corporation, and reservations were made by Ontario Cheese Company.

Records of Bonanza Airlines, Ontario, California, revealed that BILL BONANNO departed Ontario for Phoenix at 10:13 A.M., June 4, 1962.

PX T30 advised that subject contacted his Phoenix office on July 23, 1962, at which time he was believed to be in California. Informant said that subject was also in California on August 1, 1962 and intended to visit the California Cheese Company on August 2, 1962.

Informant advised that subject was again out of town on August 27, 1962, and returned to Phoenix on August 28, 1962.

PX T39 advised that subject arrived at the [redacted] residence in San Mateo, California, the latter part of July, 1962, in a car bearing Arizona license DCU 586.

The following information was received by communication from the San Francisco Division, September 4, 1962:

[redacted] Station Manager, Avis Rent-A-Car, San Francisco International Airport, on August 13, 1962, advised that the car with Arizona license DCU 586 was rented to SALVATORE V. BONANNO, 1847 East Elm Street, Tucson, Arizona, from 6:15 P.M. on July 31, 1962 to 2:25 P.M. on August 4, 1962. [redacted] stated BONANNO had stated he was then staying at the Jack Tar Hotel, San Francisco, and he had displayed Avia Credit Card 060263.

[redacted] Resident Manager, Jack Tar Hotel, San Francisco, on August 24, 1962, advised the hotel records

b6
b7c

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

list a W. BONANNO, 719 E. Madison, Phoenix, Arizona, registered as a guest from July 31, 1962 to August 2, 1962. According to [redacted], BONANNO listed his car license as DCU 586. [redacted] also stated that BONANNO made one long distance telephone call and that was to FI 5-5144, San Mateo, California on July 31, 1962.

San Mateo telephone directory for San Mateo lists FI 5-5144 to [redacted] 1029 Annapolis Drive, San Mateo. It is noted that [redacted] is subject's brother-in-law.

b6
b7C

PX T28 advised that during the week of July 20-27, 1962, [redacted] leader of the Italian-Sicilian Organization (ISO) in the San Jose, California area, had advised that sometime the previous week, subject had accompanied ANGELO MARINO, a member of ISO in San Jose area, to the Santa Cruz, California area to obtain a house in that area. (This is believed to concern a house at Aptos, California, which JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. rented for two weeks in August 1962.)

PX T28 stated that on August 2, 1962, ANGELO MARINO said that he had dinner with subject on that date at the Paolos Restaurant, San Jose, California.

PX T40 advised that [redacted] a member of ISO, San Jose, California, stated that he had received a telephone call from subject on August 3, 1962, and as a result of this call, [redacted] made reservation at the Hvatt House, Millbrae, California, under the name of [redacted]. (It is noted that [redacted] is an attorney from Washington, D. C. who is handling [redacted] case in connection with an INS deportation hearing.)

b6
b7C

On August 31, 1962, [redacted] Avis Rent-A-Car, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that subject rented a car from them at 8:20 A.M., August 4, 1962, using an Avis Credit Card, and signing his name as SALVATORE BONANNO. This car was returned at 5:00 P.M., August 27, 1962 by three Italian appearing persons, identity unknown, having been driven a total of 1,309 miles. [redacted] said that prior to the return of the car, subject telephoned Avis and wanted to extend the period of rental for 2 or 3 months, but that he turned down subject. [redacted] said he saw subject at the Sky Harbor Airport on August 28, 1962.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

PX T35 advised that subject visited his sister, [REDACTED], at San Mateo, California, on August 3 and August 4, 1962, during which time he also made a trip to San Jose, California. Informant also stated that subject, accompanied by four other unknown individuals, went to the Top of the Mark, Fairmont Hotel, and various other places in San Francisco during this visit.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

Calif

IV. ASSOCIATES

A. RE: [redacted] aka [redacted]

PX T30 advised that subject has been in frequent contact with [redacted], both by phone and in person. Informant said that subject instructed [redacted] never to leave her name at his office when she calls him. Informant also advised that during August, [redacted] ruptured her spleen and was forced to see a doctor. Informant advised that [redacted] intended to move from her rented house on Third Avenue, to an apartment in Phoenix. [redacted] *Phoenix Hill*

b6
b7C

PX T37 advised that sometime within the past two months, subject beat up on [redacted], and injured her so badly that he had to take her to see Dr. [redacted] at Scottsdale. Informant further advised that [redacted] is considering a possible trip to Germany during the latter part of September, 1962.

Date April 26, 1962

[redacted] was interviewed at her residence [redacted], Los Angeles, and advised that she had met BILL BONANNO through [redacted]. [redacted] stated that she met [redacted] as a customer in her beauty parlor in Tucson and that her introduction to BONANNO occurred at the Romulus Restaurant; however, her association with BONANNO was no more than a casual one and that she knew very little of his activities. Miss [redacted] stated that she was very interested in [redacted] welfare and immediately had taken a liking to her and had endeavored to have her discontinue her association with BONANNO due to the fact that BONANNO was married and could not provide a suitable home for [redacted] and her children.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that [redacted] called her in December, 1961, stating that she would like to come visit her and have her baby in Los Angeles and that [redacted] actually did reside with her as a guest at property which she, [redacted] was renting on Ranchito Avenue in Van Nuys, California. [redacted] stayed for approximately 2½ to 3 weeks at this residence, and while BONANNO visited [redacted] on two occasions, she actually never did see him. [redacted] stated that [redacted] following an unexpected visit from BONANNO in January, left with BONANNO without notice to return to Arizona and that [redacted] left a note for [redacted], apologizing for her sudden departure.

[redacted] stated that she has not heard from [redacted] and does not know where she is residing but did learn through her daughter, [redacted] of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, that [redacted] gave birth to a baby boy on January 22, 1962 in St. Joseph's Hospital in Phoenix.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that JOE BASKIN and one of his sons had been in the Los Angeles area during December, 1961 and early January, 1962, apparently having been sent here by BILL BONANNO to look out for [redacted] [redacted] described

b6
b7C

On 4/18/62 at Los Angeles, California File # PX 92-172
LA 92-685

by SA [redacted] and [redacted] /sgt/jg Date dictated 4/23/62

2
PX 92-172
LA 92-685

BASKIN as a very sick old man who acts as a flunky for BILL BONANNO. [] stated that BASKIN and his son stayed at a Travelodge Motel on Ventura Boulevard while in Los Angeles.

[] stated that BONANNO, based upon her conversations with [], did not appear to have much money and actually had never assisted her in supporting [] while [] was a guest at her property. [] stated that she had no information as to the source of BONANNO's money but presumed that it could come from his father, JOE BONANNO, whom she had met on one occasion at the Romulus Restaurant but knew nothing about personally.

[] stated that she did not know the current residence of [] in Phoenix, but she suggested that possibly her daughter would have this information.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

On July 26, 1962, [] Installment Loans, Valley National Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that [] is past due on her car payment since July 2nd, and that he has been unable to collect this payment from JOE BASKIN, as usual, due to BASKIN's hospitalization. He said that it might be necessary to again repossess this car in the immediate future.

On September 19, 1962, [] Installment Loans, Valley National Bank, Phoenix, Arizona, advised that on the night of September 18, 1962, he and another bank employee went to a residence located at 230 Hardy Road, West, Tucson, Arizona where they repossessed the car belonging to []. They said that [] was extremely angry and profane, but they informed her that she would not be permitted to recover the car unless the entire balance is paid in full. [] stated that the car was driven back to Phoenix, and that [] indicated that someone would probably come to see them to get the car back.

b6
b7C

On September 19, 1962, PX T37 advised that [] contacted subject by phone in Phoenix, probably to secure money to pay for her car.

On July 31, 1962, PX T36 advised that the following long distance calls have been charged to 254-7845, which is the phone at the house occupied by []:

- 6/24/62 - Los Angeles, DU 3-2354
- 6/23/62 - San Manuel, Arizona, DU 5-4475
- 6/25/62 - Tucson, Arizona, AX 6-2885
- 7/2/62 - Tucson, Arizona, AX 6-2885

b6
b7C

On August 31, 1962, PX T36 advised that the following long distance calls have been charged to 254-7845:

- 7/23/62 - Collect from Ontario, California, no name or number
- 7/29/62 - To Long Beach, California, GE 4-1863
- 7/31/62 - Collect from San Francisco, California, []

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

8/1/62 - Collect from San Francisco, California,
[redacted]

8/2/62 - Collect from San Jose, California,
Mrs. [redacted]

8/4/62 - Collect from San Francisco, California
BILL.

On July 31, 1962, SA JOHN B. DUFFY advised that a car with Arizona license CFW 221 was observed at the home of [redacted] b6

[redacted] This license was issued to [redacted] b7C
[redacted] Scottsdale, Arizona, for a 1962 Chevrolet.

On August 1, 1962, PX T33 advised that cars with the following license numbers were observed at the home of [redacted]

Arizona license DED 002 (issued to [redacted]
[redacted] Phoenix, Arizona, for a 1962
Falcon Station Wagon.)

Arizona license CJS 010 (issued to [redacted]
1117 W. Solano Drive, Phoenix, Arizona, for a
1961 Falcon.)

On August 13, 1962, SA [redacted] advised that a car with Arizona license CSP 164 was observed at the home of [redacted] This license was issued to Avis Rent-A-Car System, 1244 S. 24th Street, Phoenix, for a 1962 Chevrolet, and is the car which was rented by subject.

On July 23, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] landlord of the property located at 2124 N. 3rd Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, where [redacted] resides, advised the Los Angeles office that she had requested her attorney, [redacted] to take necessary steps to terminate subject's lease on this property for non-payment of rent. b6 b7C

On July 26, 1962, [redacted] Attorney, 115 N. 2nd Avenue, Phoenix, advised that he had just received the request from [redacted] to start eviction proceedings, and intends to commence these proceedings in the near future.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

On August 27, 1962, Mrs. [] advised that [] has been evicted from [] property, and has moved to Country Club Apartments, 3030 N. 7th Avenue, Phoenix, where she is renting an apartment under the name of []. Mrs. [] stated that her home was severely damaged by [] while she was a tenant, and that several small articles were missing. She said that on August 26, 1962, she telephoned [] and requested her to return the missing articles, including a nylon rug. [] stated that she would look around for them. While she was talking with [] BILL BONANNO came to the phone and told Mrs. [] to quit bothering [] using vulgar and profane language. Mrs. [] stated that she intends to discuss the damage to her house, and the missing property, with the Phoenix Police Department and her attorney, with a view of bringing legal action against [] and subject. Mrs. [] said that she is moving from California to her sister's home in Chicago in the near future, but will advise of any future developments in this matter.

b6
b7C

On August 29, 1962, [] Phoenix Police Department, advised that Mrs. [] had made a complaint to the Phoenix Police Department concerning articles stolen from her home, and had also contacted an attorney, Mr. [] concerning the damage done to the house by [] and subject. [] said he is investigating the matter.

On August 30, 1962, [] advised that subject's attorney, [] had contacted [] on August 29, 1962, and said subject felt no responsibility for the damage to the house, or the losses, but had offered to pay Mrs. [] \$500.00 if she would sign a release agreeing not to press any charges against subject. [] said that Mrs. [] accepted this payment, and signed the release. In view of this fact, the minor nature of the missing articles, and the impossibility of connecting subject with the thefts, [] said his investigation was being discontinued.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

On August 30, 1962, [redacted] Manager, Country Club Apartments, 3030 N. 7th Street, Phoenix, Arizona, telephone AM 6-2443, advised from records that Mrs. [redacted] registered for Apartment 19, on August 24, 1962. She indicated her address as 3942 Compton Ave., Long Beach, California and her car as a 1962 Falcon, Arizona license CRC 665. She paid \$150.00 in advance for the first month's rent, and the registration card indicated a party of five. [redacted] said that [redacted] is identical with [redacted] aka [redacted], who is a former guest at this apartment. He said that the only telephone service at this apartment is through the apartment switchboard.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172

RWR/jg

On September 17, 1962, Lt. [redacted] (NA), Tucson, Arizona Police Department, advised that Sgt. [redacted] of that department had submitted the following report concerning a recent interview with [redacted]

"On Saturday, 15 September 1962, at approx. 1330 hrs., I met Attornies [redacted] and [redacted] by the PHOENIX TITLE & TRUST BUILDING. They were accompanied by a young lady who was introduced to me as [redacted]. They invited me to lunch with them in the PRESS CLUB. I recognized the name, [redacted] as being the known girlfriend of one BILL BONANNO, associated also with BATS BATAGLIA, JOE BONANNO, and others of this catagory. We went to the PRESS CLUB for lunch and during the conversation while eating lunch, MR. [redacted] had stated that he had a dental appointment and would have to leave after the lunch and as MISS [redacted] and myself had been engaged in a friendly conversation and appeared to get along well, Mr. [redacted] asked if I would take care of her during his absence. MR. [redacted] and MR. [redacted] left. MISS [redacted] asked if I was married and if I had any children, the answer being affirmative, and I showed her pictures in my wallet of my daughter. At the time I was introduced to MISS [redacted] by MR. [redacted] I was introduced as a police officer in the city of Tucson and therefore she is aware of my official capacity. MISS [redacted] had a desire for a mixed drink. I ordered us both Scotch and water and she began telling me of her origin in Germany, meeting an American GI in that country, marrying him and coming to the United States. She asked if I had ever been in Europe. I stated that I had made the invasion of NORMANDY, FRANCE, and being in various cities in Europe, this gave us a common grounds for discussion. She told me that after she had married an American service man and come to this country, she inferred that she came directly from Europe to MAMMOTH, ARIZONA, where she and her husband resided. She stated that her husband treated her very cruelly that he would strip her and tie her with rope to a bedstead and beat her, that she divorced her husband, that my being a police officer that she knew I was aware of the fact that she was BILL BONANNO's girlfriend. She stated that she had borne a child by BILL BONANNO. However, due to the fact that Mr. BONANNO comes from a Catholic family, JOE BONANNO, who is BILL BONANNO's father, would not allow BILL BONANNO to

b6
b7C

obtain a divorce for the purpose of marrying []
[] I do not recall the exact text of our conversation but we talked for approximately an hour. During this time, in relating the hardships that she had gone through and her love for BILL BONANNO and the futility of her relationship with BILL BONANNO so long as his father lived, she both figuratively and literally cried on my shoulder. She for some reason or other felt drawn to me even though this was our first meeting. By this I mean that she expressed the fact that she felt she could confide in me. I gave her a departmental calling card with my name on it. I told her that I would see her to her car, that she should go home; however that if she felt in any time in the future that she would like to talk to me or bring her troubles to me, she should feel free to do so. She expressed an earnest desire, or what appeared to be an earnest desire, to accept this offer.

b6
b7C

"Miss [] appeared to be a young and possibly naive girl who has had a life of hardship and hard knocks and it is quite possible that BILL BONANNO is the first person with whom she has come in contact that has treated her decently, with probably what appears to her as sincere love or affection. I am fully aware of the reputation of MR. BONANNO and his associates and feel that MISS [] might be used as a pawn to accomplish the desires of MR. BONANNO or his associates. There may also be a sincere feeling by MR. BONANNO for MISS []; however, her outlook in her present status is futile to her because, as she states, nothing can really come of her relationship with BILL BONANNO until the death of his father. From the futility of this position she has become disappointed in America and expressed a desire to return to Germany."

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

B. RE: JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. *Amiz*

PX T30 advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., made numerous telephone calls and personal visits to subject and Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in Phoenix during the months of July, August and September, 1962. Informant said that some of the phone calls originated in New York City, and that JOSEPH has been using the name of "PETER" when calling. Informant stated that JOSEPH is very much concerned over the operation of Allied Food Distributors, Inc.; is upset at the way the business is being operated by subject; and is concerned about the unpaid bills of the company.

PX T37 advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. is known by his associates as the "Big Fellow" and as "MR. PETER". Informant advised that JOSEPH came to Phoenix with his wife on the evening of September 5, 1962, possibly from San Francisco, California to visit subject at the hospital. He was of the opinion that JOSEPH stayed with [REDACTED] Phoenix, while in town.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

C. RE: JOSEPH ~~BONANNO~~, JR.

On July 26, 1962, Captain [redacted] Juvenile Division, Phoenix Police Department, advised that investigation by his Department had established that there had been an assault on a service station attendant in Phoenix, but that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR. was not involved in the assault, and could not be charged. He said one juvenile had been charged with this assault. Capt. [redacted] said that they had been unable to establish the theft of any oil, and that the service station attendant appeared to have no interest in making any charge of such theft.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

D. RE: [REDACTED] *Per. 2*

PX T37 advised that sometime within the past two months, subject beat up his wife, [REDACTED] and she left the state with [REDACTED] the wife of [REDACTED]. Informant said that when she returned to Phoenix, subject had hired a private detective to meet the plane because he was afraid she might have brought some of her relatives back to Phoenix to take care of him.

b6
b7C

Informant was of the opinion that [REDACTED] might be "stepping out" on subject.

On August 18, 1962, Sgt. [REDACTED] Las Vegas Police Department advised that [REDACTED] had checked into the Stardust Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada, Room 1403, on August 15, 1962, alone. She reportedly received a collect call from Houston, Texas, number RI 7-4011, room 907, Mr. [REDACTED] (listed to Camco, Inc., Houston, Texas). She immediately thereafter placed a call to State 6-4039, Van Nuys, California (this number listed to Tail of the Cock Restaurant, Van Nuys, California).

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

E. RE: JOHN PROFACI

PX T37 advised that JOHN ~~PROFACI~~ ^{Ariz} from New York City, a cousin of [redacted] visited [redacted] and subject in Phoenix during August, 1962, and made an overnight trip to Los Angeles during the week of August 19, 1962. b6 b7C

ORIF

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

F. RE: ~~11~~UNCLE FRANK"

PX T30 advised that an individual who identified himself as "Uncle Frank" attempted to contact subject by phone from New York City, HI 3-2210, in August 1962. Subject was not at his office, and this individual left word for subject to return the call.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

G. RE: CHARLES JOSEPH ~~BATTAGLIA~~ *Pl 17*

PX T30 advised that BATTAGLIA made several attempts to contact subject at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in Phoenix during the months of July, August, and September, but that subject seemed reluctant to talk to BATTAGLIA, and would instruct employees at his office to inform BATTAGLIA that he was not at his office. Informant said that BATTAGLIA discussed plans to visit subject in Phoenix sometime after September 17, 1962.

PX T37 advised that subject and BATTAGLIA continue to contact each other, and are believed to meet at a bar in Casa Grande, Arizona, on occasions. Informant said that subject is believed to have either borrowed or "beat subject out of" about \$5,000.00 in the past, and that BATTAGLIA is presently hard pressed for money and attempting to collect this sum from subject.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

H. RE: ANTHONY SCRIVANO

PX T30 advised that subject and SCRIVANO were in constant contact with each other up until SCRIVANO's death. Informant said that SCRIVANO was discussing a deal with [redacted] in New York City, which would involve \$400,000.00. Informant further advised that SCRIVANO received 3 subpoenas from the Internal Revenue Service, just prior to his death, and failed to appear at a scheduled hearing in Phoenix prior to September 14, 1962, at which time [redacted] a Tucson attorney, appeared for him, but did not know where he was.

b6
b7C

On September 14, 1962, PX T37 advised that ANTHONY SCRIVANO, close associate of subject, had been found dead at the Sands Hotel in Tempe, Arizona, on that date. Informant said that SCRIVANO was believed to have taken an overdose of aspirin.

On September 17, 1962, WORTH FARLEY, (NA), Chief of Police, Tempe, Arizona, advised that SCRIVANO's death had been reported to his department at 4:16 P.M., September 14, 1962, after he had been discovered in his hotel room at the Sands Hotel, by a housekeeper. He said SCRIVANO was found, in bed, undressed, with the covers over him, and two empty Anacin bottles beside his bed. He advised there was no indication of violence, and the body was sent to the County Morgue.

[redacted] stated that the following articles were located in SCRIVANO's room at the time of death:

Identification card, with name to notify in case
of emergency - [redacted]
[redacted], telephone [redacted]

b6
b7C

Billfold, with \$1.58

Wrist watch

Dark glasses

Razor

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

Letter addressed to [redacted] Seattle, Washington, personal in nature. Letter mentioned that "Our boy is supposed to come up there next week to see about opening an office." Also stated that SCRIVANO intended to see a doctor about his severe headaches, and was taking Anacin like popcorn.

b6
b7C

FARLEY said that SCRIVANO's car, a 1959 Volkswagon, Arizona license CKU 254, was impounded at the B&B Auto Company in Tempe.

FARLEY further advised that [redacted] was notified of SCRIVANO's death on September 14, 1962, and requested that he be permitted to notify SCRIVANO's wife.

On September 17, 1962, Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] brothers of the deceased; and (FNU) [redacted] brother-in-law of the deceased, appeared at the office of Chief FARLEY, and were interviewed by FARLEY. Mrs. [redacted] stated that she last saw her husband on the morning of September 13, 1962, when she left their home to go shopping. [redacted] told her that if he was not home for dinner, he might be out of town, as he had to make a trip to Tucson. She said she knew that [redacted] had been suffering from severe headaches, and she had been encouraging him to see a doctor. She knew of no reason why he would have taken his own life.

b6
b7C

On September 17, 1962, [redacted] desk clerk, Sands Hotel, Tempe, Arizona, advised that ANTHONY SCRIVANO checked into this hotel at 11:49 A.M., September 13, 1962 by himself, indicating on his registration card that his address was 109 N. Columbus, Tucson, Arizona; his employment as Phoenix Central Insurance Company, telephone 279-3842; and his car as a Volkswagon, Arizona license CKU 254. She said that SCRIVANO indicated he would be there for two nights, and paid one night in advance. He mentioned that he had to go to Phoenix on business, and went to his room alone. She said that he made no phone calls, and had no charges to his account while there. She stated that he was discovered dead in his room, 218, on the afternoon of September 14, 1962, by a maid, following which a report was made to the Tempe Police Department.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

On September 18, 1962, PX T13 advised that records of the Ramada Inn, Scottsdale, Arizona, revealed that A. P. SCRIVANO registered there at 2:11 P.M., September 11, 1962, and checked out at 12:09 P.M., September 12, 1962. He was assigned to Room 201, and signed the register with an address of 2727 N. Central, Phoenix, Arizona, telephone 279-3842, and employment as Phoenix Central Insurance. He indicated he was driving a Volkswagon, Arizona license CKU 254. Informant advised that the following local phone calls were made by SCRIVANO:

9/11/62 - 264-5804 (listed to Windsor Factors, Inc., 5104 N. 7th Street, Phoenix)

9/11/62 - 272-1439 (listed to [redacted], Phoenix)

9/12/62 - 279-3842 (listed to [redacted] Phoenix).

b6
b7C

On September 18, 1962, PX T37 advised that SCRIVANO was dealing with Windsor Factors, [redacted] owner, in efforts to establish a new collection agency at Seattle, Washington.

On September 19, 1962, WORTH FARLEY, COP, Tempe, Arizona, advised that the Coroner's report of SCRIVANO's death indicated death due to poisoning by barbituric acids. FARLEY said that an Inquest was scheduled for September 26, 1962.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

I. RE: [redacted] aka
[redacted]

PX T30 advised that subject received several telephone calls during the month of August, 1962, from [redacted] in New York City, who was calling from telephone number PL 5-0076.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

J. RE: [REDACTED]

PX T37 advised that [REDACTED] a Cleveland hoodlum and uncle of [REDACTED] sends a friend of his to Phoenix frequently to check on [REDACTED] and to be certain that [REDACTED] is not associating with subject, or involved in any racket.

b6
b7C

4/12

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

K. RE: [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

PX T30 advised that [REDACTED] of the Wisconsin Cheese Company, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, was at the office of Allied Food Distributors, Inc. in Phoenix on September 5 and 6, 1962.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

L. RE:

PX T30 advised that subject and JOE BASKIN were in frequent contact with Phoenix attorney, during July, August, and September 1962, concerning both business and personal legal problems.

b6
b7C

Ariz

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

M. RE: [REDACTED]

Amir

PX T30 advised that subject has been in frequent contact with [REDACTED] a Tucson attorney, during August and September 1962; mainly concerning subpoenas issued by the Internal Revenue Service to subject, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ANTHONY SCRIVANO, and JOE BASKIN.

b6
b7C

Informant stated that SOBLE appeared at the Phoenix office of IRS in September 1962, to represent SCRIVANO, but that SCRIVANO failed to appear, and [REDACTED] did not know where he was.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

N. RE: [REDACTED]

A 12

PX T30 advised that during September 1962, TONY SCRIVANO and [REDACTED] were in contact with [REDACTED] a Tucson attorney, concerning subpoenas received by them from the Internal Revenue Service.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

O. RE: [REDACTED]

11-12

PX T30 advised that [REDACTED] a Tucson accountant, contacted the Allied Food Distributors, Inc., in September 1962. Informant was of the opinion that [REDACTED] may have been hired by JOSEPH BONANNO, SR. to attempt to straighten out the books of the company.

b6
b7C

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

V. MISCELLANEOUS

PX T30 advised that subject was taken to the Scottsdale City Hospital, Scottsdale, Arizona, on September 5, 1962, suffering from what informant believed to be a heart attack. Informant said that subject returned to his home, from the hospital, on September 14, 1962, and intends to return to work about September 24, 1962.

On September 7, 1962, PX T38 advised that subject's illness had been diagnosed as a mild coronary. Informant said that subject was also believed to have a peptic ulcer in the upper intestinal tract, and an internal infection.

On September 14, 1962, [REDACTED] Pacific Finance Company, Phoenix, advised that subject was delinquent on his payments for his Falcon car. He said he went to subject's home on this date to collect the past due amount, and subject's wife refused to let him in the house, and further told him that subject was not at home, and she did not know where he was.

b6
b7C

On September 19, 1962, [REDACTED] advised that JOE BASKIN came to his office and made two payments on subject's car.

PX 92-172
RWR/jg

VI. APPEAL ON SUIT VERSUS PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT

PX T35 advised that subject indicated he could not stop his case now, and possibly considers an appeal to the Supreme Court.

On July 6 and July 13, 1962, [] Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, advised SA [] that although the briefs of both parties had been received, this matter had not been calendared for a hearing.

On August 14, 1962, [] Deputy Clerk, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, California, furnished SA [] one copy of the Appellant's Closing Brief in the matter entitled "SALVATORE V. BONANNO, Appellant, vs. CHARLES THOMAS, et al, Appellees," CCA #17630. This brief was reviewed at Phoenix and forwarded to the Bureau. [] advised that this matter was argued before the Court of Appeals on August 1, 1962, and is presently under submission to the Court.

b6
b7C



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

Phoenix 1, Arizona
September 27, 1962

TITLE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka

CHARACTER: ANTI-RACKETEERING

REFERENCE: Report of SA ROY W. REGER,
dated September 27, 1962,
at Phoenix, Arizona

All sources (except any listed below) used in
referenced communication have furnished reliable information
in the past.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Phoenix, Arizona
September 27, 1962

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

RE: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO
ANTI-RACKETEERING

CHARACTERIZATION OF
INFORMANTS IN REPORT OF SA ROY W. REGER
DATED SEPTEMBER 27, 1962, at PHOENIX:

PX T35 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities in California.

PX T36 is a [REDACTED]

PX T37 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities in Arizona.

PX T38 is a [REDACTED]

PX T39 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities in California.

PX T40 is a source who is familiar with some of
subject's activities in California.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions
of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to
your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed
outside your agency.

b6
b7C
b7D

F B I

Date: 9/28/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Evans	_____
Mr. Malone	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that subject at home, recuperating from heart attack, and returned to his office at Allied Food Distributors, inc., on 9/24/62 for short period of time, and for short periods on subsequent days.
2. Advised that subject is continuing his paramour, _____
3. Advised that _____ a close associate of subject, was concerned about a possible heart attack, but visited a doctor and learned that he is alright.
4. Advised that Allied Food Distributors, Inc., are still having difficulty paying their bills and were contacted by the Telephone Co. regarding a delinquent bill.

b2
b6
b7C

- ③ - Bureau
1 - San Francisco (92-340)(Info)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-28)

REC-60

11 OCT 2 1962

RWR/vlc
(6)

C. C. WICK

EX-108

Approved: E. J. Boyle

Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

54 OCT 4 1962

PX 92-172D
RWR/vlc

5. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, SR., subject's father, brought his Tucson accountant, [REDACTED] to the office of Allied to go over the books of the company.
6. Advised that [REDACTED] has stated that he is in charge of Allied until subject returns to work.
7. Advised that [REDACTED] was in contact with ANGELO MARINO, San Jose, California, concerning a shipment of cheese to Allied.
8. Advised that [REDACTED] is attempting to persuade JOE BASKIN to pay the balance due on her repossessed car so she can get it back. (It is noted that [REDACTED] has been attempting to obtain this money from the subject.

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 10/5/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
ANTI RACKETEERING
(OO: PHOENIX)

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that [redacted], close associate of subject, was in contact with his girl friend, [redacted] at Pomona, California, telephone number YU 6-7735.
2. Advised that subject is continuing to work at Allied Food Distributors, Inc., on a part-time basis.
3. Advised that an unknown person made a call from Allied to San Diego, California, telephone number CY 8-7129, on 10/1/62, in an attempt to contact [redacted] (ph).
4. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, subject's father, intended to come to the office of Allied on 10/2/62, to check on the operation of the company, but changed his plans, and came to this office on 10/3/62.

3 - Bureau (AM)
1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info) (AM)
2 - San Diego (92-165) (AM)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - PX 92-28)

REC- 30

92-4494-131

RWR:mss
(3) 8 OCT 12 1962
FBI

EX-102

12 OCT 8 1962

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 10/5/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via A I R T E L A I R M A I L
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

PX 92-172D

5. Advised that subject made arrangements to see his paramour, [REDACTED], on the evening of 10/2/62.
6. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, JR., who is residing with subject, has not been attending his classes at the Scottsdale High School.

b6
b7CLEADSAN DIEGO DIVISIONAT SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Will identify the subscriber to telephone number CY 8-7129.

- 2 -

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: 10/12/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Evans	
Mr. Malone	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
 FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
 SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
 AR
 OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO, subject's father, was at the Office of Allied Food Distributors, Inc., Phoenix, on 10/5/62, and was discussing the subject's recent interview by Internal Revenue Agents.
2. Advised that [redacted] attempted to explain to [redacted] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, why Allied had not paid their cheese bill.
3. Advised that the subject and his father were in frequent contact with [redacted] Phoenix Attorney, and [redacted] Tucson accountant, during the week concerning the condition of the business at Allied.

3 - Bureau
 2 - Las Vegas (92-215)
 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (info)
 2 - New York (92-1586)
 2 - Phoenix (1 - 92-172D)
 (1 - 92-28)

RWR:nwm
 (10)

C. C. Wick

EX-100

REC-51

92-4494-132

18 OCT 15 1962

Approved: 24
 53 OCT 16 1962
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
 b6
 b7C

PX 92-172D

4. Advised that [] was discussing the possibility of leaving Allied, and moving to Las Vegas, Nevada, to go into business with his cousin, [] who operates a restaurant at Las Vegas.
5. Advised that [] from New York City was at the Office of Allied, and talked with a Mr. [] of Tucson, Arizona, concerning the possibility of purchasing 40% of a radio station in Tucson.
6. Advised that the subject has continued his contacts with his paramour, [].
7. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO called the subject from Tucson on 10/9/62 and arranged to come to Phoenix the following day for a meeting with Attorney [] and an accountant concerning the condition of Allied.
8. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO came to Phoenix on 10/10/62 and had a long talk with the subject, postponing their meeting at [] office until 10/11/62. JOSEPH BONANNO indicated that the condition of Allied is in such poor shape that it would be necessary to do one of two things: (1) take bankruptcy, or (2) JOSEPH would put \$30,000.00 into the business to pay outstanding obligations, with the understanding that the business would deal only in Italian foods in the future and get rid of some of the "deadwood".

b6
b7C

LEADS

LAS VEGAS DIVISION

AT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

Will advise if [] is known in connection with the hoodlum activities.

b6
b7C

NEW YORK DIVISION

PX 92-172D

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

Will advise if is known in connection with hoodlum activities. (See also Phoenix airtel to New York dated 10/3/62)

b6
b7c

F B I

Date: 10/19/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)
FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR
OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant has furnished the following information of value:

1. Advised that subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, were at the room of [redacted] at the Sands Motor Hotel in Phoenix on 10/12/62;

2. Advised that subject continues his contacts and association with his paramour, [redacted] and arranged to see her on the evening of 10/12/62;

3. Advised subject intended to go to Tucson, Arizona on the weekend of 10/13/62;

- ③ - Bureau
1 - Las Vegas (92-215) (INFO) **REC-109**
1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (INFO)
1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (INFO)
1 - New York City (92-1586) (INFO)
1 - San Francisco (92-340) (INFO)
2 - Phoenix (1-92-172D)
(1-92-28)

RWR/clh
(15) C = Wick

13 OCT 22 1962

Approved: Ed Boyle

Sent _____ M Per _____

60 OCT 25 1962

Special Agent in Charge

b2
b6
b7C

4. Advised that [] close associate of subject, intended to make a trip to Las Vegas, Nevada on weekend of 10/13/62 to discuss plans to remodel a restaurant in Las Vegas, not located on "The Strip". (This restaurant probably identical with one owned by [] mentioned in last weekly summary);

5. Advised that subject and JOSEPH BONANNO discussed turning over the entire operation of Allied Food Distributors, Inc. to []

6. Advised that subject talked with the salesman at Allied on 10/15/62, and announced that he was still "Boss" of the operation;

b6
b7C

7. Advised that subject talked with attorney [] in Tucson, and told [] that "The house has been sold";

8. Advised that [], salesman at Allied, called (FNU) MARINO at San Jose, California, and said a check was being mailed to MARINO on 10/16/62 on Allied's account;

9. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO talked with (FNU) [] at Tucson, Arizona, number 325-6166 concerning the sale of a lot in Tucson which BONANNO claimed was worth \$15,000.00, but he would be willing to sell for \$5,000.00. BONANNO indicated to subject that he intended to put this money into the business at Allied, but may not sell the property at this time;

10. Advised that JOSEPH BONANNO was still at office of Allied on 10/17/62, and called PETE at Italian Restaurant in Tucson on this date, mentioning Fond de Lac, Wisconsin, but conversation in Sicilian;

11. Advised that subject looking for office space in connection with some business deal with []

12. Advised that subject talked with FRANK (Probably FRANK LABRUZZO in New York City) on 10/18/62, and said that business was bad. Subject indicated he needed a vacation, and might send his wife, [] to New York.

b6
b7C

to live with her family for a while. Subject indicated that if he gets to the Los Angeles area, he would investigate the proposed sale of Dubow Thread Co., 120 East Ninth Avenue, Los Angeles, owned by [REDACTED] which is up for sale for \$100,000.00 and which FRANK is possibly interested in purchasing;

13. [REDACTED] advised a business contact that he was taking over the business at Allied, inasmuch as the buyers of this business had not lived up to their agreement. He said the buyers would, however, keep a partial interest in the business;

14. [REDACTED] talked with [REDACTED] at Fond du Lac, Wisconsin on 10/18/62 and told [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] and JOE BONANNO are now running Allied, and that [REDACTED] is no longer employed there. [REDACTED] promised to make a payment on the account with [REDACTED];

15. [REDACTED] talked with [REDACTED] San Jose, California, and attempted to obtain \$2,000.00 worth of credit from [REDACTED];

16. On 10/18/62, JOSEPH BASKIN received a telephone call from JOSEPH BONANNO, using the name of Mr. JONES, and calling from Casa Grande, Arizona, TE 6-9980. BASKIN said he would have subject call J. BONANNO at this number when he came to the office.

b6
b7C

SAC, Phoenix (66-428)

10/24/62

REC-45

Director, FBI (92-4494)

134

JUNE

1 - J. D. Donohue
815 RB

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Reurairtel 10/19/62.


To enable the Bureau to properly evaluate your request to conduct a survey to determine the feasibility of a misur installation in the office of [redacted] in the Central Towers Building in Phoenix, Arizona, additional information is necessary.

Advise the Bureau full details of [redacted] association with the subject and his father, Joseph Bonanno. Also furnish available information concerning [redacted] background and in addition any other information which would justify the installation of a misur in [redacted] office.

NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is the subject of investigation being conducted in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, a nationally prominent hoodlum who was alleged to be a member of the "Commission." In reairtel Phoenix requests authority to conduct a survey to determine feasibility of a misur installation in the office of [redacted]. It was also reported that the subject's furniture is being moved from his present business and that the subject may be a "silent Partner" in [redacted] business. Phoenix instructed to send additional information so this matter may be clarified and the Bureau can render a proper evaluation of their request.

JEK: asg
(5)

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____



NOV 2 1962

67-001-30-1321 TYPE UNIT ☐

b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 10/19/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494) J U N E
FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)
SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR
OO: Phoenix

[] has advised that [] from New York City, is opening an Insurance and Mortgage Office in the Central Towers Office Building in Phoenix.

Informant has previously advised that [] is a close associate of subject and National Top Hoodlum JOSEPH BONANNO, and has been in close contact with them since his arrival in Phoenix.

Informant said that subject's furniture is to be moved from Allied Food Distributors, Inc., to the new office, and that subject is to be a "Silent Partner" in the new business.

Bureau is requested to authorize survey for misur installation at this new office.

3 - Bureau
1 - Phoenix

RWR/clh
(4)

REGISTERED MAIL

Let to P
10/24/62 JER/aog

REC-45 92-4494-134

11 OCT 22 1962

Approved: Ed Boyle
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

INT. SEC.

SPEC. MAIL RM

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

F B I

Date: 10/26/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

OO: PHOENIX

WEEKLY SUMMARY

During the past week, informant furnished the following information of value:

1. [] talked with subject and said he had been to the bank and secured a loan to pay the "Big One".
2. Advised that subject continues to contact and associate with his paramour, [].
3. Advised that [], Tucson, Arizona, attempted to contact subject at office of ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC., on 10/22/62.
4. Advised that subject talked with his father in Tucson on 10/22/62, most of the conversation being in Italian. Subject mentioned in English, "a building about 100 feet off the street at Speedway and Apache".

- ③ - Bureau
- 1 - Los Angeles (92-685) (Info.)
 - 1 - New York City (92-1586) (Info.)
 - 1 - Milwaukee (92-144) (Info.)
 - 3 - Phoenix (1 - 92-172D)
(1 - 92-28)
(1 - 92-152)

REC-7

92-4494-135

11 OCT 29 1962

5 NOV 2 1962
RWR/dos
(9)

118

Approved: E. J. Bayler
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172D
RWR/dos

5. Advised that CHARLES BATTAGLIA attempted to contact subject by phone from Tucson on 10/22/62 and left word with [] for subject to call him at CACTUS BOWLING ALLEY.

6. Advised that [] appears to be running the business at ALLIED, and discussed the removal of part of the telephone equipment at ALLIED. Also indicated that [] has left the employment of this company.

7. Advised that subject was meeting with [] in Phoenix on 10/23/62.

b6
b7C

8. Advised that subject contacted [] at New York City on 10/23/62, and told [] that he (subject) has two deals going. Also said that [] plans to return to New York City on 10/24/62.

9. Advised that subject attempted to contact [] at New York City, #347-8794, on 10/23/62.

10. Advised that subject inquired about flights to New York City on AMERICAN AIRLINES on 10/23/62.

11. Advised that subject contacted his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, at Tucson on 10/24/62, and said he would be in Tucson the following day.

12. Advised that "CHARLIE" (probably CHARLES BATTAGLIA), attempted to contact [] from Tucson on 10/24/62.

13. Advised that [] an employee at ALLIED, indicated on 10/25/62 that [] was no longer employed by ALLIED.

b6
b7C

14. Advised that [] received a call from [] calling from Ontario, California; indicated that he would be in Los Angeles the following Tuesday night; and asked [] to call him at ANGELO'S PLACE.

PX 92-172D

RWR/dos

15. Advised that subject called JOE BASKIN from Tucson on 10/25/62, and BASKIN gave subject [redacted] telephone number in New York. BASKIN indicated that subject would return to Phoenix later in the afternoon.

b6
b7C

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 10/29/62

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340) (P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to Director, 9/17/62.

On October 10 and 23, 1962, [redacted] Deputy Clerk of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, was contacted by SA [redacted]. It was ascertained that this matter is still under submission to the court.

The San Francisco Office will continue to follow this appeal.

b6
b7C

REC-1

92-4494-136

- ② - Bureau
- 2 - Phoenix (92-172)
- 2 - San Francisco (1 - 92-340)
(1 - 66-3705-143)

DVE:lms
(6)

EX-108

9 OCT 31 1962

[Handwritten signature]

61
56 NOV 5 1962

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 11/1/62

FROM : SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (92-340) RUC

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
ANTI-RACKETEERING
OO: PHOENIX

LIAISON WITH THE CLERK OF THE
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Re San Francisco letter to Bureau 10/29/62.

On 10/26/62, and 11/1/62, [redacted] Deputy Clerk of the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, San Francisco, Calif., was contacted by SA [redacted]. On the latter date, he made available a copy of the Judgment of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, which was filed on 10/25/62. The ruling of the Court is that the judgment in this matter is reversed and the cause is remanded for further proceedings.

b6
b7C

This decision is being forwarded to the Phoenix Office for analysis and transmittal to the Bureau, in accordance with existing instructions.

This concludes the handling of this matter by the San Francisco Office.

- 2 - Bureau
- 2 - Phoenix (92-172)(ENC - 1)
- 2 - San Francisco
(92-340)(66-3705-143)

DVE:rk
(6)#8

EX - 120

REC - 55

92-4494-137

NOV 2 1962

143
56 NOV 7 1962

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 11/1/62

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

JUNE

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

OO: Phoenix

Re Bureau letter to Phoenix 10/24/62.

[redacted] and [redacted] have advised that [redacted] recently came to Phoenix, Arizona, from New York City where he had been associated in business with [redacted] at the National Finance Company. [redacted] is a known associate of subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, and investigation has been requested of New York to determine hoodlum activities and associates of [redacted]

[redacted] has advised that [redacted] intends to start a mortgage and insurance business in Phoenix with informant and subject and that [redacted] will probably assume operation of ALLIED FOOD DISTRIBUTORS, INC., where subject has been employed and where [redacted] is located.

Informant also advised they intended to locate the new office at Room 807, Central Towers Building, 2727 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona.

Subsequent inquiry has revealed this office has not yet been established and, in fact, may not be located at this address when established.

The survey was requested in order that Phoenix might be in a position to establish misur coverage promptly in event subject moves his office from ALLIED to the new business.

In view of the fact that this has not yet been done, no survey is anticipated by Phoenix. In event subject moves his operation to a new office, the Bureau will then be requested to authorize a survey for misur at the new location.

EX - 120

REC- 55

- 2 - Bureau
 - 1 - New York City (Info) (92-1586)
 - 1 - Phoenix
- RWR:jph
(4)

NOV 12 1962

92-4494-138
12 NOV 5 1962
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

b2
b6
b7C
b7D

FBI

Date: 11/9/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka.
AR

OO: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

Informant furnished the following information of value during the past week:

1. Advised that the subject continues frequent contacts with paramour, [REDACTED].
2. Advised that subject continues to work out of the Office of Allied Food Distributors, Inc., 319 W. Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona.
3. Advised that on 11/4/62, subject called "SAL" at New York City, telephone number BE 2-2739, and both subject and [REDACTED] talked with SAL. [REDACTED] mentioned he is no longer with Allied and is considering a restaurant-cocktail setup. [REDACTED] indicated that SAL may be coming to Phoenix in a couple of weeks.
4. Advised that the subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO attempted to contact the subject from Tucson, Arizona, on 11/5/62 but was unable to reach him.

- ③ - Bureau
 1 - Los Angeles (92-685)(Info)
 1 - New York City (92-1586)(Info)
 2 - Phoenix (92-172D)
 (1 - 92-28)

RWR:nwm
(7)

[REDACTED]

12 NOV 12 1962

56 NOV 16 1962

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

REC-31

92-4494-139

EX-120

PX 92-172D

5. Advised that [redacted] contacted his girl friend, [redacted] at Ontario, California, #628-4781 on 11/7/62 and told her he planned on moving to Ontario, California, where he may get a job at a cheese factory.
6. Advised that Allied still receives numerous calls from creditors concerning delinquent accounts.
7. Advised that on 11/8/62 the subject talked with his mother and father in Tucson and this conversation was in Sicilian.

b6
b7C

SAC, Phoenix (66-423)

11/9/62

Director, FBI (92-4494) 140

JUNE 1 - J. D. Donohue
815 RB

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

Reuairtel 11/6/62.

Bulet 10/24/62 instructed you to furnish the Bureau full details of [] association with the subject and his father and any other information which would justify the installation of a misur in [] office.

Reairtel requesting authority to conduct a survey to determine the feasibility of a misur installation at Suite B-136, 4747 North 16th Street, Phoenix, Arizona, reports that an informant of your office furnished information that the subject and his father would undoubtedly be in frequent contact with [].

The fact that the subject and his father may be in contact with [] does not in itself justify a misur installation. You should determine how frequently the Bonannos will contact [] and provide the Bureau with this information, as well as the additional information requested by Bulet 10/24/62.

You are reminded that all misurs must be completely justified. Bureau authority not granted to conduct the requested survey. NOTE: William Salvatore Bonanno is the subject of investigation being conducted in connection with the Criminal Intelligence Program. He is the son of Joseph Bonanno, the nationally prominent underworld figure who has been identified as a member of the "Commission." Phoenix requests authority to conduct a survey to determine feasibility of misur installation at a business address in Phoenix. Phoenix reports that an informant has reported that the subject and his father will undoubtedly be in contact with [] who is going to operate this company. Phoenix has not furnished sufficient justification to warrant a survey. Their request is being denied subject to being resubmitted if additional information is developed.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

NOV 9 - 1962
JEK: asg
(5) COM 125

NOV 23 1962 MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

F B I

Date: 11/6/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL - REGISTERED MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

J U N E

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (66-428)

WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

OO - Phoenix

Re Phoenix 1et to Bureau, 11/1/62.

[] has now advised [] is in the process of moving his family and furniture from New York City to Phoenix, Arizona, where he will assume operation of a mortgage and insurance business to be established with informant and subject.

Informant stated that office space has already been obtained in an office building located at 4747 N. 16th Street, Suite B-136, and some of subject's furniture has already been moved from Allied Food Distributors, Inc. to the new location. Informant was unable to advise whether subject intends to spend the majority of his time at Allied or the new location, but stated subject and his father, JOSEPH BONANNO, will undoubtedly be in frequent contact with [] at the new office.

In view of the above, the Bureau is requested to authorize a survey for misur installation at Suite B-136, 4747 N. 16th Street, Phoenix, so an installation can be promptly recommended in event subsequent developments reveal that subject either moves to the new office or is in frequent contact with [] at the new office.

3 - Bureau (AMRM)
1 - New York (92-4494) (Info.) (AMRM) (June)

1 - Phoenix

RWR:bat

(5)

REC-1

92-4494-140

11 NOV 8 1962

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

Per _____

b2
b6
b7C
b7Db6
b7C

F B I

Date: 11/15/62

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (92-172D)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka
AR

OD: Phoenix

WEEKLY SUMMARY
[REDACTED]

Informant furnished the following information
of value during the past week:

1. Advised that subject continues his association with his paramour, [REDACTED] and that JOE BASKIN "Covers" for subject by telling subject's wife he hasn't seen subject for several days.

2. Advised that subject's father, JOSEPH BONANNO, contacted subject on 11/12/62, following which subject contacted [REDACTED] a Phoenix attorney. subject informed [REDACTED] that JOE had said "The person had it wrong when he called [REDACTED] at 4:00 AM the other morning, and it wasn't as bad as the preliminary report."

3. Advised that [REDACTED] has been in touch with ANGELO MARINO at San Jose, California, concerning payment of a bill owed to MARINO.

- REC-28
- 92-4494-141
- 3 - Bureau
1 - San Francisco (92-340)(Info)
2 - Phoenix
(1 - 92-28)

B NOV 19 1962

RWR/vlc
(6)

C C. Wick

60 NOV 27 1962

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

b2
b6
b7C

PX 92-172D
RWR/vlc

4. [redacted] advised that subject was in touch with [redacted] at Tucson, discussing whether they should answer the "Papers" they had been served.

5. Advised that subject instructed JOE BASKIN to go to Tucson and pick up a car at 935 S. Carnegie. BASKIN indicated he might send his son, [redacted]

b6
b7C



**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.**

To: **FBI, Phoenix (92-172)**

Date: **December 5, 1962**

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO,
aka; AR**

mt
J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover, Director

REC-128

FBI File No.

Lab. No.

**92-4494 - 142
D-408184 JC**

Examination requested by: **Phoenix**

Reference: **Letter 11/16/62**

Examination requested: **Document**

Remarks:

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

Enclosures (7) (03, K1 through K4, 2 Lab report)

WUC/LCS (4)

**39%
DEC 10 1962**

Kelly
ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

DEC 9 1962

RECORDED
11/26/62
KG

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

No Lab File
File # 92-4494-142
Lab. # D-408184 JC

Examination requested by: Phoenix (92-172) 11/16/62

Examination requested: Document Date received: 11/20/62

Result of Examination: Examination by: Collier 12/3/62

- 1- NI quest. tw. end. Q3 E K2, 3 & 4.
2- Mc Scrivano end. Q3 E K1. Unexplained. var. and limited quest. however, h/w char. noted indicate quest. end. was not written by Scrivano.

Specimens submitted for examination

SPECIMEN	CHECK #	AMOUNT	DATE	PAYABLE TO	SIGNED	BANK
Q3	28100	\$950.00	8/13/62	1st Insurance & Realty of Arizona, Inc.	Anthony Scrivano Illegible	Valley National Bank, Tucson, Arizona
K1	Three page letter dated 9/13/62, beginning "Dear [] and signed "Tony;" one sheet of paper bearing note beginning "Friday all....." and ending "...1st of week," and seven personal checks bearing known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO					
K2	One page of typewriting specimens from an IBM typewriter, SN1364422, located in the office of Windsor Factors, Phoenix, Arizona					
K3	One page of typewriting specimens from an IBM typewriter, SN2112922, located in the office of Mercantile Acceptance Corp., Phoenix, Arizona					
K4	One page of typewriting specimens from an Alder typewriter, SN2169759, located in the office of [], Phoenix, Arizona					

b6
b7C

Q3 end Anthony Scrivano

K1 Anthony Scrivano

Q3 tw end. is 108/in.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-4494)

DATE: 11/16/62

ATTENTION: FBI LABORATORY

FROM : SAC, PHOENIX (92-172) (P)

SUBJECT: WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR

408184

OO: Phoenix

The following items are enclosed:

1. Check #28100 of Tucson Title Insurance Co., payable to 1st Insurance and Realty of Arizona, Inc., in amount of \$950.00, and bearing type-written endorsement "payable to [redacted] only for attorney's fees, 1st INSURANCE & REALTY OF ARIZONA, INC., By;" and handwritten endorsements of ANTHONY SCRIVANO, Secretary Treasurer, and [redacted].
2. Three page letter dated 9/13/62 starting, "Dear [redacted]." and signed "TONY," in known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO.
3. One page handwritten note starting, "Friday..." and ending "...week", in known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO.
4. Seven personal checks, No. 112, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118 and 119, in known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO.
5. One page typewriting specimen from IBM typewriter in office of Windsor Factors, Phoenix, Arizona.
6. One page typewriting specimen from IBM typewriter in office of Mercantile Acceptance Corp., Phoenix, Arizona.
7. One page typewriting specimen from Adler typewriter in office of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

COPY & SPECIMENS RETAINED IN LAB
FOR LAB ACTION & REPORT

3 Bureau (Encl. 13) (RM)

1 Phoenix

RWR:jph

(4)

REC-39

26
8 NOV 20 1962

JUN 2 1962

ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

PX 92-172

The Laboratory is requested to compare the typewritten endorsement on Item #1 with specimens from Items 5, 6, and 7.

It is further requested that the handwritten endorsement of ANTHONY SCRIVANO, Secretary Treasurer, on item #1, be compared with the known handwriting of SCRIVANO in items 2, 3 and 4.

Inasmuch as this examination has been requested by the Internal Revenue Service, as well as being of interest to the Phoenix Office, it is requested that two copies of the Laboratory report be sent to Phoenix so one can be disseminated to IRS.

All items should be returned to Phoenix upon completion of the requested examination.

RECORDED
11/26/62
KG

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Laboratory Work Sheet

Re: **WILLIAM SALVATORE BONANNO, aka;
AR**

No Lab File
File # 92-4494 - 1042
Lab. # D-403184 JC

Examination requested by: **Phoenix (92-172)** 11/16/62

Examination requested: **Document**

Date received: 11/20/62

Result of Examination:

Examination by: **Collier**

Specimens submitted for examination

SPECIMEN	CHECK #	AMOUNT	DATE	PAYABLE TO	SIGNED	BANK
Q3	28100	\$950.00	8/13/62	1st Insurance & Realty of Arizona, Inc.	Anthony Scrivano	Valley National Bank, Tucson, Arizona
K1	Three page letter dated 9/13/62, beginning "Dear [redacted] and signed "Tony," one sheet of paper bearing note beginning "Friday all....." and ending "...1st of week," and seven personal checks bearing known handwriting of ANTHONY SCRIVANO					
K2	One page of typewriting specimens from an IBM typewriter, SN1364422, located in the office of Windsor Factors, Phoenix, Arizona					
K3	One page of typewriting specimens from an IBM typewriter, SN2112922, located in the office of Mercantile Acceptance Corp., Phoenix, Arizona					
K4	One page of typewriting specimens from an Alder typewriter, SN2169759, located in the office of [redacted] Phoenix, Arizona					

**DOCUMENT(S)
CANNOT
BE SCANNED**

DESCRIPTION:

negatives
